UNITED STATES OF AMERICA DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

+ + + + +

NATIONAL ADVISORY COUNCIL ON INNOVATION AND ENTREPRENEURSHIP

+ + + + +

MEETING

+ + + + +

FRIDAY FEBRUARY 2, 2018

+ + + + +

The Committee met in Room 72015 of the Hoover Building, United States Department of Commerce headquarters, located at 1401 Constitution Avenue, Northwest, Washington, D.C., at 9:00 a.m., Melissa Bradley, Chair, presiding.

PRESENT

MELISSA BRADLEY, Chair ESTHER BALDWIN SCOTT FREDERICK MAX GOLDFINE, on behalf of Steve Tang RICHARD JOHNSON DAVID KENNEY MIKE NEMETH ANDREW REAMER, PhD EMILY REICHERT, PhD, MBA WHITNEY SMITH (via telephone) ALSO PRESENT

CRAIG BUERSTATTE, DOCUMENT EDA, Designated

Federal Official

KENAN FIKRI, Economic Innovation Group

JENNIFER SHIEH, SBA OII (via telephone)

ERIC SMITH, DOCUMENT EDA

RYAN SMITH, DOCUMENT EDA

T-A-B-L-E O-F C-O-N-T-E-N-T-S

	Page
Start and Welcoming Remarks	4
Workgroup Report-Outs	
Entrepreneurship, Innovation, Workforce	8
Development	
Break & Final Drafting of Principles	109
Public Comment	144
Closing Remarks	144
Adjourn	147

	4
1	P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S
2	(9:09 a.m.)
3	MR. BUERSTATTE: All right, folks,
4	let's get rolling. Good morning, everyone. And
5	good morning to everyone in the room, and then
6	good morning, Whitney in Chicago. Thanks so much
7	for dialing in again this morning. Really
8	appreciate it.
9	You all know me, Craig Buerstatte.
10	And as the Designated Federal Officer for this
11	Advisory Council, I would like to officially
12	welcome and begin today's day two, February 2nd
13	meeting for the National Advisory Council on
14	Innovation and Entrepreneurship.
15	Again, we know the drill here. But as
16	a quick reminder, run of show is in front of you.
17	The cadence is pretty similar to what we've done
18	before. We will have a break in the middle of
19	the morning. But feel free to get up and
20	stretch, grab some coffee if you need to.
21	Restrooms are down the hall, that direction next
22	to the water fountain in the corner. Can't miss

1

2	The plan of attack is to run through
3	each workgroup from yesterday, entrepreneurship,
4	innovation, and workforce development. I know
5	many of you had an opportunity to contribute to
6	two sessions. So it will be important to get you
7	up to speed on that third one that you might not
8	have participated in.
9	And once we work through those and
10	hopefully solicit some improvements and better
11	strategies to make the document more cohesive, if
12	you'll look, we've got a kind of final editing
13	collaboration opportunity from 10:15 to 10:30 at
14	which point we may have a guest speaker to
15	address some updates and opportunities with the
16	Investment in Opportunity Act.
17	That is influx because we did have a
18	cancellation, unfortunately. But we're trying to
19	get a fill-in, and it might be really good,
20	actually. So, we're excited about that.
21	And maybe pushing to a final vote
22	depending on how we feel about things.

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

Unfortunately, it is hard to contribute on this
 for those who are virtually. It is a very, been
 a very roll-up-your-sleeves process.

So depending on where we're at, we 4 5 might want to really think hard about if we want to push for a vote today or get maybe a few more 6 weeks for those who are virtual to help us bring 7 8 this through the red tape, reason being I think 9 it's critical that every member has input on this so when we have a final product, it is truly 10 11 representative of the body.

12 And then I think we have a better 13 opportunity to solicit it to our own networks and 14 push it across our friends and colleagues in 15 federal government as well. So, critical that we 16 have that mass of engagement.

But I would say, before I tee it off to Melissa, one thing that I heard a lot yesterday and every single meeting is we talked about entrepreneurship, development, whether it's enabling more entrepreneurs or teaching young kids to follow that career path.

(202) 234-4433

1	A lot of it comes down to strengths
2	and how to better enable one's strengths. And
3	I'm confident in myself and I'm aware enough to
4	know that my strengths are not in drafting
5	complex policy.
6	So I have to give it up to Eric for
7	late-night awesome drafting. We would not be
8	here today
9	(Applause.)
10	MR. BUERSTATTE: Absolutely awesome.
11	You all were in the rooms yesterday and felt the
12	dynamic conversation. And it was pretty neat to
13	see what we came to late last night thanks to his
14	work.
15	So, that's all I have to kick things
16	off. Melissa, some thoughts?
17	CHAIR BRADLEY: Well, we're a little
18	behind schedule, so I just want to thank
19	everybody. I said to Eric, I read this early
20	this morning, I said I would love to see the
21	notes that precipitated this document because I
22	could only imagine the robust conversation.

Ι

1	So I want to thank everybody. I heard
2	great things about David and your
3	facilitation/leadership. So thank you.
4	MEMBER KENNEY: Really?
5	CHAIR BRADLEY: Yes, I did.
6	MEMBER KENNEY: Is there another David
7	here?
8	CHAIR BRADLEY: It's you, it's you.
9	So, I look forward to today and hopefully getting
10	it done. Thank you.
11	MEMBER KENNEY: Thank you.
12	MR. BUERSTATTE: Well, do we have,
13	want to pull this up to the document perhaps?
14	And I know we don't have specific actually,
15	before we dive into entrepreneurship, I did hear
16	another, did another member dial in? I know we
17	have Whitney. Do we have another member on the
18	line, yet?
19	MS. SHIEH: Sorry, it's just me,
20	Jennifer Shieh from SBA.
21	MR. BUERSTATTE: Awesome, Jennifer.
22	Thanks so much. So, we'll pull up the draft

document. And we'll start with entrepreneurship. 1 2 This one I have to say while we didn't really identify a core lead for our group during my 3 session, I will say that Mike Nemeth had came in 4 5 armed and ready with some thoughtful words to help us organize. 6 7 So maybe, Mike, if you want to kind of 8 tee things off for us and talk --9 MEMBER NEMETH: Sure. 10 MR. BUERSTATTE: -- through some of 11 the strategy there on that section? 12 MEMBER NEMETH: Yes. And I didn't 13 even have a mnemonic device yet for 14 entrepreneurship when we started. But I think 15 the basis before we even dive in to kind of where 16 it ended up with was I know our small group in there just sort of looked at the different stages 17 18 of entrepreneurship and different places where 19 the federal government could influence and 20 support success. 21 And so we focused on the sort of 22 beginnings of, you know, encouraging and

inspiring entrepreneurship. And I think that's
 where we aptly ended the very first one with
 pursuing the American dream.

I think that was sort of the hallmark of the biggest thing that we thought could make an impact is just getting more people to consider entrepreneurship.

8 And then smartly design was our 9 certainly focused statement around regulatory 10 issues related to entrepreneurship. And 11 obviously we hope that that can inspire some 12 action to make it easier to begin a business in 13 the US and then also, you know, compete here and 14 globally.

Candidly, we kept trying to insert the 15 16 funding discussion in there, and it kept getting 17 pushed from our very first one to our next one to 18 the third one. And I think at least it got split 19 up between these two points on private and 20 connected. So the private piece is as much about 21 finding ways to connect private dollars to 22 support things that perhaps were started from

federally supported initiatives.

2	And then the connected piece is about
3	some of the community aspects that we talked
4	about there at the beginning of NACIE, you know,
5	the ideal that there's a lot to be gained just by
6	simply creating networks of entrepreneurs and
7	connecting them with capital opportunities, with
8	roll models, mentors.
9	So those were the four pieces that we
10	started with. And I know we tried to blitz
11	through them as quickly as possible. And then I
12	believe the last one was the relevant piece is
13	from the follow-on group, is that right, Craig?
14	MR. BUERSTATTE: Yes.
15	MEMBER NEMETH: And so I'm not sure if
16	anybody who went into entrepreneurship on the
17	second iteration wants to comment on that one.
18	Obviously, it's something I agree with that,
19	like, the notion that federal support of
20	entrepreneurship can help direct some attention
21	to sectors that otherwise don't receive much
22	interest because of market risk or, you know,

industry cycle development, defense, healthcare,
 some of those things.

MR. BUERSTATTE: I'll actually offer up that this one was tricky. And for me, this one might be an opportunity for, this seems like it could use a little bit of work where it's interesting to hear your interpretation of that because in facilitating the group, I can say that that wasn't the main goal.

10 While we wanted to increase awareness, and that is maybe one goal here, it's really 11 about Emily and Rick were driving home the point 12 13 of making sure that government dollars and 14 programs and efforts are going toward the infrastructure of our future, the energy of our 15 16 future, and enabling the small businesses and the 17 entrepreneurs to participate there.

So we're not, I can't recall who was saying that, but if we need new roads, I'm sorry, who was saying this. If we need new roads, let's not just procure a bunch of concrete, but making sure that we're investing in the roads of

tomorrow, and it's going to be the entrepreneurs 1 2 that are going to drive that. 3 MEMBER NEMETH: I see, yes. 4 MEMBER BALDWIN: That's almost like a 5 sixth bullet because I think awareness has come up as a multiple topic that people don't know 6 7 where to get started, don't know where to go, 8 don't know what the resources are. 9 MR. BUERSTATTE: So I think we tackle 10 that in the first point. PARTICIPANT: Accessible. 11 Yes. 12 MR. BUERSTATTE: Accessible. I think that's fair. 13 PARTICIPANT: 14 MEMBER KENNEY: I think maybe just the word relevant could be swapped out if there's a 15 16 way because I think that the idea was really to 17 use the procurement power of the government to 18 de-risk new technologies. I think kind of the 19 nexus of the things that we had a lot of ideas 20 that were kind of getting crammed in there. But 21 I think that was kind of the core. 22 MEMBER JOHNSON: There's another

1	reason, and I think it's really important that we
2	use those federal dollars in areas that really
3	help with entrepreneurship. But we're trying to
4	sell something, we're selling our recommendation.
5	Who are we selling it to? The current
6	administration. The current administration
7	really wants new infrastructure in there. So if
8	you put something in, we could help. We can
9	help. You don't have to do anything, just let
10	us, you know, we'll set it up for you.
11	So we can get that technology that
12	you're trying to do. That's what I look at it is
13	really. It's something very powerful we could do
14	that they want.
15	MEMBER FREDERICK: It seems the
16	concept is similar to what we're trying to get at
17	under innovation with catalytic. I mean, is
18	there another way to say catalytic instead of
19	relevant, because isn't that what we're looking
20	to do is make sure that those investments trigger
21	more than just the procurement, you know
22	MR. E. SMITH: I mean, to that point

I think we don't have to stay with kind of strict 1 2 delineation between innovation, entrepreneurship, and workforce development. I think one of the 3 4 things that I saw putting all this together last 5 night is that there are common principles across And so maybe the categories are 6 a lot of these. 7 not --Too arbitrary? 8 **PARTICIPANT:** 9 Yes, maybe they're --MR. E. SMITH: 10 yes. 11 MEMBER KENNEY: Maybe there's some, 12 like, collaborative and that that get pulled out 13 that are sort of common to everything. Right? 14 There's some overarching principles and then some 15 that are specific to the three categories. 16 MEMBER REICHERT: I can agree with 17 that. It sounds like a good idea. It's just 18 being in the discussion that, I only know two of 19 the three, so -- and there was a lot of overlap. 20 MEMBER FREDERICK: It seems like those 21 concepts of catalytic and strategic from up above 22 and innovation, they're the same concepts that

really apply to entrepreneurship as well. 1 We 2 want those federal investments. And this might have been where we kept 3 4 tripping over the whole idea of funding because 5 it's difficult. It's a tough role for the government to play. But if it's going to do it, 6 7 we want to make sure those investments are 8 strategic and catalytic. I mean, you don't want 9 to just keep using the same words, but. MEMBER JOHNSON: And I will go back 10 11 again as the salesperson here. In Trump's 12 proposed infrastructure expenditures, he included 13 a significant portion of that in new, innovative 14 technology ideas. So we should help. 15 Do you know who --MEMBER KENNEY: 16 (Simultaneous speaking.) 17 MR. BUERSTATTE: Where do you guys 18 want to file this stuff? 19 MEMBER BALDWIN: I really like the 20 data-driven, the fact that it's in two of the 21 three --Sorry, Esther. 22 MR. BUERSTATTE:

Before we get to data-driven though, I want to 1 2 talk about the catalytic, strategic, and relevant. There's a lot of interest here it 3 4 seems, and let's try to make a decision on what 5 belongs where. I like what Emily had 6 MEMBER JOHNSON: 7 said. You can bring those common concepts out. 8 Bring them out. You say this is what, you know, 9 we believe. There are some big ways you can 10 help, you know, that are common. And I think 11 there's, like, either here are three core 12 principles in there, united across everything. 13 And then there's some secondary ones. 14 Or here's ten. And then it's ten that cover all of it. 15 16 MEMBER JOHNSON: Ten's too many. 17 MEMBER BALDWIN: It's like a matrix, 18 the three are horizontal across all, and then the 19 three or four, and then each one has their own --20 MEMBER JOHNSON: If there's three core 21 though, they'll read that and understand it, then 22 they'll skim it the rest, stop reading --

1 PARTICIPANT: I agree. 2 MEMBER JOHNSON: -- and say sounds 3 great, do it. 4 MEMBER BALDWIN: It's simple. MEMBER JOHNSON: I like simple. 5 Right, there's three core ones and then --6 7 MEMBER BALDWIN: KISS. Keep it simple 8 9 MEMBER NEMETH: -- there's some other 10 secondary ones beneath each piece. (Simultaneous speaking.) 11 12 MEMBER FREDERICK: But that might be 13 a way to simplify. I mean, the more I think 14 about it, so many of these things apply to all three. So if we're trying to give a framework, 15 16 what better way to have a framework that works for all three? 17 18 MEMBER NEMETH: Yes, there's certainly 19 the one page rule of, like, if it can't fit on a 20 page that I can look at and say here are three 21 principles, it's too hard. 22 MEMBER KENNEY: Eight point font. Ι

mean, there's nothing --1 2 (Simultaneous speaking.) MEMBER KENNEY: -- one description 3 4 that doesn't apply to all three. Right? MEMBER REICHERT: The question is what 5 are we being asked for? Are we being asked for 6 principles on innovation, entrepreneurship, and 7 8 workforce development? Or are we being asked for 9 guiding principles for all of these topics? Or what do you think is the most useful for the 10 audience that we're seeking to reach here? 11 12 MR. E. SMITH: I mean, we have the freedom to decide. I think that there is 13 14 probably some power in tying them together with the principles and not necessarily dividing these 15 16 three areas. 17 But I think that's up to us to decide 18 if we think that it makes more sense to have a 19 set of innovation principles. We can do that. 20 MEMBER KENNEY: I wonder if we can 21 come up with three to five that were shared, and 22 then not try to come up with separate ones but

really just have a short narrative for each that 1 2 sort of describes how those concepts are applied in each of the -- because the differences to me 3 4 are in the how you think about connected in 5 workforce versus in entrepreneurship with the idea of being connected or catalytic or 6 7 collaborative or whatever is common to all of 8 them, right, and leveraging the private sector. 9 But how you leverage the private sector in workforce is different than innovation. 10 11 So maybe you just have a little paragraph that 12 kind of describes how you would think about 13 those. 14 Teasing out the CHAIR BRADLEY: 15 nuances. 16 MEMBER KENNEY: Yes. 17 MEMBER FREDERICK: I mean, is it 18 effectively just flipping the organizational 19 constructs of, you know, the one, two, threes 20 aren't innovation, entrepreneurship, and 21 workforce development, they're the framework, the components of the framework. And then the nuance 22

1

for all three is just hammered home.

2 MEMBER KENNEY: That's a good way of thinking about it. And I don't know how, you 3 4 know, we've got more than five here when you look 5 at, even with the duplicates. So we need to consolidate that down because I agree, it should 6 7 be short-ish list.

8 Some of these are, I mean, I think to 9 me it's obvious that sort of the private sector 10 component, leverage needs to be one of them that's really sort of that. And I think some of 11 12 the bridging or connectedness can be integrated 13 into that idea because the whole point is there 14 are too many gaps between where government 15 funding and private sector funding should connect 16 and they don't.

17 MEMBER REAMER: I want to throw out, reading through this, see what you think of these 18 19 four, what's missing or inaccurate. Data driven, 20 strategic, catalytic, and collaborative. 21 MR. BUERSTATTE: That was kind of fast. 22

Washington DC

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc.

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1	MEMBER REAMER: Data driven.
2	MR. BUERSTATTE: Yes.
3	MEMBER REAMER: Strategic, catalytic,
4	and collaborative. And a couple of these can
5	have a couple ways to think about it. So you can
6	think about in catalytic as one, having people
7	aware of their opportunities, leveraging private
8	dollars with public dollars, influencing, it kind
9	of works from being catalytic with the Department
10	of Transportation in terms of how it does
11	procurement around infrastructure.
12	But I agree, I think it would be
13	better to keep the list short, you know, rather
14	than have ten principles. Have three, four,
15	five, and then
16	MEMBER REICHERT: But we would put
17	these bullets here underneath those main
18	categories?
19	MEMBER REAMER: Well, I think the idea
20	would be to, and to David's point, to start with
21	a broad articulation of the four principles, and
22	then under each category you dive, you take

collaborative or catalytic and you explain how 1 2 it's relevant to entrepreneurship or innovation. I just, I mean, to me 3 MEMBER NEMETH: what at first I was kind of struggling to figure 4 But the part that was relevant to me 5 that out. was just look at the different definitions on 6 7 connected. And it's like connected under 8 innovation is talking about connecting that 9 public sector, you know, the university resources, things like that. 10 11 And then obviously connected in the 12 entrepreneurial section, we were talking about something completely different about connecting 13 14 entrepreneurship networks. So that's, I'm in support of the idea, just like having a here's 15 16 the four main principles and then varying --17 (Simultaneous speaking.) 18 MEMBER FREDERICK: You can show how 19 they apply in these three contexts. 20 MEMBER KENNEY: So I'm curious about 21 your list. And data driven and strategic to me, if it's strategic it should be, you should select 22

your strategic things using data, right. 1 So I'm 2 wondering if you could combine those because strategic to me is kind of a duh. I mean, every 3 4 initiative should be strategic in some way. 5 MEMBER REICHERT: But I think that the discussion we had yesterday in -- let me see, 6 7 what group was that -- innovation, was about how 8 there's really a lack of a master strategic plan 9 for areas that we should be investing in. 10 So maybe competitiveness is the overlay there rather than data? 11 I mean, it is 12 data driven to be strategic, but there needs to 13 be an emphasis on being strategic. 14 MEMBER FREDERICK: I don't mind the 15 emphasis on strategic. I think your point, tell 16 me if I'm wrong, you're just saying that they can 17 be collapsed. 18 MEMBER KENNEY: I think so. 19 MEMBER FREDERICK: So in strategic, as 20 you flesh it out you say be data driven. 21 (Simultaneous speaking.) 22 MEMBER FREDERICK: Because you need

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1 something --2 MEMBER REICHERT: I think you need strategic as the --3 4 (Simultaneous speaking.) MEMBER FREDERICK: I like strategic 5 better than data driven. I just think it's a 6 7 little bit more all-encompassing. 8 So, somebody threw MEMBER JOHNSON: 9 out the word competitive. That's a word I hear in administration. 10 11 PARTICIPANT: Yes, me too. 12 MEMBER JOHNSON: Talking about global 13 competitiveness, I hear that word all the time. I'm not sure we know how to do that. And so if 14 there was an opportunity here to fold that in. 15 16 MEMBER KENNEY: So I get your point, Emily, that the explanation of there isn't enough 17 18 strategic thinking and there should be more. And 19 this framework itself is intended to provide some 20 of that. 21 What I'm thinking is if you were 22 looking at proposals in a solicitation and you're

trying to evaluate them or the solicitation 1 2 itself and evaluating whether it fit this framework, would it be easy to compare is this 3 4 strategic, or is there another, does it address 5 competitiveness. I'm just wondering if there's a way to 6 capture that thought because I agree. But to me 7 8 it's the same. It should be strategic feels too 9 generic or something. I'm looking at all 10 MEMBER FREDERICK: these different, because I think we have some 11 12 wonderful content here. But I would bet, and 13 maybe we're over-simplifying it. If we just went 14 strategic, catalytic, and collaborative, I think 15 it's pretty easy to fit each of these into those 16 three. 17 And when you talk about strategic, to 18 pick up Emily's point is we make all these 19 federal investments, but there doesn't seem to be 20 anybody kind of looking broadly. You know, what 21 new technologies are out there, where do we need to make sure we win. 22

You know, we got, I probably took us
into the weeds on things like quantum computing
and things like that where it just could be of
massive strategic importance. So I think we can
capture that.
And under-strategic, you can also talk
about how it needs to be data driven and how it
applies slightly different. You know, there's
nuance for innovation, entrepreneurship. But I
mean, hey, if we want to get a simple framework,
strategic, catalytic, and collaborative.
I bet we can give them an organization
construct with that. I mean, is that too simple?
MEMBER JOHNSON: No, I like it.
MEMBER BALDWIN: You can do all of
that without being data driven.
MEMBER KENNEY: And I think if there's
enough elaboration under strategic, it could feel
less generic to me.
MEMBER FREDERICK: But we elaborate
that it should be data driven.
MEMBER KENNEY: Right, yes. Exactly.

1	MEMBER FREDERICK: And we could bring
2	that
3	(Simultaneous speaking.)
4	MEMBER FREDERICK: points about,
5	you know, robust data collection. So I think we
6	can get some nuance in there.
7	MEMBER REAMER: Yes. And I want to
8	emphasize, or I want to point out that two
9	different approaches but two complimentary
10	approaches to data driven. One is data that
11	influences policy and design and implementation
12	of programs.
13	But the second is the availability of
14	data and information in the market so people can
15	make better decisions. And that's a strategic
16	use of data. So back to that 16 year old in high
17	school, has the data and information she needs to
18	figure out what to do after she graduates high
19	school.
20	MEMBER SMITH: I would add accurate to
21	that because in industry, we use data that is 99
22	percent accurate because that one percent means

somebody's airplane falls to the ground or 1 2 somebody gets the wrong medication or whatever. So I don't think just in the data that 3 4 I've used that it's maybe 60, maybe 30 percent 5 accurate in determining, you know, what jobs there are in the future, what current jobs there 6 7 are open. We just don't have that data. It's 8 just not accurate. 9 MEMBER REAMER: So if we can, you 10 know, if you're comfortable folding that under 11 strategic, that's fine for me. 12 MEMBER FREDERICK: I think it could 13 be, you know, as you flush out the nuance and 14 explain what you mean, to your point that strategic is a little overused and vague. 15 But if 16 you can talk about the data driven and investment 17 and data accuracy. 18 MEMBER REAMER: Those are good points. And is data driven, I know every administration 19 has phrases and words they like, and I've heard 20 21 things like evidence based and science based Is data driven --22 aren't as popular now.

MEMBER REICHERT: I feel like at least 1 2 that concept was reflected in a lot of what we read as the re-reading. I don't know, I can't 3 4 remember that phrase being used. 5 MR. E. SMITH: Yes, evidence based policy I think is still --6 7 MEMBER REAMER: Is that still good? 8 Okay. 9 MR. E. SMITH: Yes, evidence based 10 policy making is a big bi-partisan, one of the few things. 11 12 MEMBER KENNEY: All right, great. 13 MEMBER REAMER: Melissa, can you speak 14 up for the transcript? 15 CHAIR BRADLEY: No. 16 (Laughter.) 17 MR. E. SMITH: Crosstalk. One thing 18 that I wanted to bring up that I don't 19 necessarily hear in those three categories is 20 the, like, people-centric aspect of this. 21 And I think one thing that, you know, this administration's particularly concerned with 22

1	is how technology and the changing economy has
2	affected people. And I just maybe we can work
3	that in there. Maybe it needs to be another
4	category, but I wanted to throw that out there.
5	MEMBER NEMETH: I was just thinking
6	about as we put in, like again, whether it's
7	collaborative which ones in the entrepreneurial
8	section we say roll into that.
9	And I think the accessible piece where
10	it's like hey, frankly we got to find a way to
11	end one of them with opportunity to pursue the
12	American dream because that was a powerful,
13	sharpful end to one of them. And I see that
14	fitting into the group four collaborative or
15	aligned.
16	MEMBER KENNEY: Yes, I think you could
17	do both collaborative and catalytic. You could
18	really focus on relationships and humans in the
19	collaborative piece. And in catalytic you could
20	focus on, you know, helping to because of the
21	changes and the impacts, the negative impacts
22	that have been on concurred on communities and

people, this program should help launch people 1 2 forward. To be very people-centric. Eric, when you're 3 MEMBER REAMER: 4 speaking about people, is the notion of 5 inclusive, is that --MR. E. SMITH: 6 Yes. 7 MEMBER REAMER: So I'm wondering if 8 the word collaborative, one option is we could 9 swap it out for connected? I mean, collect includes collaborative, might be a little 10 11 broader. 12 MEMBER FREDERICK: I wasn't in the discussion on kind of the human-centric stuff. 13 14 What are we trying, I mean, I understand the 15 importance of it, but there's a lot of nuance and 16 angles that could go. What are we trying to pick 17 up? Who was most passionate on that? 18 MEMBER REAMER: Well, I think one of 19 the thoughts was there's a lot of things that the 20 federal government does where they put out a 21 database somewhere where you are then, it's 22 assumed that you're going to go find that

database of information and be able to actually
 use it.

And really more often, the way you 3 4 learn about things is word of mouth, it's human 5 There needs to be a person that to human. facilitates these things. There needs to be a 6 7 mentor that helps to find --8 So, Laurie, you MEMBER FREDERICK: 9 want a UX perspective as opposed to -- I was wondering if we were going down the path of 10 technological impact on communities and 11 12 individuals because that's a whole other --13 MEMBER KENNEY: Yes, I think there's 14 different things. One, the intermediary role --MEMBER REICHERT: His point was don't 15 16 just put a database out on the internet and 17 assume your job is done in terms of connecting, 18 because that never works. 19 MEMBER FREDERICK: That I think to, I 20 think it was Andrew's point or something, is 21 easy. I think the fold-under that connected and collaborative are. 22

1	MEMBER KENNEY: I think you're right,
2	the connected is broader. But I often associate
3	the word connected with connected to the
4	internet.
5	MEMBER REICHERT: Internet, yes.
6	MEMBER KENNEY: Right? It's sort of
7	like always on, always connected kind of thing.
8	MEMBER REICHERT: Yes. I think
9	collaborative might be better.
10	MEMBER FREDERICK: All right, fine.
11	MEMBER REAMER: And I just don't want
12	to miss the people point about inclusiveness.
13	MEMBER KENNEY: Right, because there's
14	a bunch of different people things. One is the
15	human role of making the connections, and then
16	there's another idea about inclusiveness and
17	disadvantaged communities being impacted and
18	needing attention in these policies.
19	And I think there's, you know,
20	probably some other angles as well because I
21	think the technological impact on communities in
22	general.

1	MEMBER BALDWIN: I like that it says
2	human. I mean, you can't misinterpret that,
3	whether it's connected or collaborative, it's
4	human. And if you just take out foster
5	innovation, you could be fostering innovation,
6	entrepreneurship, and workforce development just
7	in that one statement.
8	So I think there's an opportunity to
9	look at some of these and say these apply to all
10	of them. And it may not be three, it may be four
11	or five, but it still allows you to collapse down
12	to one page. But human, you can't misinterpret
13	that.
14	And data driven, I mean, data comes
15	first and then your strategy, and then, you know,
16	all of the things that flow from that if it's
17	accurate. And I see this administration as being
18	very data driven, that we don't see it but it's
19	happening.
20	You know, the data analytics teams
21	that put the president in power, we didn't hear
22	very much about it, but it was definitely

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

happening.

2	CHAIR BRADLEY: So, I want to respect
3	the fact that I wasn't here yesterday. But when
4	I read this this morning, two things came to
5	mind. One is what is unique about this in the
6	context of the United States, and how would this
7	not be relevant in the UK or Australia or
8	somewhere else.
9	And two, I certainly was struck by,
10	what's a good word, generic and simplistic come
11	to mind, but that's not fair. But I would say,
12	no let me finish. But around the uniqueness of
13	who we are as Americans.
14	And I don't, it's always awkward for
15	me as a person of color to say we have to talk
16	about inclusion, but the reality is that, you
17	know, I deem the government to be an equalizer,
18	and we're not equal.
19	And so it's not just, it's women.
20	Nothing personal, but look at the VC numbers,
21	right, the fact that we're celebrating 0.05
22	percent is absolutely absurd to me in terms of

1	growth. I think we're clear and that we've seen
2	this mismatch between what happened in urban
3	environment and rural or whatever dichotomy you
4	want to provide.
5	So I just think I like what we have
6	and I get simplicity, but, like, what makes this
7	us?
8	MEMBER JOHNSON: So, I have two
9	comments from our discussion yesterday. First,
10	the US is lagging, not leading, in the effort
11	toward making the things that you're talking
12	about is can we catch up. So it's not a good
13	position.
14	The second thing is when you talk
15	about the government being a great equalizer,
16	what I've seen in my life started when I was, you
17	know, zero, I saw the community I grew up in,
18	everybody, any idea anyone had was encouraged to
19	be developed because that's what everybody else
20	was doing in that community.
21	Great things happened. So to me, when
22	we talk about accessible and entrepreneurship,

it's going there. That's really important to me
 personally.

CHAIR BRADLEY: 3 Sure. 4 MEMBER JOHNSON: The problem, so 5 you're talking about our government being data They're data driven but in very 6 driven. simplistic ways. And if the ROI is 15 years out, 7 8 they're not going to like it. So that actually 9 is I think an issue, a barrier we're going to have to overcome to do the things that are 10 11 important to me. 12 MEMBER KENNEY: So, Melissa, do you 13 have thoughts about how to --14 CHAIR BRADLEY: I want to be 15 respectful because I wasn't here. And so I mean, 16 I think I don't know because I think the counter 17 is what's simple. And I'm also being mindful 18 what does the administration care about. Right? 19 But when I think about Rise of the 20 Rest, right, that completely emerged because 21 there was a belief that things only happen on the Well, that is, like, smack-dab where 22 coast.

1	we're trying to go. Right? Equalizing and
2	bringing equity to how private capital flows.
3	So, I don't. I mean, I think I've
4	been holding off to just see what's the framework
5	because I think it could be one or two words just
6	added if it's this or if it's a broader thing.
7	But I do worry, which is odd as a professor,
8	right, they were oversimplifying without, to your
9	point, being aggressive in terms of what
10	because I do think there's a strong sense of
11	urgency for this administration.
12	So I would maybe recouch it and say is
13	this aggressive enough to catch up. I mean,
14	Esther, every time we meet, reminds us of how far
15	we're lagging.
16	And again, I say that as a complete
17	person who read this at 6:00 a.m. this morning to
18	say okay, I think it's great. I just think does
19	it accomplish everything that we talk about or
20	have we, are we oversimplifying that it's
21	relatively generic and a university can put this
22	out as opposed to this particular body.

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1	MEMBER REAMER: I would like to add
2	something.
3	CHAIR BRADLEY: And it could be
4	rhetorical. I don't know that it warrants
5	change.
6	MEMBER REAMER: But if I could add
7	something
8	MEMBER KENNEY: I think it's worth
9	change probably.
10	MEMBER REAMER: Which is going back to
11	what the purpose of this exercise is, I'm feeling
12	for me the real purpose of the exercise is
13	relationship building. It's to build a
14	relationship with politicals in this building so
15	they can start have some trust in us and can
16	start talking to us, and that we come up with
17	more specific things that they're interested in
18	listening to.
19	So this, to me, they are our audience.
20	And clearly this has to fly externally, people
21	have to look at it. And Coffin has to look at it
22	and say this makes sense. But I don't feel like

1 this is, like, the final word.

2	The real aim here, Craig and Eric set
3	this thing up for us because in order for us to
4	have a more robust conversation with people in
5	the fifth floor. And so my aim is to produce
6	something that they will go okay, this is good.
7	We can work with this and we can talk with you.
8	CHAIR BRADLEY: And I think mostly
9	this is good, and I think having been in these
10	buildings, it will get narrowed down even more.
11	And so if it gets narrowed down, I don't think we
12	lose anything. I just put that out there, those
13	two points out there.
14	MEMBER REAMER: Okay.
15	CHAIR BRADLEY: But I can live with
16	this. Right, I mean, since I have to put my name
17	on it, I can live with this. I'm okay with it.
18	MEMBER KENNEY: Could strategic
19	include, right, I mean, part of the strategic
20	focus may be specifically around inclusion.
21	CHAIR BRADLEY: Right. And I won't
22	say, I think inclusion is probably not the word

[
1	that I would choose for this particular group.
2	MEMBER KENNEY: Sure.
3	CHAIR BRADLEY: But I think
4	(Simultaneous speaking.)
5	MEMBER BALDWIN: I think it's belief.
6	We said yesterday people have to believe.
7	CHAIR BRADLEY: That makes me nervous.
8	The faith-based
9	MEMBER BALDWIN: And you have to
10	believe that you can, you have to believe that
11	it's possible. And then you will begin. If you
12	believe, you begin, and then you become.
13	MEMBER FREDERICK: Strategic,
14	catalytic, and connected? I can make arguments
15	that we could have some nuance there about that
16	Rise of the Rest concept that I think would
17	resonate well with our audience. I mean, they
18	very much, I mean, you look at the coasts, not to
19	criticize but
20	(Simultaneous speaking.)
21	CHAIR BRADLEY: I mean, to me it could
22	be I think inclusion could be inherent in total

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

distribution or equitable access across geography 1 2 (Simultaneous speaking.) 3 To all those that have 4 MEMBER KENNEY: 5 been left behind, right? CHAIR BRADLEY: I'm not going to go 6 that far. I might make the point distribution 7 8 across geography and community. I mean, I'm 9 trying to build relationships upstairs. I don't know, they don't want to hear inclusion. 10 I think 11 that has its own connotations that --12 (Simultaneous speaking.) 13 MEMBER FREDERICK: I mean, it's 14 increase competitive business formation and growth in all communities. I mean, there's 15 16 little just things that we can --17 (Simultaneous speaking.) 18 CHAIR BRADLEY: Yes, I don't think 19 it's major. 20 MEMBER KENNEY: Yes, I understand --21 (Simultaneous speaking.) 22 MEMBER FREDERICK: -- just to get that

concept, which I think is a really important one. 1 2 CHAIR BRADLEY: I guess I use --You know, we talk 3 MEMBER FREDERICK: 4 about --5 (Simultaneous speaking.) CHAIR BRADLEY: -- we're spending the 6 7 money and --8 (Simultaneous speaking.) 9 MEMBER FREDERICK: -- increasing effectiveness and capital efficiency of public 10 11 dollars. Part of that concept could be a better 12 geographic distribution. From all of my 13 meetings, I mean, I get hit on that as everybody 14 wants to know, you know, why does 70 percent -we're an international firm and 70 percent of our 15 16 dollars land, you know, one tank of gas from Menlo Park. That's pretty crazy. 17 18 (Off microphone comments.) 19 MR. BUERSTATTE: I'm being guiet 20 intentionally. 21 MEMBER FREDERICK: You are. Why are 22 you being so quiet? What else do you want to

talk about? 1 2 (Off microphone comments.) We started off with 3 MR. BUERSTATTE: 4 entrepreneurship. And then we got down a windy 5 road, which is important though. And let me offer up one thought. Would it help to better 6 7 evaluate the innovation portion right now? We 8 seem to be focused on how to frame. 9 We kind of skipped ahead a little bit 10 I think. Or do we want to, we're on a roll and continue going down that path? I mention that 11 12 because I personally wasn't in the innovation or 13 the workforce group, and that might help us 14 better identify the right way to roll these up into is it catalytic, is it collaborative. 15 What 16 do we mean. 17 MEMBER SMITH: I think that would be 18 helpful. 19 MR. BUERSTATTE: However you want to 20 work through it. I'm really throwing it out 21 there. 22 MEMBER SMITH: Just to clear, yes, for more context.

2	MEMBER REAMER: It sounds like we had
3	consensus regarding this notion of having three
4	or four overarching words that covered three
5	categories. Are we still
6	MEMBER SMITH: But what are those?
7	You know, I'm not sure where you're
8	(Simultaneous speaking.)
9	MEMBER FREDERICK: I like that.
10	Strategic, catalytic, and either collaborative or
11	connected. To me if what we're trying to do is a
12	framework that somebody can apply, that's easier
13	than these are all great thoughts.
14	But from somebody who reads, you know,
15	a gazillion business, I mean, your eyes glaze a
16	little bit. But then within each of those three,
17	I think we can show the nuance of how it would
18	improve innovation, how it would improve
19	entrepreneurship and workforce development.
20	So it's I think the words that Eric
21	wrote are great and it's almost just a cut and
22	paste reorganize. And then a collapsing of some

of the text so that we're not saying the same 1 2 thing like --So to follow up on 3 MEMBER BALDWIN: 4 what Craig suggested and to look at innovation, 5 the most powerful one in there for me was again 6 data driven because people have the misconception that innovation is about creativity and about 7 8 this abstract thing. 9 And it's very much a science and a 10 discipline that you can measure. And if you can't measure it, you can't manage it. And I can 11 12 be collaborative and catalytic and strategic 13 without being data driven. It happens all the 14 time. No, I think that's 15 MEMBER FREDERICK: 16 a good point. And I think Andrew has written 17 some great stuff on the importance of data 18 collection. I mean, that's part of the problem I think we're facing is there isn't good data, 19 20 accurate data. 21 So I think these are all points we can 22 make to kind of subtly encourage, you know, the

recognition of its value. And you know, again, I always want to push too far into policy. But you could somehow encourage the recognition of value and the idea that the government could play a role in collection data hygiene.

I think for the MEMBER REAMER: 6 7 purpose of simplicity, I would suggest that if we 8 identify these three overarching notions, one of 9 them being strategic, we just assert that part of being strategic is being data driven. 10 And then as we get into innovation on commission and so 11 12 forth and we talk about what it means to be 13 strategic, we talk about what it means to be data 14 driven.

But I don't want us to 15 MEMBER SMITH: 16 lose the human piece of it either. I mean, it's 17 not inclusive, or it's not inclusion. But it is 18 we do have to pay attention to, you know, the 19 workforce in a socioeconomic fashion because from 20 my perspective we just, we really need everybody 21 in the workforce, not just the people that are 22 going to be successful no matter what. We need

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

2

3

4

to reach down into --1 2 MEMBER FREDERICK: I agree. And that's why I was at, because I wasn't in that 3 4 discussion. You know, what are we getting at 5 with the human, because there are the UI/UX 6 elements that are really important. You can't 7 just --8 MEMBER REAMER: What is UI/UX? 9 MEMBER FREDERICK: User interface/user engagement. Kind of that whole idea of the 10 11 government can't just say there's some crazy URL 12 that has all the data go Med Cat (phonetic). You 13 know, you got a perfect example is Apple makes it 14 really easy on you. But I'm hearing two very different 15 16 things on the human side. There's also the whole 17 socioeconomic. I mean, a lot of these 18 investments and new technologies coming are going 19 to have dramatic impact, disparate impact on different socioeconomic classes. 20 21 And I have no idea if we want to get into anything like that. You know, should the 22

government consider that in its framework? 1 2 MEMBER BALDWIN: I like what Eric 3 wrote --4 (Simultaneous speaking.) 5 MEMBER FREDERICK: I think if Joe were here, he would say they really should. 6 Α majority of US states, the number one job is 7 8 truck driver. 9 MEMBER NEMETH: But that skill set's 10 going to change. So if we go to autonomous vehicles, you're going to have to have truck 11 12 drivers who can program. 13 MEMBER FREDERICK: But that's, I mean, 14 is it worth bringing that up as another guiding principle that you need to be aware of that 15 16 because there will be, how we address autonomous, 17 I mean, I just don't know. 18 (Simultaneous speaking.) 19 MEMBER KENNEY: What data would lead 20 you there if you were data driven? 21 MEMBER REAMER: And I would suggest 22 that it might overly complexify -- on Sue's point

around, I feel like again we can take the word 1 2 connectedness and just assert that it includes making sure everyone can participate. 3 It's connectedness on the human side as well as on the 4 5 organizational side. MEMBER REICHERT: I thought we were 6 doing collaborative rather than connectedness. 7 8 MEMBER REAMER: Well, I had proposed 9 both. 10 (Simultaneous speaking.) 11 MEMBER REAMER: Whatever people want. 12 I was proposing connected because I feel it's a 13 little broader, and so it's more easy to include 14 the human. 15 MEMBER BALDWIN: If you're connected, 16 then you collaborate. 17 MEMBER REICHERT: Yes. But if 18 connected is the buzzword, then it sounds like 19 it's the internet. 20 MEMBER REAMER: Well, you could just 21 describe --22 Because of what MEMBER REICHERT:

1 David said.

2	MEMBER NEMETH: I agree with that.
3	And that's why I think accessible is a word we
4	should use. It addresses Melissa's point of
5	covering the idea of what's unique about this in
6	the sense of making it accessible to all citizens
7	as opposed to anywhere else.
8	MEMBER REICHERT: Okay. So then what
9	if we pull accessible out of collaborative and
10	accessible is its own principle.
11	MEMBER NEMETH: Accessible is its own
12	
13	(Simultaneous speaking.)
14	MEMBER NEMETH: is the big
15	principle. And then there's all sorts of other
15 16	principle. And then there's all sorts of other pieces that can support it. But from a word
16	pieces that can support it. But from a word
16 17	pieces that can support it. But from a word standpoint, I think accessible rises to the
16 17 18	pieces that can support it. But from a word standpoint, I think accessible rises to the prominent piece.
16 17 18 19	pieces that can support it. But from a word standpoint, I think accessible rises to the prominent piece. MEMBER BALDWIN: Accessible really has
16 17 18 19 20	pieces that can support it. But from a word standpoint, I think accessible rises to the prominent piece. MEMBER BALDWIN: Accessible really has become the brand of the disabled and

1 has become a brand for --2 MEMBER REAMER: I think we have an opportunity to define the words the way we want 3 to define them. For me, accessible is perfectly 4 5 fine. MEMBER JOHNSON: It's better than 6 7 connected. 8 MR. E. SMITH: Sorry, so I'm clear, 9 are we proposing replacing collaborative with accessible? 10 11 MEMBER REICHERT: No. We're proposing 12 having --It's additional, right? 13 MR. E. SMITH: 14 MEMBER REICHERT: -- a fourth principle. 15 16 MR. E. SMITH: Okay. 17 MEMBER REAMER: Strategic, catalytic, 18 collaborative, accessible. 19 MR. E. SMITH: We needed to get a vowel in there? 20 21 (Simultaneous speaking.) 22 MEMBER NEMETH: Accessible was my ploy

to finish my acronym. But if we're going to have 1 a fourth one, now it's all thrown off. But it's 2 good, I'll wait. I don't want to -- honestly, my 3 4 opinion is that the meat of it is in the 5 paragraph that follows the word, and those words can be quite interchangeable to an extent, yes. 6 MEMBER FREDERICK: 7 I just walked into a very quiet room. What just happened? 8 9 MEMBER REICHERT: We were talking 10 about you. 11 MEMBER REAMER: Are people comfortable 12 with those four concepts as the overarching 13 framework for everything else? Strategic, 14 catalytic, collaborative, and accessible? 15 MEMBER JOHNSON: That approach will 16 make for an argument that will be more easily 17 understood and sold. It will. 18 MEMBER REAMER: Mike, do you want to 19 then try to apply those concepts to 20 entrepreneurship and kind of tease that? 21 MR. GOLDFINE: Backing up for a 22 second, accessible, is that a word that fits with

the administration and the way they talk about
 communities that have been left behind? I just
 want to make sure.

MEMBER JOHNSON: That's a great question.

I mean, I think there's 6 MR. GOLDFINE: 7 an opportunity to kind of talk in the language 8 the administration does in addition to what we 9 probably would have used the words with inclusion and access in the same way. I just want to make 10 sure it's in a framework that the administration 11 12 usually --

MEMBER JOHNSON: That's what it means to me and in my work. And that's why, I mean, that was the one thing. It had to be in there for me.

17 MEMBER REAMER: And I think the word 18 accessible, whatever word we choose, it's going 19 to be followed by a couple sentences. And you 20 can use those to help just to make clear what you 21 mean about people who have been buffeted by 22 global competitive forces.

4

MEMBER JOHNSON: And I might suggest 1 2 refraining from using Rise of the Rest because that's very elitist. If you're going to use a 3 phrase like that have it the rise of the rest of 4 5 the rest. I'm done. MEMBER REAMER: Is the Rise of the 6 Rest that Steve case --7 8 MEMBER REICHERT: Yes it is. 9 (Simultaneous speaking.) I just use it as a 10 CHAIR BRADLEY: proxy of what was a slightly more mainstream way 11 12 to talk about those who have been overlooked and 13 underserved. 14 MEMBER REAMER: Right. But wasn't 15 Steve Case the chair of this group --16 CHAIR BRADLEY: He was. 17 MEMBER REAMER: -- under the Obama 18 administration? 19 CHAIR BRADLEY: He was. 20 MR. BUERSTATTE: So let me propose 21 something. We are lucky to have a surprise guest, and that is Kenan Fikri with the Economic 22

1 Innovation Group to present some thoughts on the 2 Investment in Opportunity Act recently passed. And we are a little bit ahead of 3 4 schedule, but this might give us a little more 5 time to hear from Kenan and also give Eric a little more time to synthesize some of this. 6 7 And then we'll get it back in paper 8 form to you and we can have a second kind of 9 final iteration. Any thoughts or questions there, or any final ideas you want Eric to know 10 11 before he goes to hack away on this? 12 (No audible response.) 13 MR. BUERSTATTE: Eric, do you have any 14 words or questions for them? 15 MR. E. SMITH: I've got lots of raw 16 material to work with. 17 (Laughter.) 18 MR. BUERSTATTE: Well yes, surprise 19 Kenan, thanks so much for coming. quest. 20 MR. FIKRI: Yes, absolutely. I just 21 have to apologize for being in casual Friday But I didn't want to turn down the 22 mode.

1 opportunity to --

2	MR. BUERSTATTE: He literally got the
3	message two hours ago. So Kenan leads research
4	and policy for the economic innovation group who
5	had a large role in the development of this act.
6	I won't say more other than really thank you so
7	much for coming.
8	MR. FIKRI: Absolutely.
9	MR. BUERSTATTE: Would love to hear a
10	little bit about your work, how it came about,
11	and maybe most importantly I know Treasury has a
12	leave right now. I believe you've been in
13	conversation with them and helping strategize the
14	implementation.
15	And so you know a little bit about the
16	advisory team here, and perhaps some ways that we
17	might be able to help think through this
18	opportunity, especially from commerce's role.
19	So, thanks.
20	MR. FIKRI: Yes, okay. Yes,
21	absolutely. So, thanks for the opportunity to
22	come. I was really excited to see the

opportunity zones program on the agenda because I 1 2 think and we think that it could be one of the most important economic development programs to 3 4 come down the pike in a long time. 5 There also haven't been that many new ones to come down the pike in a long time. 6 But 7 the segue from left behind communities is perfect 8 because that idea was kind of the, or solving 9 that problem was the genesis of the Opportunity 10 Zones program. 11 So it was EIG is relatively young, it's a three year old organization now. 12 It 13 started, it's a creature of the recovery for 14 sure, and more specifically of the economic 15 recovery. 16 And if you know there have been, as we 17 all know, large tracts of the country that have 18 not benefitted from economic growth of the past 19 five years, but we would actually say going back 20 ten, fifteen years that the 2000s actually 21 tapered over. We've had kind of a slow and steady 22

erosion of a lot of local economies. 1 So the 2 Investing in Opportunity Act was meant to kind of match, or deal with the paradox that we're a 3 capital abundant country with a lot of capital 4 5 scarce communities and, you know, would be entrepreneurs in places that are starved of 6 7 resources to build, rebuild their economies. 8 So the idea was that investors would 9 be able to roll over capital gains that are on the stock market today into funds that invest in 10 11 businesses in distressed communities, new or 12 expanding businesses in distressed communities. 13 For putting their money to work in 14 those places, the program gives them three 15 benefits. The first one is a tax deferral. So 16 you don't have to pay any taxes on the capital 17 gains that you roll over into the new program. 18 The second one is a reduction in that 19 tax bill that will be due by 2026, and the legislation by 15 percent if you hold your new 20 21 opportunity fund investment for seven years or So those are two modest incentives. 22 longer.

And then the big one and the one that 1 2 we think ties this, or will make this attractive to folks who are active in the entrepreneurship 3 4 investing space is that after ten years, any 5 capital gains that you get on the new business or whatever you invested in via the opportunity fund 6 7 would accrue tax free. 8 So it could be a huge upside if, you 9 know, you're in Akron, Ohio, if there's an opportunity zone near the University of Akron, 10 11 startups coming out of the University locate 12 there, and then anyone who invests in them, if 13 they succeed, there's a huge potential upside 14 that they're also patient and keep their capital 15 with that company for ten years. 16 So that's the model. The tax policy 17 gets pretty arcane pretty quickly. I've learned 18 a lot in the crash course since this passed, and 19 we've been getting more and more questions --20 MEMBER FREDERICK: So this has been 21 passed? It has been passed. 22 MR. FIKRI: It

1	was part of the Tax Reform Act. It was a bi-
2	partisan bill
3	MEMBER FREDERICK: Capital gains just
4	from public investments?
5	MR. FIKRI: Capital gains from, well
6	so any private investor. So it could be
7	corporations or individuals can roll their money
8	over into these funds and then get to work
9	investing in distressed communities.
10	MEMBER FREDERICK: But into the funds.
11	You can't go into companies directly?
12	MR. FIKRI: Correct. Correct. So
13	everything, so I guess I'll get to the fund
14	portion of this next. So, funds are going to be,
15	just like any venture fund or angel fund, they
16	need to be incorporated, certified by as I think
17	a community development financial agency, or
18	entity, sorry.
19	So the CDFI fund process,
20	certification process should be relatively
21	straightforward though just to ensure legitimacy.
22	And then those funds can accept money from

investors, except capital gains.

1

2	And then they should be professionally
3	managed to make investment decisions. The idea
4	there is that, so say you're an investor based in
5	New York but you have ties or whatever to maybe
6	rural Missouri. You would love to be able to put
7	some of your money into a fund that helps the
8	region that you care about grow and diversify.
9	But you live in New York, all your
10	business ties are in New York. You don't
11	actually know what the opportunities in southwest
12	Missouri are. So a professionally managed fund
13	that perhaps the local EBO set up, or a local
14	bank in Missouri set up, could accept money from
15	that investor.
16	And then they would make the local
17	investments because they have the local
18	knowledge. But all investments have to be made
19	through the fund model. They can't be made
20	directly.
21	We envision that all sorts of entities
22	will be interested in standing up funds. So from

1	an individual philanthropist or wealthy investors
2	to, you know, Steve Case's Rise up the Rest fund
3	could perhaps be certified as an opportunity fund
4	if they just wanted to tie their investments to
5	particular geographies in the cities in which
6	they choose to invest, or CDFIs. We think that
7	some local EBOs or local public sector entities
8	may want to stand up funds as well.
9	They can be national in scope or they
10	could be local. Their mission can vary. And
11	Treasury has a lot of rules and regulations to
12	write on the details of those funds.
13	MEMBER FREDERICK: If they're national
14	in scope, do they need to have an office and
15	employees in the region?
16	MR. FIKRI: No, the fund does not have
17	to. So the fund, the only criteria that the
18	legislation sets out for funds is that 90 percent
19	of their assets are held in opportunity zones,
20	either businesses located in zones, partnerships
21	located in zones, or tangible properties in
22	zones.

I

1	MEMBER JOHNSON: So those opportunity
2	zones are defined already?
3	MR. FIKRI: Those are, that is the
4	no, they're not defined yet. Yes, they are in
5	the process of being defined. So the legislation
6	called for, gave governors 90 days from
7	enactment, which was end of December, to
8	designate 25 percent of their low-income census
9	tracks as opportunity zones. And then Treasury
10	will certify up to
11	MR. R. SMITH: Up to 25 percent.
12	MR. FIKRI: Correct. The rationale
13	behind just 25 percent is that other programs
14	criticism, or one of the barriers to being
15	resoundingly successful that other programs have
16	faced is that capital has been relatively, or
17	investments have been kind of spread out maybe
18	too far across the map.
19	They haven't been able to concentrate
20	capital in a way that is truly catalytic and
21	transformative for a community. So I think New
22	Markets Tax Credit, for example, a successful

program that has moved a lot of capital, but 1 2 often it manifests itself in one isolated building in a tract that doesn't, it's good for 3 4 the community but it doesn't meet the catalytic 5 bar. Twenty five percent 6 MEMBER JOHNSON: 7 based on population? 8 MR. FIKRI: No, just based on straight 9 So if you have -number. 10 MR. R. SMITH: It's 25 percent of the 11 census tracts. So --12 MR. FIKRI: Low income census tracts. 13 MR. R. SMITH: -- any low income 14 census tracts up to 25 percent can be designated 15 as opportunity zones. But that process is what's 16 in the works right now. 17 MEMBER FREDERICK: Can I ask a naive 18 question? How broad is a census tract? Like, 19 take North Carolina. Is Durham or are we talking 20 subparts of Durham? 21 MR. FIKRI: Yes, subparts. So Durham would probably, well I have no idea how many. 22

1 MEMBER FREDERICK: So it's looking 2 really granular? MR. FIKRI: It's going to get very 3 4 granular. 5 MR. R. SMITH: In places with high population, it gets very granular. In places 6 7 with low population, they can be expansive. 8 (Off microphone comments.) 9 MEMBER BALDWIN: Does it allow foreign 10 investors --(Simultaneously speaking) 11 12 PARTICIPANT: -- would have like how 13 many times --14 PARTICIPANT: A tract is never --15 MR. FIKRI: It doesn't allow a foreign 16 investor. 17 PARTICIPANT: I'm just trying to --18 MR. FIKRI: I don't know. 19 (Simultaneously speaking) 20 MR. FIKRI: Because, there is nothing 21 that prevents that. Yes. But that may be 22 something that Treasury decides to write.

I	
1	So, there is no so, thinking of EB-
2	5, which is a much more, under at least partially
3	aligned program, that gets investments into funds
4	that make particular investments kind of in
5	exchange for a visa.
6	I think the investors aren't getting
7	that much accept a strong incentive to make sure
8	that their investment increases in value down the
9	road.
10	So, there is no subsidy here, there is
11	no tax credit. There is a deferral and then a
12	slight reduction in your tax bill and then the
13	forgone revenue off of the opportunity fund
14	investment if it accrues in value.
15	So that's one way this program was
16	able to get into the tax reform bill, is that
17	it's relatively cheap. NMTC is an expensive
18	program because you're putting public dollars to
19	projects that are being realized.
20	This one, Treasury has made mostly
21	whole down the road. And then there is some
22	forgone revenue after that. But that's very

difficult to --1 MEMBER BALDWIN: Does it exclude EB-5 2 investors? 3 4 MR. FIKRI: It does not, I don't think 5 it excludes EB-5 investors but there is no tie to leadership or anything. 6 7 MEMBER REAMER: The average population 8 of a census tract is 4,000 people. 9 MEMBER BALDWIN: Yes. MEMBER SMITH: This is Whitney from JP 10 11 Morgan Chase. I'm sorry, I don't know if you 12 know there are people on the phone listening to 13 you guys. 14 (Laughter) 15 I have one comment to MEMBER SMITH: 16 this reference. Just on the census. 17 It includes adjacent census tracts to 18 low-income communities so, I am based in Chicago 19 and some of the wealthier census tracts like Lincoln Park are included on the list. 20 So there 21 is a lot of advocacy happening right now with 22 governors on checking the, picking the right 25

percent that are both in the spirit of what this 1 2 is supposed to be accomplishing but also are ready for market investment. That's my comment. 3 4 But the question is, if the Governors 5 pick the 25 percent census tracts now, will they 6 stand for ten years or could they be revised over That's one question I have. 7 time? 8 And then the second is about 9 administration of the program. So I understand I heard secondhand it's at 10 it's at Treasury. 11 IRS, because of the tax credit. 12 MR. FIKRI: Yes. But could the CDFI fund 13 MEMBER SMITH: 14 play a role and will there be some kind of 15 allocation in the same way there is with new 16 market tax credits? I think the answer to that 17 is no, but --18 MR. FIKRI: Yes, all good questions. 19 So, I'll start with the comment first. 20 Yes, so the Legislation did say that 21 there are, so, five percent of the 25 percent. 22 So if you have a hundred census tracts that are

1	low-income in the state, 25 of those will be
2	eligible and then five percent of 25.
3	Which is, what, yes, not many could be
4	non-low-income census tracts that are adjacent to
5	an eligible low-income tract. Provided that the
6	non-low-income tract doesn't have a median family
7	income more than 125 percent. So, the adjacent
8	low-income tract.
9	So, it's meant to provide some real-
10	world flexibility for building economically
11	meaningful zones. But it's not meant to include
12	the clearly rapidly already gentrified zone next
13	to, with a much higher median income. But, yes,
14	that is an important provision in the bill and
15	one that I think Governors are aware.
16	MEMBER FREDERICK: IS D.C. treated as
17	a state for this purpose?
18	MR. FIKRI: D.C. is treated as a
19	state, yes. And then, so CDFI funds will likely
20	have roles in certifying the low-income census
21	tracts that are nominated by Governors since they
22	administer a lot of that for NMTC and others.

Likely in certifying the funds themselves. 1 2 And someone will have to audit the funds. The Legislation calls for that to happen 3 4 twice yearly, to make sure that they maintain an 5 investment mix that still qualifies. So that they maintain 90 percent of their assets in 6 opportunity zones. 7 8 And I don't know yet where that will 9 fall. That could be CDFI fund I think, but 10 that's TBD. 11 IRS, yes, I believe that IRS, we've also heard that IRS has people tasked to figuring 12 13 out how this is going to work and write the 14 rules, but I don't know exactly what portion of the legislation they're looking at now. 15 And, Andrew. 16 17 MEMBER REAMER: Can you say a bit 18 about the political process that got this bill 19 through? How EIG developed it, found sponsors 20 and collaborated. 21 MR. FIKRI: Yes, absolutely. So, very 22 early on, so we got some, I guess dumb luck, by

finding the right co-sponsors very early on. 1 2 So Tim Scott, Republican from South Carolina Senator, and then Cory Booker from New 3 4 Jersey, were the two lead offices who helped in 5 the genesis of the idea. Which also was, the 6 idea was originally formed by a White Paper that 7 was co-authored by Kevin Hassett and Jared 8 Bernstein. 9 So kind of unusual bedfellows looking 10 to see where past place based economic 11 development programs and incentives fell short 12 and then what something that really tried to see 13 the next generation of businesses and employers 14 for regions might look like. So, that's some of 15 the genesis. 16 And then on the House side it was 17 sponsored by Pat Tiberi, who is also a huge 18 champion of the New Markets Tax Credit from the 19 Republican side. And then Ron Kind, Democrat from Wisconsin. 20 21 And very quickly. Got a pretty good 22 uptake. We had a hundred bipartisan co-sponsors

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

www.nealrgross.com

by the end of it.

2	But then once it became clear that
3	this might be rolled into tax reform, Tim Scott
4	did a great job of taking the lead and then
5	making sure that the President was aware of it.
6	That it addressed his campaign promises and
7	concerns and a lot of what he had been talking
8	about. And to make sure that it was able to
9	survive through that process.
10	MEMBER FREDERICK: How, I don't mean
11	to get too into the weeds, but
12	MR. FIKRI: Sure.
13	MEMBER FREDERICK: funds only raise
14	it set cycles. How do you correlate a capital
15	gain to map to that?
16	Or can you just kind of grab whatever
17	capital gain you want?
18	MR. FIKRI: Yes, so one the idea,
19	as originally drafted said that we almost need a
20	stimulus for distressed areas of the country now.
21	So it had a date certain by which you needed to
22	enter the funds in order to benefit from the

(202) 234-4433

incentives and offers.

2	But some of those numbers, I mean, to
3	be honest, like weren't actually well updated
4	through the process as the delay so now it looks
5	like money has to be in almost by the end of 2018
6	to actually benefit for, I'm sorry, 2019, to
7	benefit for the, as Craig said, become due by
8	2026.
9	So Treasury might write rules that
10	shift the goalposts a little or create, make it
11	easier for folks to enter funds on a rolling
12	basis. But that's yet to be determined.
13	But, the original intent was that it
14	would get a lot of capital quickly. Hopefully
15	before the next market crash.
16	MEMBER FREDERICK: Because it's tough
17	for the funds. The funds can't take role in
18	commitments.
19	MR. FIKRI: That's right.
20	MEMBER FREDERICK: Because otherwise
21	your, you know, the cross basis in each of the
22	investments is a mess.

1	MR. FIKRI: Yes.
2	MEMBER FREDERICK: I mean, they can,
3	but it's just
4	CHAIR BRADLEY: Plus that legislation
5	is not for venture capital funds, they would
6	be more like CDFIs, capital loans, some of your
7	non-profit loan funds who typically serve those
8	areas.
9	MEMBER FREDERICK: Got it.
10	MR. FIKRI: Yes.
11	CHAIR BRADLEY: And the assumption was
12	D.C. is not typically in those areas so it's more
13	a community financial institution, et cetera. It
14	doesn't mean you can't, but that's why it's
15	picked that way.
16	MEMBER KENNEY: Is there a restriction
17	on the geographic scope of the funds that they
18	need to be focused on a specific data or they
19	could cover
20	MR. FIKRI: They can cover, yes, they
21	can cover
22	MEMBER KENNEY: a specific region

or --

-	
2	MR. FIKRI: Yes. Yes, we expect that
3	some will be region based, but others may be
4	national. I mean, JP Morgan, if it decides it
5	wants to offer funds to its clients, could offer
6	national, funds international scope or invest in
7	Detroit funds that it co-sponsors as well. It
8	could be any.
9	MEMBER REAMER: For the census tracts,
10	the eligible census tracts, I'm assuming it's the
11	American Community Survey data, five year
12	averages.
13	So latest five year average is from
14	2012 to 2016.
15	MR. FIKRI: Yes.
16	MEMBER REAMER: Is there kind of a
17	reset periodically? Because those numbers will
18	be updated.
19	MR. FIKRI: Yes, those numbers will be
20	updated. So, we don't so, right now what CDFI
21	fund has posted for its eligibility criteria is
22	the 2011 to 2015. We don't know yet whether it

will be that one or the 2012 to 2016 that 1 2 Treasury refers to in its guidance to Governors. But once a zone is designated, it 3 4 lasts for ten years. For the duration of the 5 program. So if Congress decides to renew the 6 7 program, then those dates may change and updates 8 may change after that. But for now, I believe 9 that was the question I forgot to answer. Yes, the zones will, designations will stick for ten 10 11 years. 12 So right now, governors are coming up 13 with their 25 percent low-income census tracts 14 that will be opportunity zones. A role for, not necessarily for this 15 16 group but maybe for those of you who are based 17 outside of D.C., is to work with your local 18 economic development organizations. Make sure 19 that they are in communication with the 20 governor's office and making sure that the 21 governor select zones that align with their strategic plans, zones that may be, you know, 22

governors have broad flexibilities, so I'm sure 1 2 there are some governors out there who will say like, hey, I want to dedicate all these to mega-3 4 projects and we don't have a lot of entrepreneurs 5 so why put it next to my university. Hopefully governors don't say that, 6 but you only have 25 percent of the zones to work 7 8 with it, it's a scarce number. And to some 9 extent, where those are located will dictate the type of investments that you're likely to get. 10 So I think that the incentive is most 11 12 attractive to folks who may want to invest in new 13 companies, but there is clearly a large real 14 estate industry that's setup around, a developer industry, setup around using incentives such as 15 16 this. And they may advocate for a lot of their 17 desired places to be included as well. 18 Which is good to get investment in 19 regardless. We take an agnostic review, but 20 governors need to be aware that how these take 21 shape on the ground will --22 The website looks MEMBER FREDERICK:

very real estate driven. 1 2 MR. FIKRI: Is that our website? MEMBER FREDERICK: CDFI fund. 3 Oh, CDFI fund. 4 MR. FIKRI: 5 MEMBER REAMER: Yes, that's not the 6 same. 7 MEMBER REICHERT: So, sorry, it can be 8 used for real estate and --9 MR. FIKRI: It can be used for --10 MEMBER REICHERT: -- investing companies? 11 12 MR. FIKRI: Yes. So funds can invest 13 in three things. 14 They can invest in originally issued 15 So that could be a new company that stock. 16 incorporates or a company that's expanding and 17 issuing new shares and is based in an opportunity 18 zone. 19 Second is partnership interests that meet the same criteria. 20 21 And then the third is tangible 22 property that is used by a business in a zone.

So that could be anything from a manufacturing, 1 2 shared manufacturing space where companies rent out use on pieces of capital equipment, it could 3 be co-working spaces, it could be physical 4 commercial buildings that other folks populate. 5 So they become manageable activities. 6 7 This can also be used for rental 8 I know there's a lot of criticism of housing. 9 the tax bill that it strips away the conventional sources of financing for rental housing. 10 This is one avenue that rental housing 11 12 can get a little extra money if investors decide to use this to build rental housing as well. 13 14 Since that's a business property. And I just wanted to say, ah, I think 15 16 from a perspective of this group and Commerce, 17 there is not a huge federal role in this, there 18 is no approving of projects, it's very kind of 19 hands off in market base by design. I think 20 that's how it got through the Republican Congress 21 with the champions that it did have. With that being said, if there is a 22

lot of uptake here, I think Congress may want to 1 2 align some of their programs and offerings and awards with opportunities zones and what the 3 4 programs would probably like to have. Commutable 5 communities and promised zones, et cetera. And for example, if EDA is granting, 6 making i6 grants or innovation grants in 7 8 companies, it might be more attractive for them 9 to add points to applicants who are located in opportunity zones who are eligible for, or on the 10 11 radar for what could be a large pot of capital. 12 We hope it will be a large pot of capital. 13 But for this to be successful, 14 governors have to designate the right zones, 15 hopefully in consultation with local folks on the 16 ground. And then banks have to setup funds and 17 then there have to be, not banks, anyone has to 18 setup funds. The finance industry has to setup 19 funds. 20 And then there has to be investable 21 opportunities on the ground and good coordination and awareness raising of companies that may be 22

www.nealrgross.com

starting or of projects that could be completed
 using this.

3 CHAIR BRADLEY: Are there any 4 deadlines of people to set these zones? 5 MR. FIKRI: The deadline, yes, is 6 March 22nd with a possibility of a one month 7 extension if governor's write to Treasury for 8 Treasury has not yet submitted any sort of that. 9 guidance or instructions to states on how, what those submissions should look like. 10 We expected that the last week of 11 12 January, now we're expecting it the first or 13 second week of February. But that clearly has to 14 come out first. And then they have to write all the 15 16 rules for detailing more specifically what kinds 17 of investments are eligible, what funds have to 18 look like. And it's a relatively complicated 19 piece of tax legislation for just eight pages of So there's a lot of work that 20 legislative taxes. 21 Treasury needs to do for the record rights. 22 MEMBER REICHERT: So to get the word

www.nealrgross.com

out to entrepreneurs that this fund will be
 available, whose responsibility is that, is that
 the fund manager?

4 MR. FIKRI: That would be ultimately 5 the fund manager. I would say it's the 6 responsibility of, probably some local economic 7 development organizations to say, who are working 8 with their entrepreneurs.

9 But, yes, that is -- so, to some 10 extent that's going to be up to, I think there will be places that do this really well and funds 11 12 that seek out opportunities well and are well publicized, and there may be others that are not 13 14 so good. So there should be a lot of 15 experimentation around this I would expect. 16 CHAIR BRADLEY: Can I just ask, how

16 CHAIR BRADLEY: Can I just ask, how
17 many people were familiar with this, before this?
18 MR. FIKRI: I was.
19 (Laughter)
20 CHAIR BRADLEY: Bias opinion.
21 (Simultaneously speaking)
22 CHAIR BRADLEY: You were. I only ask

that in terms of going back to what you said 1 2 around thinking about our policy around distribution of information, even if it's a work 3 4 in progress, because I would say, many of us in 5 D.C. or in that CDFI-like space, because we've been hearing about it for a while, even have 6 changed the comment. 7 8 MR. FIKRI: Okay. Yes. 9 CHAIR BRADLEY: So I just raise that 10 as an example for this group, which is highly engaged, that that's even more important than 11 12 what was raised in the principles. MEMBER BALDWIN: Is the low-income 13 14 bands specific to the state or is there is a 15 distribution because low-income in one state 16 could be high income in another. 17 MR. FIKRI: Yes. Yes, so either 20 18 poverty rate or it's 80 percent of the median 19 family income in the state for rural area or in 20 the metropolitan area for metro area census 21 tract. Yes, so it's all calibrated to the statewide median income. 22

	ε Ι
1	MR. R. SMITH: It's worth pointing out
2	that that's difference from EDA's criteria.
3	Which you are guys aren't concerned about as sort
4	of the traditional public works in EDA distress
5	criteria, but we use the national poverty line,
6	80 percent of the national per capita income.
7	MR. FIKRI: Yes. So in some ways
8	that, oh wait.
9	Yes, I guess in some ways that this is
10	different from patch programs is that, as I
11	mentioned, it's targeted on particular
12	geographies. So it's meant to concentrate
13	capital to a better extent than past programs
14	have.
15	And it's relatively simple after the
16	funds are setup and certified in that investors
17	don't have to.
18	There are no local hiring requirements
19	there are no local purchasing requirements. You
20	just have to have your money in a fund that
21	qualifies.
22	And that will hopefully, you know,

every requirement that you tack on is another 1 2 hurdle or probably increases attrition or reduces 3 4 MEMBER FREDERICK: So there aren't any 5 subsequent, I mean, there was a group, Enhanced 6 Capital, that did a lot of, and they had like a \$600 million fund I believe that was taking 7 8 advantage of tax credits. And they got into a 9 paperwork nightmare because --10 MR. FIKRI: Yes. 11 MEMBER FREDERICK: -- companies would 12 start with it and as they scaled, they'd move. So there is --13 MR. FIKRI: Yes. 14 MEMBER FREDERICK: As a venture firm 15 we'd see some young companies that were then 16 trapped and they couldn't get subsequent funding because we're like, wait a minute, there's no way 17 18 we're going to hire enough people into this 19 region. 20 MR. FIKRI: Yes, there's an off ramp 21 for companies that do expand. I think that within five years or so. I think the fund divest 22

1 from them.

2	But there is a relatively long-time
3	frame. I forget the exact details for that
4	MEMBER FREDERICK: Okay.
5	MR. FIKRI: but meant to not
6	penalize success.
7	MR. BUERSTATTE: And Whitney, did you
8	have something to chime in with? I think you had
9	a question earlier.
10	MEMBER SMITH: Actually, you circled
11	back, Kenan, and answered the question about how
12	long the trust lands, but can I ask a different
13	one? Is there still an opportunity?
14	MR. BUERSTATTE: Of course. Plenty.
15	MEMBER SMITH: Okay. So, the whole
16	effort was valued at something, and I can't
17	remember the number now, but in order to come up
18	with that, is there kind of an internal estimate,
19	and based on what, of how many investors will
20	engage in this kind of work?
21	And maybe this is like too hard of a
22	question to answer because it depends a lot on

our ability to get the word out and the structure 1 2 into the deal, but somebody did some thinking about the estimate and I'm just wondering if you 3 4 have any thoughts about that? 5 Yes, JCT had to come up MR. FIKRI: with the score for the bill and how much it would 6 7 cost. 8 Tell people what JCT MR. BUERSTATTE: 9 is. 10 MR. FIKRI: Oh, sorry. Joint Tax 11 Committee. They do -- they are back in the mouse 12 traps in Congress, not mouse traps, mouse wheels 13 in Congress, trying to figure out how much a bill 14 is going to cost over time. In addition to other things that they do. 15 16 So they said that it would, I think 17 they estimated something in, I can't recall, 18 something in the tens of billions to get to like 19 a one point something billion dollar price tag. 20 Which was significantly cheaper than New Markets 21 Tax Credit price tag or anything. But that's based on their own models. 22

I don't know, we don't know frankly how much 1 2 capital this will move. 3 MEMBER REAMER: Is there a program 4 evaluation piece built into the legislation? And I'm curious if anyone is going to 5 attempt to measure the substitution effect? 6 I --7 (Simultaneous speaking) -- investment would have 8 taken place anyway without --9 MR. FIKRI: Yes. Good question. So, 10 there is nothing in the legislation, but, so one of my next steps, one of the things that I think 11 12 we will be doing is standing up some sort of advisory council for the rule writing process 13 14 that says, you might want to keep data on some of 15 these things, here is some of the concerns, not 16 concerns but, just gather input from stakeholders 17 and people who are actually probably going to 18 implement this, how the rule should specifically 19 be written. 20 So if anyone is interested in 21 potentially joining that I am going to leave a stack of cards, you should let me know on that 22

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

www.nealrgross.com

front.

1

2	And one thing I want to say before I
3	forget too is that this solves one piece of a
4	very complicated puzzle for communities. The
5	axis to capital and the fact that investors have
6	kind of a herd mentality and a lot of blind spots
7	and just frankly don't look at large tracts of
8	the country for investable opportunities that are
9	there.
10	But yes, this just takes care of the
11	capital equation. I think that EDA and Commerce
12	could do tons on the ecosystem building front, on
13	the awareness building front, on mentorship, on
14	skills. You know, everything.
15	There are so many supporting programs
16	that need to be there for a community to really
17	take off and turn around that I think, so even
18	though Treasury is in the weeds writing rules on
19	this, if it's successfully, it will be even more
20	successful if other pieces of local puzzle are in
21	place. So yes, go ahead.
22	CHAIR BRADLEY: Do either have a fact

sheet or something or an overview that can be 1 2 sent --3 MR. FIKRI: Yes. 4 CHAIR BRADLEY: -- so we can all --5 Yes, we have that. Yes. MR. FIKRI: There is a really --6 MR. R. SMITH: 7 CHAIR BRADLEY: A website? 8 MR. R. SMITH: -- the eig.org website 9 has some really valuable stuff on it. It's got 10 some one and two page things. 11 There was an op-ed on the Hill last 12 week that was about the Investing Opportunity 13 Act, which really speaks to this program. And I 14 think that from and EDA perspective this is, you know, one of the things that I do here, one of 15 16 the hats that I wear, is that I'm the Research and National Technical Assistance Coordinator. 17 18 Which means that we do a lot of 19 research policy type things in-house, we do a lot 20 of grants and cooperative agreements for other 21 and research type things. And then we do some grants for technical assistants that sort of do 22

outreach to communities, outreach to stakeholders
 and things like that.

But we really like talking about this policy space. And I think I've been really encouraged to see what's coming out of the economics innovation group because they're doing it on their own.

8 And the fact that people are talking 9 about the stress in ways that are constructive 10 and coming up with solutions that are even 11 outside of the things that we're talking about is 12 really encouraging.

So we're trying to think about how
these sorts of things might, not just play with
i6 and seed and RIS related things, but how this
could play with sort of the traditional EDA
programs. Like RLF, right?
You know, I can see a big nexus
between --

20 MR. BUERSTATTE: It's a revolving loan 21 fund.

MR. R. SMITH: And you trying to

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1 leverage some of the opportunity zones
2 information. I don't know if that discussion is
3 happening.
4 I think that we're sort of in the
5 beginnings of figuring out how we can align sort
6 of the same programmaticals with what we are
7 doing.

8 So, if you have any ideas in terms of 9 research, things that you might want to take a look at, I think that that's something that we 10 would, we would welcome that in terms of ideas. 11 And the other thing that you should 12 know about our NTA and what we're anticipating is 13 14 that we're hoping to have a notice of funding opportunity, out the door, shortly. Which will 15 16 outline the kinds of projects that we're looking 17 for.

18 The kinds of outcome focused projects 19 that we're looking for, for research and for 20 national technical assistance and how those 21 things will inform the broader policy goals that 22 we're trying to accomplish throughout EDA

1	programs. So, keep a look out for that.
2	MEMBER REAMER: And when do you hope
3	to release that? Hope.
4	MR. FIKRI: Soon.
5	MR. BUERSTATTE: Sorry, and let me
6	translate two thoughts there on where I see, that
7	is both relevant for the body here, the council,
8	and future recommendations when thinking about
9	the research and technical assistance that could
10	elevate this work that Kenan just told us about
11	that are complementary. But also of course for
12	your own communities and networks.
13	I know many of you are, maybe directly
14	represent research organizations, whether it's
15	universities and other non-profits, or your
16	networks themselves. So this could be a really
17	unique resource.
18	And to Scott and Melissa's point on
19	awareness, hopefully if this intrigues you let us
20	know and we can be sure to follow-up and get you
21	more information. Because part of the barrier
22	for us is just knowledge that we are here and

working on this stuff. 1 2 MEMBER FREDERICK: Do you guys have anything about, you know, kind of the steps to 3 stand-up one of these qualifying funds? 4 5 You know, I can go to the Valley. Ι mean, there is plenty of people with --6 7 MR. BUERSTATTE: Sure. 8 MEMBER FREDERICK: -- extraordinary 9 capital gains --MR. R. SMITH: That are looking for a 10 11 place to use it. 12 MEMBER FREDERICK: Yes. And it's easy 13 for me to search for entrepreneurs who came from 14 disadvantage communities who've come into capital 15 gains and that's just a sweet market for you 16 guys. 17 MR. FIKRI: Yes. 18 MEMBER FREDERICK: So if you guys have 19 anything. 20 MR. FIKRI: Yes, we do. And we're 21 getting more --22 MEMBER FREDERICK: What you need to do

1	is make it easy for me to communicate to them
2	that, hey, out of your nine-digit exit would you
3	like to
4	MR. FIKRI: Yes, absolutely. And I'm
5	glad you mentioned universities in that.
6	So we've been talking to Johns Hopkins
7	Venture Partners and they have had so many spin-
8	outs at that university and none of them can get
9	capital in Baltimore so they go to the Valley.
10	So Johns Hopkins Ventures is extremely
11	excited about being able to say to all of its
12	alumni network, hey look, a capitalized art fund
13	that is going to keep companies in Baltimore.
14	And I think that's a good example of the ideal
15	use case.
16	And I'm sure that we have many other
17	cases around, but that's the sort of problem
18	that, or that's the sort of thing that's at the
19	core of this legislation that this is trying to
20	solve.
21	MR. R. SMITH: And as an aggregator,
22	I mean, what we're trying to solve in the

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

research space and what we're trying to use our 1 2 grants for is, what information do you need, what problem do you need us to solve that will get you 3 4 to yes. 5 I think that that's what we're looking 6 for in our NTA is we would be really excited 7 about doing this if we knew X, Y, Z. And then 8 tell me what X, Y, Z is and we'll try to figure 9 out how we can get to X, Y, Z. And I think that's what you guys are 10 after too is you can ignite this whole area if 11 12 you fill in these blanks. 13 MR. FIKRI: Yes. 14 MEMBER REAMER: Kenan, one of the 15 lessons learned from this process over the last 16 year, in terms of what made it work for going forward, and what else is on the EIG's vision for 17 18 what it would like to do next again? 19 MR. FIKRI: Yes, that's a great 20 question. So we need to get through 21 implementation. 22 So, yes, it's been, we're only about

five people and there's been a lot of incoming 1 2 interest from a lot sorts of folks, which has been really great to see. So it looks like funds 3 4 will be setup, which is one of the early initial 5 accrued points governors are, in many states, being very thoughtful about the zones that they 6 7 designate. So that's all really exciting. 8 I think that though there are, as I 9 said, this is only one piece of the puzzle and we're going to try to tackle other pieces of the 10 11 puzzle relatively --12 MEMBER REAMER: Such as? 13 MR. FIKRI: Such as, yes, I don't know 14 vet to be honest. 15 **MEMBER REAMER:** Okay. 16 MR. FIKRI: But I think that this 17 theme will be, actually, we might tackle 18 immigration next just to be provocative, but 19 we'll see. 20 MEMBER REAMER: And what, like, what 21 are the two, three factors that made this work? 22 Very few pieces of legislation get

1 through --2 MR. FIKRI: Yes. MEMBER REAMER: -- and get through 3 4 this way with bipartisan. MR. FIKRI: Yes, good question. 5 So, I think that, so we are, it starts with the 6 7 research. 8 The Distressed Comminutes Index is a 9 data product that we developed that looks at economic well-being across seven metrics on all 10 zip codes in the United States. And we use that 11 12 to tee-up the need case for just how divergent the fates of the communities are nowadays. 13 14 And especially how diverse the growth trends are. And that most of the country is 15 16 still declining while the national growth is, not 17 most countries, sorry, there are large parts of 18 the country that are still seeing the job losses 19 and business closures even as the national 20 economy is reaching new heights in many respect. 21 So, I think that data was very And we customized it congressional 22 powerful.

2	So we brought it, it was a lot of foot
3	and ground work, we brought it office-to-office
4	and then gave them, customized profiles of their
5	place.
6	Very few people actually give
7	congressmen and women dated information about
8	their own district. So I think that that brought
9	it home.
10	And some of it was just luck with the
11	original co-sponsors. I think Tim Scott, with
12	his personal narrative and his personal
13	experience. And it was something that he, the
14	sort of idea that I think he wanted to achieve in
15	his time in public service. So that was really
16	great.
17	I think that we also benefitted, I
18	guess, from that main advocate being in the
19	controlling party. So the Republican party.
20	Because, frankly, as soon as it became
21	probable that it would be part of tax reform,
22	like, there's not going to be the champion of it

1	again. So we had multiple strong heads
2	supporting the legislation.
3	And depending on how things shook out,
4	one could carry it forward. The strongest force
5	given the circumstances could carry it forward.
6	And then, what else. Yes, so I just
7	think a lot of the manual work was good. And
8	then it was a standalone piece of legislation at
9	first but then willing to get it rolled into
10	other pieces of legislation as needed because not
11	much moved. The tax reform did and this was a
12	tax bill in the end.
13	And some of the aspects were tweaked
14	in order to keep that score low in this Congress.
15	Because there was still talk about the deficit
16	and all back when they were trying to assemble
17	the bill.
18	So all that played together I think.
19	But having, I mean, having the, people were
20	actually very refreshed on both sides of the
21	aisle to be able to be part of a bipartisan bill
22	and know that their friends on the other side of

1	the aisle were also supportive of it. And I
2	think that sort of appeared, just people were
3	That leaves us relatively optimistic
4	in the bipartisan environment that there was such
5	appetite to do bipartisan things together. And
6	this was a safe space that didn't have a lot of
7	stakeholders or vested interests around it that
8	made it, I think, refreshingly neutral ground for
9	folks to engage in.
10	MEMBER FREDERICK: So sadden to hear.
11	MR. FIKRI: Yes.
12	MEMBER FREDERICK: I don't want to
13	hold you to something you said, I think kind of
14	words by the wayside
15	MR. FIKRI: Okay.
16	MEMBER FREDERICK: but you could
17	have given me a hundred guesses on what you
18	tackle next
19	MR. FIKRI: Yes.
20	MEMBER FREDERICK: and I wouldn't
21	have guessed you'd go immigration when you're
22	talking about safe zones

1	MR. FIKRI: Yes.
2	MEMBER FREDERICK: so can you give
3	a hint on that or is that too off track?
4	MR. FIKRI: That may be too off track.
5	I mean, so on the less exciting front there are
6	things like non-compete agreements that are, that
7	obstruct spin-outs and people from starting their
8	own businesses that are relatively, or there's
9	not a lot of new research, I think, to do around
10	it but we could add a voice to try and rein those
11	in because they do sap entrepreneurialism of the
12	economy and innovation of course.
13	MEMBER FREDERICK: You want help on
14	non-competes
15	MR. FIKRI: Non-competes, okay.
16	MEMBER FREDERICK: in the CA with
17	national venture capital association
18	MR. FIKRI: Really? Oh, yes.
19	MEMBER FREDERICK: would be an
20	advocate.
21	MR. FIKRI: Yes. Yes, of course. So
22	those are small things.
-	

	ے ۱
1	Bigger picture stuff I think we're,
2	yes, we will, we still care very much about the,
3	I guess, geographically uneven nature of growth
4	now. I think we'll keep trying to produce data
5	projects that put those disparities into light a
6	little better, but I don't know exactly what
7	shape those we'll take yet.
8	CHAIR BRADLEY: Can you share how you
9	got to the term, because it's not an accident
10	that you came with opportunity?
11	MR. FIKRI: Yes. That was probably,
12	what was that.
13	CHAIR BRADLEY: There's lots of words
14	you could have used.
15	MR. FIKRI: There's lots of words we
16	could have used, yes. I think actually, one
17	of the co-founders of the organization could
18	probably speak a little more intelligently to how
19	they, or how they and we arrived there.
20	But I think it's something that's
21	appealing to both parties. I mean, you mentioned
22	the word inclusion before in your debates,

unfortunately I think that's almost a partisan 1 2 word now, opportunity is not. So being a bipartisan organization and 3 4 having two folks who deeply immersed in the 5 respected communities were able to I think find 6 joint terminology. And it's very, the whole idea 7 of zip code destiny thing is deeply offensive to 8 many Republicans and many on the right. 9 So anything that would, any solution 10 that would try to tackle that problem and any 11 language that spoke to those issues was very well 12 received. 13 CHAIR BRADLEY: Thank you. 14 MR. FIKRI: Yes. 15 Well, Kenan, if MR. BUERSTATTE: 16 you're able to, we'd love for you to stick around 17 and join us during break time, which is up next. 18 MR. FIKRI: Of course. 19 MR. BUERSTATTE: And if you can have 20 any sidebars, people who want to dig a little bit 21 deeper into some of these specifics, we welcome 22 you to stay for the rest of the morning.

But before we break, I think we've got 1 2 one personal update I wanted to highlight. Mike Nemeth I think has a new book that he would love 3 4 some feedback on, so if you're interested in 5 hearing about Mike's new book, please leave with him during the break. 6 7 MEMBER NEMETH: I appreciate the 8 opportunity to speak with you about the book that 9 I published instead of wrote. And I can explain that further if anyone is interested. 10 11 MR. BUERSTATTE: All right. So, we 12 are just a few minutes ahead of schedule. 13 Depending on when Eric comes back with edits we 14 may start as early as 11:15. So this is a little 15 bit of a longer break than normal just to allow 16 some editing time. 17 Again, let me emphasize, could be 18 11:15 but I'd rather get us ahead of that if 19 possible. So if he comes back, we might start at 20 11:05 or something and we'll rally and sit back 21 down. 22 So at this point, Whitney, and anyone

1	else on the line, we will be on mute for the next
2	few minutes and enjoy a quick break and we'll
3	reconvene soon.
4	(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter
5	went off the record at 10:46 a.m. and resumed at
6	11:17 a.m.)
7	MR. BUERSTATTE: All right, folks
8	welcome back. We will start back up.
9	Looking at the agenda we're just a few
10	minutes ahead. We were supposed to come back at
11	11:20, but what was great about EIG and Kenan's
12	presentation allowed us to get a little extra
13	time for Eric to work through
14	CHAIR BRADLEY: Work his magic.
15	MR. BUERSTATTE: yes. More on
16	feedback.
17	I'll turn it over to Eric in a second
18	to frame the, lay the groundwork of where we're
19	at and how he did that. But I will say I'd like
20	to take the rest of today to get some more
21	feedback on this, elevate the conversation one
22	more time around what we want to get out of this.

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

I	
1	And we'll take some more notes,
2	compile those. And I don't think we're ready for
3	a vote for a few reasons, but one is, we just did
4	another big pivot, not a pivot but a decent
5	change.
6	But I really want, like I said earlier
7	this morning, I think it's really important that
8	we have all members to have some agency and input
9	on this. So since we only have 45 minutes left,
10	I think it's best that we pause and we'll work on
11	the logistics virtually and how we do that. And
12	we can discuss that at the end, next steps.
13	But absolutely no later than a few
14	weeks from now I'd say we would pull together a
15	virtual vote and get this through. I'll pause
16	there. We'll talk through details and what I
17	have in mind that I think will work quite nicely.
18	But, Eric, do you want to tell us
19	where we're at and what you did?
20	MR. E. SMITH: Sure. So, I
21	essentially took what we talked about, the four
22	categories around catalytic, collaborative,

1

strategic and accessible.

2	And it's a little bit of a hybrid of
3	some of the options we talked about, so a couple
4	sentences framing up the importance of each one
5	of those high-level principles. And then a
6	couple bullets that are a little more specific
7	about how some of those principles might be
8	implemented or realized. What some of the goals
9	are.
10	So those bullets are going to reuse
11	and combine some of the language from the bullets
12	that were in the previous version of this. The
13	preparatory text and each of the sections is new.
14	For the most part.
15	So happy to, I know you've only had a
16	couple minutes to take a look at it. I think, as
17	Craig said, since we're taking this back and
18	going to take in comments from all those who
19	weren't able to be with us these two days, you're
20	all welcome to do that as well.
21	But maybe we can just talk about kind
22	of the top line framing for each of these and get

-
your feedback and then go from there.
MEMBER REICHERT: I'd like to suggest
a structural change. And that is to put strategy
at the top.
MR. E. SMITH: Okay.
MEMBER REICHERT: And to rename it
something along the lines of data driven strategy
to ensure global competitiveness. I feel like
the competitiveness thing really needs to be
called out and that fits with what this
administration wants to highlight.
I think the bullets underneath that
would fit fine.
MEMBER REAMER: I second that.
MR. E. SMITH: Easy.
MEMBER BALDWIN: Competitiveness is
the end result from the strategy, right?
MR. E. SMITH: Right.
MEMBER REICHERT: But we need a
strategy to be competitiveness. So I think
competitiveness needs to come out in the title
MR. E. SMITH: Yes.

	I Contraction of the second	11
1	MEMBER REICHERT: that's why we're	
2	doing all of this.	
3	MR. E. SMITH: Yes. Any other	
4	thoughts or high-level important concepts or	
5	words that are missed here at the top line?	
6	MEMBER REAMER: For catalyze I'd like	
7	to broaden the phrase somehow. I'm not quite	
8	sure how to do it.	
9	But basically, catalyze the markets,	
10	the working of markets stuff. The private	
11	industry is an important element but I also want	
12	to catalyze the ability of people in markets to	
13	make good choices. The entrepreneurs, the	
14	workers, the people in industry.	
15	MEMBER REICHERT: It sounds like	
16	catalyze private industry success or catalyze	
17	private industry engagement.	
18	MEMBER REAMER: I want to include the	
19	workforce as well as industry. So, when Kenan	
20	was talking earlier he used the term market-base,	
21	which I like and I was trying to think, can we	
22	include that here, like catalyze market	

1	operation.
2	I can't think of a good way to say it.
3	But it would include private industry and private
4	individuals.
5	MEMBER BALDWIN: So is it catalyze
6	private industry and individuals?
7	MEMBER REAMER: That certainly gets
8	the idea. And with collaboration I would like to
9	make sure it was mentioned of government-to-
10	government collaboration, because I think a theme
11	throughout our discussions is Commerce's role
12	with other departments who really have primary
13	responsibility.
14	Kenan just talked about Treasury is up
15	and Ryan got up and talked about how Commerce can
16	help make the work better. The same with
17	workforce, it's the same with infrastructure,
18	Commerce has a catalytic role in developing
19	relationships with these other government
20	departments.
21	So it's not just public-private
22	collaborations, public-public collaborations.

1 MR. E. SMITH: Yes, I actually had, 2 there was a separate bullet on that and I 3 collapsed that into the aligning public and 4 private sector resources. So maybe adding a wo	
3 collapsed that into the aligning public and	
4 private sector resources. So maybe adding a wo	
	ord
5 or two in there	
6 MEMBER REAMER: Okay. Oh, I see yo	ou
7 have multi-agencies.	
8 MR. E. SMITH: Yes. Aligning	
9 resources within and among the public and priva	ate
10 sector.	
11 MEMBER REAMER: I think this works.	
12 I'm sorry, I missed the bullet there.	
13 MR. E. SMITH: And I think you're	
14 right, the sort of baseline reading of it in	
15 favor of the public-private reading as opposed	to
16 public-public, private-private, public-private.	
17 MEMBER REICHERT: What do we want t	0
18 catalyze private industry to do? I'm having	
19 trouble with that title.	
20 MR. GOLDFINE: So we're talking	
21 MR. E. SMITH: So go ahead.	
22 MR. GOLDFINE: We were talking	

yesterday, I think David was really hitting on 1 2 it, it was recognizing the gap in some of the administration, R&D priorities, they talk about 3 supporting basic research and then industry 4 taking it from there and recognizing there is the 5 role in-between of intermediaries and how much 6 work is really needed to get things out of the 7 8 lab and into the market. I think that is kind of 9 where some of these concepts were coming from. MR. E. SMITH: As Andrew was talking 10 I, about this part earlier, I landed on, before I 11 12 moved on to the collaboration one, catalyze high 13 performing markets of industries and individuals. 14 MEMBER REICHERT: What we want to 15 catalyze is engagement of the private sector, 16 with the government and other, in between the 17 two. 18 CHAIR BRADLEY: Just for my own, I 19 quess clarity, but also in this stark distinction between what you said and others have said 20 21 compared to the first bullet under two, what's missing from that bullet? 22

I	
1	Is there a way to address this, adding
2	the collaboration piece between agencies as well
3	or is something else missing there?
4	MEMBER BALDWIN: I think that fits
5	under Number 2. The collaboration
6	CHAIR BRADLEY: But
7	MEMBER BALDWIN: engaging industry,
8	engaging private industry
9	CHAIR BRADLEY: Okay.
10	MEMBER BALDWIN: seems to fit there
11	under Number 2.
12	CHAIR BRADLEY: Okay.
13	MEMBER BALDWIN: Bridge and engage
14	CHAIR BRADLEY: I'm trying to call out
15	what you guys were just talking about.
16	MEMBER BALDWIN: Yes.
17	CHAIR BRADLEY: The interagency piece,
18	so that's captured there.
19	MEMBER BALDWIN: Interagency piece I
20	think is under Bullet 2. One under Number 2.
21	CHAIR BRADLEY: Yes.
22	MEMBER KENNEY: There is something

about the strategy, the opening paragraph. 1 In 2 order to realize accelerated economic growth we need strategy, there's a grammatical problem, but 3 4 we need strategy to support American entrepreneurs to play innovative technologies 5 here at home. 6 7 So if we need a strategy, are we suggesting that somebody go create a strategy? 8 9 And then the bullets just talk about 10 having implying, excuse me, imply that there is a 11 strategy that we're following. So I'm trying to figure out if we're recommending that somebody go 12 13 off and create a strategy? 14 Because there is something different 15 about going and creating a strategy or being 16 strategic, which is I think the word we were 17 using before which is, in my mind, sort of 18 thoughtful and intentional about an approach. 19 MEMBER REICHERT: We need a strategy. 20 MR. E. SMITH: Yes. We need to 21 operate strategically. 22 MEMBER KENNEY: Okay. So, are we

suggesting the Secretary's Office should go 1 2 develop the strategy or are we --MEMBER REICHERT: That's what we said 3 4 yesterday. In the innovation, second innovation, 5 that that would be a good role for Congress to look across all agencies and industries and 6 7 decide, what is it that we should be focusing on. 8 So, David, what about MEMBER REAMER: 9 if we made the second bullet, the first bullet, flip the first and second bullet so that the 10 11 first step would be to actually get information 12 from which you can see where you're at, and then 13 on the basis of that analysis, make your 14 innovation? That helps. 15 MEMBER KENNEY: That's a 16 really good suggestion. 17 MEMBER BALDWIN: And then is what 18 you're saying, Number 3 then becomes strategic leadership as the title? 19 20 MEMBER REICHERT: That implies we have 21 a strategy. I don't think we have a strategy. 22 MEMBER SMITH: It drops data and

analysis out. 1 2 MEMBER REAMER: Yes, I think Andrew's suggestion helps me a lot. 3 4 MR. E. SMITH: Can you repeat that, 5 Andrew, I'm sorry? Just flipping what 6 MEMBER REAMER: 7 Bullets 1 and 2. 8 MEMBER KENNEY: Under Number 3. 9 MEMBER REAMER: Yes. And then having the new second bullet refer to the first bullet 10 11 on the basis of the analysis directing innovation 12 obviously. MEMBER REICHERT: And I noticed in the 13 14 third bullet, in that section, that you dropped 15 healthcare. Was there any reason for that? 16 (Off record comments) 17 MR. E. SMITH: Actually, I wanted to 18 like get away from the laundry list and I was 19 trying to get rid of one word and that seemed like the one that was of like the three that were 20 21 called out. Maybe least resident with this 22 administration.

1 I also don't want to get into the, 2 like we have that R&D priorities memo --MEMBER REICHERT: 3 Right. MR. E. SMITH: -- and that exists so 4 5 I don't want to sort of rehash that by trying to list out sectoral priorities. But I could be 6 convinced otherwise. 7 8 MEMBER SMITH: Well, it does say other 9 priority sectors. 10 MEMBER REAMER: What --11 MR. E. SMITH: Or just in priority 12 sectors. 13 MEMBER REAMER: What about ensuring 14 investments, federal investments in 15 administration priorities, including 16 infrastructure, R&D and whatever apprenticeships or whatever else the administration has declared 17 18 a priority. 19 MEMBER REICHERT: Well, does 20 apprenticeship fit there? 21 MEMBER REAMER: No. 22 MEMBER REICHERT: No, I don't think

1 so. 2 MEMBER REAMER: But I think infrastructure and R&D are there any other, Craig 3 and Eric, any other priorities that we can say 4 5 the administration has declared? 6 MR. E. SMITH: I mean, from an R&D 7 perspective there is that memo --8 That's --MEMBER REAMER: 9 -- written beyond that. MR. E. SMITH: 10 MEMBER REICHERT: Maybe it's other R&D priority R&D sectors? 11 12 Because then we're referencing a memo 13 that has that laundry list in it. Then you would 14 have energy, health and people can says, oh yes, I fit there, even if they don't. 15 16 MR. E. SMITH: Sorry. 17 MEMBER REAMER: And so our aim is to 18 make friends here. Have been ensuring federal 19 investments in the administration priorities of 20 infrastructure, defense and R&D. 21 MEMBER REICHERT: In other priority 22 R&D sectors. That's all we're saying.

MEMBER REAMER: Well, but
infrastructure and defense are not R&D.
MEMBER REICHERT: Yes, but we want
them to invest in R&D and they say they want.
MEMBER BALDWIN: So she is suggesting
in infrastructure, defense and other R&D priority
sectors. Adding R&D to
MR. E. SMITH: But I think there are
two questions here. There is one, like, do you
want to invest in R&D and then the other one is,
if you're going to invest in R&D, what areas,
what like science
MEMBER REAMER: Right.
MR. E. SMITH: and technology do
you invest in with respect to R&D. And I think
we don't want to like mix the levels there
either.
MEMBER REAMER: I agree. And I guess
what I am thinking is that R&D, to me, based on
our conversation yesterday, is too limiting and
that there may be some perfectly good commercial
technologies out there and a role is to get those

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC 122

innovative technologies in use. 1 2 R&D is useful for some things but other things have been R&D already. 3 But the 4 Defense Department and DOT are not aware --5 MEMBER BALDWIN: We need to --MEMBER REAMER: -- it's about the 6 adoption and implementation of innovative 7 8 technologies. 9 MR. E. SMITH: We can do that. 10 MEMBER REICHERT: Okay. 11 So instead of MEMBER BALDWIN: 12 procuring, are you suggesting engage with and 13 drive the adoption of the best and most 14 innovative products and services? 15 MEMBER REAMER: But no, your second 16 line is fine, I was working on Emily's comment 17 about other R&D sectors. I just want to be 18 clear, in my mind, infrastructure and defense are 19 on the same level as R&D they're not subsets of That's how I think of it. 20 R&D. 21 They are uses for innovation products and services and infrastructure and defense that 22

Washington DC

www.nealrgross.com

1

are not R&D related.

2	MEMBER REICHERT: Under catalyze
3	private industry, we're talking about the second
4	bullet, deploying streamline regulations tailored
5	to reduce burdens. What was the discussion
6	around that?
7	Is there anything we can do there to
8	be a little more specific? That just seems kind
9	of like a throwaway line to me.
10	Like, what regulations are we trying
11	to reduce? What was the discussion on that
12	topic?
13	MR. BUERSTATTE: This was in relation
14	to some of your comments. Where we're talking
15	about newer industries that might have regulatory
16	barriers that aren't adaptive to new markets,
17	aren't defined or they're defined for different
18	type of, in this case, maybe for you, an energy
19	market.
20	So, we were trying to capture not only
21	regulatory, kind of red tape reduction, but also
22	reduction can be abused in fact. And sometimes

it's more about the smart regulations, 1 2 regulations that are relevant to today's innovation economy. 3 And in term, resulting in accelerated 4 5 commercialization, scale, access to markets, et 6 cetera. 7 MEMBER REICHERT: Okay. So on that --8 MEMBER KENNEY: 9 MEMBER BALDWIN: I think the other 10 conversation was reducing the barriers to entry for entrepreneurs, that they don't have to spend 11 the first year learning all the licensing and the 12 13 permitting and all of the things that they, in 14 making the mistakes that cost them money and --MEMBER KENNEY: I think that's 15 16 actually a good phrase, the lowering of barriers. 17 I think that's sort of what, how I sort of read 18 the reduced burdens that might attribute more --19 MEMBER REICHERT: Yes. Lowering 20 burden area would be better. 21 MEMBER KENNEY: Lowering barriers. 22 MEMBER REAMER: And also, a priority

for the administration is the reduction of 1 2 occupational regulation. Occupational regulation, there is, the 3 4 priority administration, or review of the 5 administration is that too many occupations require licenses that exclude people from being 6 7 able to participate, so there is an aim to 8 reduce, in states, the likely regulation of 9 opportunity. And licensing, are 10 MR. BUERSTATTE: 11 you saying that should be called out individually 12 alongside regulations? 13 MEMBER REAMER: Alongside. It's just 14 part of regulation. It's not like regulating businesses it's regulating occupations, et 15 16 cetera. 17 MEMBER BALDWIN: I agree. 18 MR. BUERSTATTE: Right. And so are 19 you saying add licensing to that? 20 MEMBER REAMER: No. So streamline 21 regulations to reduce burdens, accelerate 22 entrepreneurship success, facilitate entry into

occupations, there is a better way to say, but 1 2 basically facilitate the ability of people to 3 enter occupations. Just as examples. 4 MR. BUERSTATTE: Okay. MEMBER REICHERT: Facilitate job 5 creation? 6 7 MEMBER REAMER: That's fine. It's 8 general, but that's fine. 9 MEMBER BALDWIN: What it takes to be 10 able to cut somebody's hair is really, the license to get that is a barrier to entry. 11 12 MEMBER KENNEY: And it's different 13 than job creation though. I would substitute job 14 creation --15 MEMBER REAMER: Okay. 16 MEMBER KENNEY: -- as a pathway to 17 occupation access. And that almost --18 MEMBER REAMER: Okay, yes, thank you. 19 This is something the Secretary's Office has said 20 it cares about. 21 MEMBER SMITH: Facilitate access is what you're doing by reducing the license where 22

your job is there already. 1 2 MEMBER REICHERT: Should that be under accessible opportunities bullet instead. 3 4 MEMBER KENNEY: I was just wondering, 5 I was just thinking that as well. Seeing if you're trying to make it, occupations more 6 accessible by reducing that area, that would be a 7 8 regulator reform, related to accessibility. 9 MR. GOLDFINE: Maybe that third 10 bullet, in accessibility about training, credentialing might fit in there somewhere. 11 12 MEMBER REAMER: Yes, that's a good 13 place. 14 MR. E. SMITH: Yes, good. 15 Which bullet? MEMBER REICHERT: 16 MEMBER REAMER: The third bullet under 17 accessibility. 18 MEMBER REICHERT: So promoting both 19 training for skills. 20 MEMBER KENNEY: Yes, the trainability 21 and, both training and --22 What would you say --MEMBER BALDWIN:

MEMBER KENNEY: And maybe licensing 1 2 barriers or --MEMBER REAMER: Appropriate levels of 3 4 licensing. 5 MEMBER SMITH: I wouldn't take out trainability. 6 7 MEMBER KENNEY: No. 8 MEMBER REAMER: No, no, we're not 9 talking about --MEMBER KENNEY: This would be in 10 addition to. 11 12 So promoting both MEMBER BALDWIN: 13 training and --14 MEMBER REAMER: And reducing barriers 15 to entry. 16 MEMBER BALDWIN: -- barriers to entry 17 for skills that need private sector --18 MR. E. SMITH: Yes, so --19 CHAIR BRADLEY: Wait, I just want to 20 make sure. Does that get to your credential --21 MR. GOLDFINE: Maybe. And something 22 around nimble credentialing to meet the needs of

a rapid change in the economy.

2 CHAIR BRADLEY: Oh, I really like that. 3 MR. GOLDFINE: With the focus on the 4 5 needs of the companies and being nimble to meet their needs and keeping that credentialing --6 7 MEMBER JOHNSON: With respect to 8 licensing, there are huge discussions going on 9 about veterans. You know, if you learn a skill 10 in the Army, let's say you were an EMT or something, how does that skill, from a licensing 11 12 point of view, transfer into the workforce. And there is all kinds of issues with 13 14 that. I think lots of community colleges are involved in that. 15 16 There is a workforce in making, we 17 have a barrier that exists today for that 18 workforce, who come into this entrepreneurial --19 MEMBER BALDWIN: It's almost Yes. 20 like the, and the Irish have done a great job at 21 solving this, it's getting your work-based experience credential. 22

	13
1	So they actually, they allow you to
2	map your work-based experience to the academic
3	objectives and they will, yes, you got it.
4	And I had to go to Ireland to get some
5	of our employee's work-based experience, academic
6	credentials that they can then bring back.
7	MEMBER JOHNSON: If we had some
8	language in here though that just pointed that
9	out people would say, yes, yes.
10	MEMBER BALDWIN: Yes, does an EMT in
11	the Army really need to go through a different
12	process
13	MEMBER JOHNSON: Or a
14	MEMBER BALDWIN: license process in
15	every state.
16	MEMBER JOHNSON: whatever it is.
17	MEMBER BALDWIN: Could you restate
18	what you just, how you said it, the
19	MR. GOLDFINE: I don't remember what
20	I said.
21	(Laughter)
22	MR. GOLDFINE: It's on tape, right?

MEMBER JOHNSON: Jack be nimble.
MEMBER BALDWIN: Nimble.
MR. GOLDFINE: Yes, something about
nimble credentialing to meet the needs of a
rapidly changing economy.
CHAIR BRADLEY: That was it. Pretty
darn close.
MR. GOLDFINE: That's good.
CHAIR BRADLEY: Yes.
MEMBER SMITH: So it would be
promoting both training for skills, and then how
would you say that? How would you put that in
that sentence?
MEMBER BALDWIN: Promoting nimble
credentialing and
MEMBER SMITH: For the private sector
needs.
MEMBER BALDWIN: training, yes, for
skills.
MR. BUERSTATTE: Sue or Whitney, I'd
be curious to hear your
CHAIR BRADLEY: Whitney has dropped.

1	
1	MR. BUERSTATTE: Okay. Sue, I'd be
2	curious to hear your thoughts on credentialing
3	though.
4	I know there are some, there is two
5	schools of thought on that right now on kind of
6	the direction. And this might be a bit
7	wordsmith-y, but is that the right angle we
8	should take on it?
9	MEMBER SMITH: Well, right now there
10	is a lot of attention on credentialing and we're
11	registering through the credentialing registry,
12	the credential registry, all of our credentials.
13	So I'm not sure that reducing those right now
14	would be a good thing, since we're just now
15	detailing them.
16	MR. BUERSTATTE: Okay. I just wasn't
17	sure, I wasn't in tune of exactly Labor's latest
18	efforts there.
19	I wanted to make sure we can, another
20	great example, we can pause and after this
21	meeting and socialize it around a bit and make
22	sure that it's reflective of some of their

1 efforts. 2 MEMBER BALDWIN: I'm not sure we're trying to reduce credentials, we're trying to 3 4 make credentialing fungible. MR. BUERSTATTE: Right. 5 We're trying to make 6 MEMBER BALDWIN: work experience part of the credentialing. 7 Ι 8 mean that's, we're not trying to reduce it, we're 9 trying to make people more nimble and mobile and translate what people can actually do into 10 permission to do it. 11 12 MEMBER REAMER: It's really meaningful 13 credentials, and part of that is getting people 14 credentials that they're not able to get now. And the other is to have them not have to obtain 15 16 credentials that are unnecessary, like a license 17 or similar. 18 MEMBER BALDWIN: Yes. 19 MEMBER SMITH: But the other thing 20 that credentials do is tell employers what the demonstrated competency is and --21 I'd say more nimble 22 MEMBER FREDERICK:

and, you're trying to make credentials more 1 2 nimble and transferable, does that capture it? I mean, if somebody 3 MEMBER BALDWIN: 4 can demonstrate the competency. 5 MEMBER SMITH: Yes. It's not so much transferable as translatable. So just because 6 7 you have an MBA doesn't mean you can actually do 8 anything. I mean, no offense but that just means 9 vou have --Some of us feel the 10 MEMBER BALDWIN: 11 same way. 12 (Laughter) 13 MEMBER SMITH: Right. That just means 14 that you were there. And it's true for 15 programmable logic controllers and every kind of 16 skill that you can name. Just because you can 17 take a class and pass the test doesn't mean you 18 can actually do it. So having a demonstrated --19 MEMBER FREDERICK: This is way outside 20 my area of expertise --21 MEMBER BALDWIN: Work experience. MEMBER FREDERICK: -- but what 22

resonated to me is somebody made the Military 1 2 example. Like, if you drive aa truck for the Military for four years, as a citizen I would 3 4 think I'd fully trust you to drive a truck here, 5 you know. Right. 6 MEMBER BALDWIN: 7 MEMBER FREDERICK: Or if you were an 8 EMT on the battlefield, what other hoops do you 9 need to go through. 10 MEMBER BALDWIN: Right. Make that 11 fungible. 12 MEMBER JOHNSON: There is all kinds of 13 weird stuff. Like, you can get recognized as a 14 service disabled vet instantly when you leave the 15 Military, but in the State of Kentucky it takes 16 one year after that. 17 So what do you do if you're in 18 Kentucky and you want to start a business, you 19 have to wait a year? 20 So the whole credentialing thing gets 21 pretty nutty when it takes you --22 MEMBER SMITH: I think we do need to

include it somehow. 1 2 MEMBER JOHNSON: Yes. MEMBER SMITH: Just so it's 3 4 recognized. 5 MR. E. SMITH: Yes. I mean, we're not 6 voting today, so I think we can figure out 7 exactly --8 MR. BUERSTATTE: Yes. 9 MEMBER KENNEY: So, back on the regulation piece, on Number 1. The phrase 10 11 deploying streamline regulations sort of implies 12 creating more regulation as opposed to few 13 regulating. I wonder, if it might just be 14 streamline regulations. 15 MR. E. SMITH: Yes. 16 MEMBER KENNEY: Change the verb. 17 MEMBER REAMER: Make streamline a 18 verb? MEMBER KENNEY: 19 Yes. I don't know if 20 you already changed that, you could streamline regulations to reduce barriers. 21 22 That's where we talked about that

137

barrier --1 2 MR. E. SMITH: I. I didn't have anything to --3 4 MEMBER KENNEY: Okay. -- lower barriers. 5 MR. E. SMITH: MEMBER KENNEY: Okay. And then in the 6 7 opening, I don't know if you want little grammar 8 things --9 MR. E. SMITH: Yes. MEMBER KENNEY: -- just above the 10 11 bulletin outline the word develop should be 12 development. 13 MR. E. SMITH: Yes, I got that one. 14 MEMBER KENNEY: You got that one, 15 okay. 16 MR. E. SMITH: Thank you. 17 MEMBER FREDERICK: It's come along way 18 though. Well done, Eric. 19 MR. BUERSTATTE: Yes. 20 CHAIR BRADLEY: Yes, Eric is --21 (Simultaneous speaking) --MEMBER FREDERICK: You turn all these 22

random thoughts --1 2 (Laughter) I just have one 3 CHAIR BRADLEY: 4 question. So, recognizing that we will not vote 5 today, that there will be ongoing work, what I 6 don't want to have happen is we're ready to call 7 for a vote and then we reopen a can of worms. 8 MEMBER SMITH: I agree. 9 CHAIR BRADLEY: So is there, so I'm 10 going to ask you people read it one more time and 11 is there anything that they absolutely, 12 positively cannot live with, because I don't want 13 to be surprised on an email vote. 14 Like Whitney has already said she had to go, if we vote today, she's in. Just as a 15 16 proxy, I can live with it, but I don't want to 17 sit and have an email exchange around, I don't 18 like that. 19 MEMBER KENNEY: So maybe Mike can get 20 his mnemonic in here. 21 MEMBER NEMETH: Oh, I'm still working on it. 22

	-
1	(Laughter)
2	MEMBER NEMETH: No, I just one word
3	that I do question, unrelated to mnemonic devices
4	as well. Catalyze, that one just never really
5	resonated with me. I just want to throw it out
6	there and even get some feedback from you guys.
7	Like every other one to me is like a
8	big strong word. That one, to me, just seems a
9	little too nerdy.
10	PARTICIPANT: That's probably why I
11	like it.
12	MR. E. SMITH: That's why I like it
13	too.
14	CHAIR BRADLEY: What do you, let's
15	take the word out, what does this section mean,
16	what is the verb this section generates for you?
17	MEMBER NEMETH: Yes, I mean, I think
18	the other pieces of it where it's like unleashing
19	all of this opportunity because we're connecting
20	these two and so I just, again, I thought that of
21	all the words catalyze sounded the most
22	technical. Like, clearly this was written by

people that are living this too long. 1 2 CHAIR BRADLEY: So you thinking fuel? Like, there you go. 3 MEMBER NEMETH: 4 CHAIR BRADLEY: So is it driving or is 5 it, I'm just throwing this stuff out there, so is it fuel or is it leverage if there's partnership? 6 7 I'm trying to figure out --8 MEMBER NEMETH: No, just --9 MEMBER FREDERICK: I don't feel strongly at all. The thing I liked about 10 11 catalytic, or catalyze or catalytic is kind of 12 when you think about market failures and the 13 right role of government and kind of to just get 14 some momentum. 15 CHAIR BRADLEY: Got you. 16 MEMBER FREDERICK: And then the 17 private sector, I think that will resonate. But 18 again, I come from a world where we use really 19 geeky language all the time. 20 MR. E. SMITH: What about ignite? 21 MEMBER BALDWIN: Say it again? 22 CHAIR BRADLEY: Well, that gets you a

vowel.

1

2	MR. E. SMITH: That's getting a vowel.
3	(Simultaneously speaking)
4	MR. BUERSTATTE: So, we need to get
5	the input from those that were virtual and not
6	able to hold with us throughout the whole meeting
7	today.
8	So while I agree we've listed it as a
9	very important point, let's identify any major
10	issues today while we're all in the same room.
11	We do only have a few short minutes left.
12	There will be an opportunity to tweak
13	this virtually but I do want to avoid a perpetual
14	never ending, well, let's wordsmith this,
15	wordsmith that.
16	So we will focus, Eric and I will
17	focus on engaging the others that didn't
18	participate, get them caught up to speed on some
19	of the reasoning here and an approach, while in
20	parallel socializing this downstairs in the
21	Secretary's policy shop and a few other key
22	offices, incorporate any important feedback

1

there, present it back to you all.

2	And to do this in an official capacity
3	we will need to call a verbal vote which would
4	take place teleconference. And the earliest that
5	we could do that, just from a procedural
6	perspective, because it will need to be on the
7	public record, I'd imagine probably no earlier
8	than three weeks from now.
9	We will push for that if we think
10	that's possible, but we also don't want to
11	compromise any of that process, making sure that
12	we do have engagement from some of the key
13	offices here and so forth. Any questions on
14	that?
15	MEMBER REAMER: You have to actually
16	do the federal register notice and
17	MR. E. SMITH: Yes.
18	MR. BUERSTATTE: Yes. So we'll be an
19	open call and we do have some members of the
20	public yesterday and today. So it's important
21	that we hit the right practices and procedures.
22	So, with that said, it is the end of

the meeting and it is that time again. 1 So, on 2 the phone, I'd like to open up the line for any members of the public to make any comments. 3 Are 4 there any members of the public, on the phone, 5 that would like to comment, briefly, to the team? All right, are there any members of 6 7 the public in the room? Kenan, go? 8 MR. FIKRI: I'm good. I'm good, thank 9 you. 10 MR. BUERSTATTE: Thank you. All 11 right. Well, you kind of heard from me already 12 on next steps. 13 Please be on the lookout from that, 14 for that correspondence. Eric, do you have 15 anything to add? 16 MR. E. SMITH: No. Look for a new 17 version of this and a Doodle poll so we can pick 18 a time that most everyone is available for the 19 call. 20 MR. BUERSTATTE: Great. Melissa. 21 CHAIR BRADLEY: No, thank you. And I 22 just want to say I heard no objections to what's

in this document. So I'm going to hold that 1 2 because I'm sure there will still be some conversations with new folks, but at least we all 3 4 agree so thank you. That's a big 5 MEMBER REAMER: accomplishing. And wordsmith I think we're all -6 7 8 No, it's huge. CHAIR BRADLEY: 9 Special thanks to, Eric, for the --10 MR. E. SMITH: Yes, great job. 11 CHAIR BRADLEY: -- fusing together. 12 MR. BUERSTATTE: So, two final 13 thoughts then. One, housekeeping. If you have 14 not gotten your annual financial disclosure, we 15 need wet signatures. We need a hard copy. 16 Please make sure you get that to us ASAP. 17 MR. E. SMITH: You can't, just to clarify the importance, you can't actually vote 18 19 on anything until you give us the disclosure. 20 Our ethics people are pretty strict about that. 21 MR. BUERSTATTE: And don't forget, you 22 gave us one the prior, last year. So use that as

2 process for you this year. Second, on a fun positive note I will 3 4 say, can you guys imagine doing this a year ago? 5 That would have been a very long painful process. I want to say it was really fun working with 6 7 everyone. 8 I know some of this policy making is 9 still kind of new for many of you but I don't think we could have gotten nearly as close to a 10 11 product like this. So just to put it in 12 perspective, just remember how far we've come and how much we've all learned together when it comes 13 14 to steering the big Titanic Ship that is the 15 federal government. 16 So thanks for your work your 17 participation, I think we've come an awesome long 18 way and I'm really excited to where we landed 19 today. Thanks. 20 CHAIR BRADLEY: Thank you. 21 (Off record comments) 22 CHAIR BRADLEY: Hold on. Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc.

a baseline and hopefully it will be a quick

1

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

www.nealrgross.com

	-	Τų
1	MR. BUERSTATTE: At this time I will	
2	conclude the formal public portion of our Day 2	
3	NACIE meeting on February 2nd. Thanks for	
4	everyone for participating.	
5	(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter	
6	went off the record at 11:55 a.m.)	
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		

Α a.m 1:13 4:2 39:17 108:5,6 147:6 **aa** 136:2 ability 89:1 112:12 127:2 able 33:1 58:17 60:9 63:6 65:19 68:16 74:8 97:11 102:21 106:5 106:16 110:19 126:7 127:10 134:14 142:6 above-entitled 108:4 147:5 **absolutely** 7:10 36:22 57:20 58:8,21 72:21 97:4 109:13 139:11 abstract 47:8 absurd 36:22 abundant 60:4 abused 124:22 academic 131:2.5 accelerate 126:21 accelerated 117:2 125:4 accept 62:22 63:14 68:7 access 43:1 55:10 125:5 127:17,21 accessibility 128:8,10 128:17 accessible 13:11,12 31:9 37:22 52:3,6,9 52:10,11,17,19 53:4 53:10,18,22 54:14,22 55:18 110:1 128:3,7 accident 105:9 accomplish 39:19 94:22 accomplishing 70:2 145:6 accrue 61:7 accrued 99:5 accrues 68:14 accuracy 29:17 accurate 28:20,22 29:5 29:8 35:17 47:20 achieve 101:14 acronym 54:1 act 5:16 57:2 58:5 60:2 62:1 92:13 action 10:12 active 61:3 activities 81:6 adaptive 124:16 add 28:20 40:1,6 82:9 104:10 126:19 144:15 added 39:6 adding 114:4 116:1

122:7 addition 55:8 89:14 129:11 additional 53:13 address 5:15 26:4 50:16 116:1 addressed 74:6 addresses 52:4 adjacent 69:17 71:4,7 Adjourn 3:20 administer 71:22 administration 14:6,6 25:10 29:19 35:17 38:18 39:11 55:1,8,11 56:18 70:9 111:11 115:3 119:22 120:15 120:17 121:5,19 126:1,4,5 administration's 30:22 adoption 123:7,13 advantage 87:8 advisory 1:3 4:11,13 58:16 90:13 advocacy 69:21 advocate 79:16 101:18 104:20 agencies 116:2 118:6 agency 62:17 109:8 agenda 59:1 108:9 aggregator 97:21 aggressive 39:9,13 agnostic 79:19 ago 58:3 146:4 agree 11:18 15:16 18:1 21:6 22:12 26:7 49:2 52:2 122:18 126:17 139:8 142:8 145:4 agreements 92:20 104:6 ah 81:15 ahead 45:9 57:3 91:21 107:12,18 108:10 114:21 aim 41:2,5 121:17 126:7 airplane 29:1 aisle 102:21 103:1 **Akron** 61:9,10 align 78:21 82:2 94:5 aligned 31:15 68:3 aligning 114:3,8 all-encompassing 25:7 allocation 70:15 allow 67:9,15 107:15 131:1 allowed 108:12 allows 35:11 alongside 126:12,13 alumni 97:12

AMERICA 1:1 American 10:3 31:12 77:11 117:4 Americans 36:13 analysis 118:13 119:1 119:11 analytics 35:20 Andrew 1:19 47:16 72:16 115:10 119:5 Andrew's 33:20 119:2 angel 62:15 angle 133:7 angles 32:16 34:20 annual 145:14 answer 70:16 78:9 88:22 answered 88:11 anticipating 94:13 anybody 11:16 26:20 anyway 90:8 apologize 57:21 appealing 105:21 appeared 103:2 appetite 103:5 Applause 7:9 **Apple** 49:13 applicants 82:9 applied 20:2 applies 27:8 **apply** 16:1 18:14 19:4 23:19 35:9 46:12 54:19 **appreciate** 4:8 107:7 apprenticeship 120:20 apprenticeships 120:16 approach 54:15 117:18 142:19 approaches 28:9,10 Appropriate 129:3 approving 81:18 aptly 10:2 arbitrary 15:8 arcane 61:17 area 85:19,20,20 98:11 125:20 128:7 135:20 areas 14:2 19:16 24:9 74:20 76:8,12 122:11 argument 54:16 arguments 42:14 armed 9:5 Army 130:10 131:11 arrived 105:19 art 97:12 articulation 22:21 **ASAP** 145:16 asked 19:6,6,8 aspect 30:20

aspects 11:3 102:13 assemble 102:16 **assert** 48:9 51:2 assets 64:19 72:6 assistance 92:17 94:20 95:9 assistants 92:22 associate 34:2 association 104:17 assume 33:17 assumed 32:22 assuming 77:10 assumption 76:11 attack 5:2 attempt 90:6 attention 11:20 34:18 48:18 133:10 attractive 61:2 79:12 82:8 attribute 125:18 attrition 87:2 audible 57:12 audience 19:11 40:19 42:17 audit 72:2 Australia 36:7 autonomous 50:10,16 availability 28:13 available 84:2 144:18 avenue 1:13 81:11 average 69:7 77:13 averages 77:12 avoid 142:13 awards 82:3 aware 7:3 22:7 50:15 71:15 74:5 79:20 123:4 awareness 12:10 13:5 82:22 91:13 95:19 awesome 7:7,10 8:21 146:17 awkward 36:14 axis 91:5 В back 16:10 28:16 40:10 57:7 59:19 85:1 88:11 89:11 102:16 107:13 107:19,20 108:8,8,10 110:17 131:6 137:9 143:1

Backing 54:21 BALDWIN 1:16 13:4 16:19 17:17 18:4,7 27:15 35:1 42:5,9 47:3 50:2 51:15 52:19 52:22 67:9 69:2,9 85:13 111:16 113:5

116:4,7,10,13,16,19 118:17 122:5 123:5 123:11 125:9 126:17 127:9 128:22 129:12 129:16 130:19 131:10 131:14,17 132:2,14 132:18 134:2,6,18 135:3,10,21 136:6,10 141:21 Baltimore 97:9,13 bands 85:14 **bank** 63:14 banks 82:16,17 bar 66:5 barrier 38:9 95:21 127:11 130:17 138:1 barriers 65:14 124:16 125:10,16,21 129:2 129:14,16 137:21 138:5 base 81:19 based 29:21,21 30:5,9 63:4 66:7,8 69:18 73:10 77:3 78:16 80:17 88:19 89:22 122:19 baseline 114:14 146:1 **basic** 115:4 basically 112:9 127:2 basis 9:15 75:12,21 118:13 119:11 battlefield 136:8 bedfellows 73:9 beginning 11:4 beginnings 9:22 94:5 behalf 1:17 belief 38:21 42:5 believe 11:12 17:9 42:6 42:10,10,12 58:12 72:11 78:8 87:7 belongs 17:5 beneath 18:10 benefit 74:22 75:6,7 benefits 60:15 benefitted 59:18 101:17 Bernstein 73:8 **best** 109:10 123:13 bet 26:12 27:12 **better** 5:10 6:12 7:2 18:16 22:13 25:6 28:15 34:9 44:11 45:6 45:14 53:6 86:13 105:6 113:16 125:20 127:1 beyond 121:9 **bi-** 62:1 bi-partisan 30:10 **Bias** 84:20

big 17:9 30:10 52:14 61:1 93:18 109:4 140:8 145:5 146:14 Bigger 105:1 biggest 10:5 bill 60:19 62:2 68:12,16 71:14 72:18 81:9 89:6 89:13 102:12,17,21 billion 89:19 **billions** 89:18 bipartisan 73:22 100:4 102:21 103:4,5 106:3 **bit** 12:6 25:7 45:9 46:16 57:3 58:10,15 72:17 106:20 107:15 110:2 133:6,21 blanks 98:12 **blind** 91:6 blitz 11:10 body 6:11 39:22 95:7 **book** 107:3,5,8 **Booker** 73:3 Bradley 1:13,16 7:17 8:5,8 20:14 30:15 36:2 38:3,14 40:3 41:8,15,21 42:3,7,21 43:6,18 44:2,6 56:10 56:16,19 76:4,11 83:3 84:16,20,22 85:9 91:22 92:4,7 105:8,13 106:13 108:14 115:18 116:6,9,12,14,17,21 129:19 130:2 132:6,9 132:22 138:20 139:3 139:9 140:14 141:2.4 141:15,22 144:21 145:8,11 146:20,22 brand 52:20 53:1 break 3:14 4:18 106:17 107:1,6,15 108:2 Bridge 116:13 bridging 21:12 briefly 144:5 bring 6:7 17:7,8 28:1 30:18 131:6 bringing 39:2 50:14 broad 22:21 66:18 79:1 broaden 112:7 broader 32:11 34:2 39:6 51:13 94:21 broadly 26:20 brought 101:2,3,8 Buerstatte 2:10 4:3,9 7:10 8:12,21 9:10 11:14 12:3 13:9,12 16:17,22 21:21 22:2 44:19 45:3,19 56:20 57:13,18 58:2,9 88:7

88:14 89:8 93:20 95:5 96:7 106:15,19 107:11 108:7,15 124:13 126:10,18 127:4 132:20 133:1 133:16 134:5 137:8 138:19 142:4 143:18 144:10,20 145:12,21 147:1 buffeted 55:21 build 40:13 43:9 60:7 81:13 building 1:12 40:13,14 66:3 71:10 91:12,13 **buildings** 41:10 81:5 **built** 90:4 **bullet** 13:5 114:2,12 115:21,22 116:20 118:9,9,10 119:10,10 119:14 124:4 128:3 128:10,15,16 bulletin 138:11 bullets 22:17 110:6,10 110:11 111:12 117:9 119:7 bunch 12:21 34:14 **burden** 125:20 burdens 124:5 125:18 126:21 business 10:12 43:14 46:15 61:5 63:10 80:22 81:14 100:19 136:18 businesses 12:16 60:11,12 64:20 73:13 104:8 126:15 buzzword 51:18 С C-O-N-T-E-N-T-S 3:4 **CA** 104:16 cadence 4:17 calibrated 85:21 call 116:14 139:6 143:3 143:19 144:19 called 65:6 111:10 119:21 126:11 calls 72:3 campaign 74:6 cancellation 5:18 Candidly 10:15 capacity 143:2 capita 86:6 capital 11:7 39:2 44:10 60:4,4,9,16 61:5,14 62:3,5 63:1 65:16,20 66:1 74:14,17 75:14 76:5,6 81:3 82:11,12

86:13 87:6 90:2 91:5 91:11 96:9,14 97:9 104:17 capitalized 97:12 capture 26:7 27:5 124:20 135:2 captured 116:18 cards 90:22 care 38:18 63:8 91:10 105:2 career 6:22 cares 127:20 Carolina 66:19 73:3 carry 102:4,5 case 56:7,15 97:15 100:12 124:18 Case's 64:2 cases 97:17 casual 57:21 **Cat** 49:12 catalytic 14:17,18 15:21 16:8 17:2 20:6 21:20 22:3,6,9 23:1 26:14 27:11 31:17,19 42:14 45:15 46:10 47:12 53:17 54:14 65:20 66:4 109:22 113:18 141:11,11 catalyze 112:6,9,12,16 112:16,22 113:5 114:18 115:12,15 124:2 140:4,21 141:11 catch 37:12 39:13 categories 15:6,15 22:18 30:19 46:5 109:22 category 22:22 31:4 caught 142:18 CDFI 62:19 70:13 71:19 72:9 77:20 80:3,4 **CDFI-like** 85:5 CDFIs 64:6 76:6 celebrating 36:21 census 65:8 66:11,12 66:14,18 69:8,16,17 69:19 70:5,22 71:4,20 77:9,10 78:13 85:20 certain 74:21 certainly 10:9 18:18 36:9 113:7 certification 62:20 certified 62:16 64:3 86:16 certify 65:10 certifying 71:20 72:1 cetera 76:13 82:5 125:6 126:16

chair 1:13.16 7:17 8:5.8 20:14 30:15 36:2 38:3 38:14 40:3 41:8,15,21 42:3,7,21 43:6,18 44:2,6 56:10,15,16,19 76:4,11 83:3 84:16,20 84:22 85:9 91:22 92:4 92:7 105:8,13 106:13 108:14 115:18 116:6 116:9,12,14,17,21 129:19 130:2 132:6,9 132:22 138:20 139:3 139:9 140:14 141:2,4 141:15,22 144:21 145:8,11 146:20,22 champion 73:18 101:22 champions 81:21 **change** 40:5,9 50:10 78:7,8 109:5 111:3 130:1 137:16 changed 85:7 137:20 changes 31:21 changing 31:1 132:5 **Chase** 69:11 cheap 68:17 cheaper 89:20 checking 69:22 Chicago 4:6 69:18 **chime** 88:8 choices 112:13 choose 42:1 55:18 64:6 circled 88:10 circumstances 102:5 cities 64:5 citizen 136:3 citizens 52:6 clarify 145:18 **clarity** 115:19 class 135:17 classes 49:20 clear 37:1 45:22 53:8 55:20 74:2 123:18 **clearly** 40:20 71:12 79:13 83:13 140:22 clients 77:5 close 132:7 146:10 Closing 3:18 closures 100:19 co-authored 73:7 co-founders 105:17 **co-sponsors** 73:1,22 77:7 101:11 co-working 81:4 coast 38:22 coasts 42:18 code 106:7 codes 100:11 coffee 4:20

Coffin 40:21 cohesive 5:11 collaborate 51:16 collaborated 72:20 collaboration 5:13 113:8,10 115:12 116:2,5 collaborations 113:22 113:22 collaborative 15:12 20:7 21:20 22:4 23:1 26:14 27:11 31:7,14 31:17,19 32:8,10 33:22 34:9 35:3 45:15 46:10 47:12 51:7 52:9 53:9,18 54:14 109:22 collapse 35:11 collapsed 24:17 114:3 collapsing 46:22 colleagues 6:14 collect 32:9 collection 28:5 47:18 48:5 colleges 130:14 color 36:15 combine 24:2 110:11 come 13:5 19:21.22 36:10 40:16 58:22 59:4,6 83:14 88:17 89:5 96:14 108:10 111:21 130:18 138:17 141:18 146:12,17 comes 7:1 35:14 107:13,19 146:13 comfortable 29:10 54:11 coming 49:18 57:19 58:7 61:11 78:12 93:5 93:10 115:9 comment 3:16 11:17 69:15 70:3,19 85:7 123:16 144:5 comments 37:9 44:18 45:2 67:8 110:18 119:16 124:14 144:3 146:21 **Commerce** 1:1,12 81:16 91:11 113:15 113:18 commerce's 58:18 113:11 commercial 81:5 122:21 commercialization 125:5 Comminutes 100:8 commission 48:11 commitments 75:18

Committee 1:11 89:11 common 15:5,13 17:7 17:10 20:7 communicate 97:1 communication 78:19 communities 31:22 33:11 34:17,21 43:15 55:2 59:7 60:5,11,12 62:9 69:18 82:5 91:4 93:1 95:12 96:14 100:13 106:5 community 11:3 37:17 37:20 43:8 62:17 65:21 66:4 76:13 77:11 91:16 130:14 Commutable 82:4 companies 62:11 79:13 80:11 81:2 82:8,22 87:11,15,21 97:13 130:5 company 61:15 80:15 80:16 compare 26:3 **compared** 115:21 **compete** 10:13 competency 134:21 135:4 competitive 25:9 43:14 55:22 competitiveness 24:10 25:13 26:5 111:8,9,16 111:20,21 **compile** 109:2 complementary 95:11 **complete** 39:16 completed 83:1 completely 23:13 38:20 complex 7:5 complexify 50:22 complicated 83:18 91:4 complimentary 28:9 component 21:10 components 20:22 compromise 143:11 computing 27:2 concentrate 65:19 86:12 concept 14:16 30:2 42:16 44:1,11 concepts 15:21,22 17:7 20:2 54:12,19 112:4 115:9 concerned 30:22 86:3 concerns 74:7 90:15,16 **conclude** 147:2 concrete 12:21 concurred 31:22 confident 7:3

Congress 78:6 81:20 82:1 89:12,13 102:14 118:5 congressional 100:22 congressmen 101:7 connect 10:21 21:15 connected 10:20 11:2 20:4,6 23:7,7,11 32:9 33:21 34:2,3,3,7 35:3 42:14 46:11 51:12,15 51:18 53:7 connectedness 21:12 51:2,4,7 connecting 11:7 23:8 23:13 33:17 140:19 connections 34:15 connotations 43:11 consensus 46:3 consider 10:6 50:1 consolidate 21:6 Constitution 1:13 construct 27:13 constructive 93:9 constructs 20:19 consultation 82:15 content 26:12 context 36:6 46:1 contexts 23:19 continue 45:11 contribute 5:5 6:1 controllers 135:15 controlling 101:19 conventional 81:9 conversation 7:12,22 41:4 58:13 108:21 122:20 125:10 conversations 145:3 convinced 120:7 cooperative 92:20 coordination 82:21 Coordinator 92:17 copy 145:15 core 9:3 13:21 17:11,20 18:6 97:19 corner 4:22 corporations 62:7 Correct 62:12,12 65:12 correlate 74:14 correspondence 144:14 Cory 73:3 **cost** 89:7,14 125:14 council 1:3 4:11,13 90:13 95:7 counter 38:16 **countries** 100:17 country 59:17 60:4 74:20 91:8 100:15,18

couple 22:4,5 55:19 110:3,6,16 course 61:18 88:14 95:11 104:12,21 106:18 cover 17:15 76:19,20 76:21 covered 46:4 covering 52:5 Craig 2:10 4:9 11:13 41:2 47:4 75:7 110:17 121:3 crammed 13:20 crash 61:18 75:15 crazy 44:17 49:11 create 75:10 117:8,13 creating 11:6 117:15 137:12 creation 127:6,13,14 creativity 47:7 creature 59:13 credential 129:20 130:22 133:12 credentialing 128:11 129:22 130:6 132:4 132:15 133:2.10.11 134:4,7 136:20 credentials 131:6 133:12 134:3,13,14 134:16.20 135:1 credit 65:22 68:11 70:11 73:18 89:21 credits 70:16 87:8 criteria 64:17 77:21 80:20 86:2.5 critical 6:9.15 criticism 65:14 81:8 criticize 42:19 cross 75:21 Crosstalk 30:17 curious 23:20 90:5 132:21 133:2 current 14:5,6 29:6 customized 100:22 101:4 cut 46:21 127:10 cycle 12:1 cycles 74:14 D **D.C** 1:13 71:16,18 76:12 78:17 85:5 darn 132:7 data 21:19 22:1 23:21 24:1,11,12,20 25:6 27:7,16,21 28:5,10,10 28:14,16,17,21 29:3,7 29:16,17,19,22 35:14

35:14,18,20 38:5,6 47:6,13,17,19,20 48:5 48:10,13 49:12 50:19 50:20 76:18 77:11 90:14 100:9,21 105:4 111:7 118:22 data-driven 16:20 17:1 database 32:21 33:1,16 date 74:21 dated 101:7 dates 78:7 David 1:18 8:2,6 52:1 115:1 118:8 David's 22:20 day 4:12 147:2 days 65:6 110:19 de-risk 13:18 deadline 83:5 deadlines 83:4 deal 60:3 89:2 debates 105:22 December 65:7 decent 109:4 decide 19:13,17 81:12 118:7 decides 67:22 77:4 78:6 decision 17:4 decisions 28:15 63:3 declared 120:17 121:5 declining 100:16 dedicate 79:3 deem 36:17 deeper 106:21 deeply 106:4,7 defense 12:1 121:20 122:2,6 123:4,18,22 deferral 60:15 68:11 deficit 102:15 define 53:3.4 defined 65:2,4,5 124:17 124:17 definitely 35:22 definitions 23:6 delay 75:4 delineation 15:2 **Democrat** 73:19 demonstrate 135:4 demonstrated 134:21 135:18 **Department** 1:1,12 22:9 123:4 departments 113:12,20 depending 5:22 6:4 102:3 107:13 depends 88:22 deploying 124:4 137:11 describe 51:21

describes 20:2,12 description 19:3 design 10:8 28:11 81:19 designate 65:8 82:14 99:7 designated 2:10 4:10 66:14 78:3 designations 78:10 desired 79:17 destiny 106:7 detailing 83:16 133:15 details 64:12 88:3 109:16 determined 75:12 determining 29:5 Detroit 77:7 develop 118:2 138:11 developed 37:19 72:19 100:9 developer 79:14 developing 113:18 development 3:12 5:4 6:20 12:1 15:3 19:8 20:21 35:6 46:19 58:5 59:3 62:17 73:11 78:18 84:7 138:12 device 9:13 devices 140:3 dial 8:16 dialing 4:7 dichotomy 37:3 dictate 79:9 difference 86:2 differences 20:3 different 9:17,18 20:10 23:6,13 26:11 27:8 28:9 33:14 34:14 49:15,20 86:10 88:12 117:14 124:17 127:12 131:11 difficult 16:5 69:1 dig 106:20 direct 11:20 directing 119:11 direction 4:21 133:6 directly 62:11 63:20 95:13 disabled 52:20 136:14 disadvantage 96:14 disadvantaged 34:17 discipline 47:10 disclosure 145:14,19 discuss 109:12 discussion 10:16 15:18 24:6 32:13 37:9 49:4 94:2 124:5,11 discussions 113:11

130:8 disparate 49:19 disparities 105:5 distinction 115:19 distress 86:4 distressed 60:11,12 62:9 74:20 100:8 distribution 43:1,7 44:12 85:3.15 district 101:8 districts 101:1 dive 8:15 9:15 22:22 divergent 100:12 diverse 100:14 diversify 63:8 divest 87:22 dividing 19:15 document 2:10,14,15 5:11 7:21 8:13 9:1 145:1 doing 37:20 51:7 90:12 93:6 94:7 98:7 112:2 127:22 146:4 dollar 89:19 dollars 10:21 12:13 14:2 22:8.8 44:11.16 68:18 Doodle 144:17 door 94:15 **DOT** 123:4 downstairs 142:20 draft 8:22 drafted 74:19 drafting 3:14 7:4,7 dramatic 49:19 dream 10:3 31:12 **drill** 4:15 drive 13:2 123:13 136:2 136:4 driven 21:19 22:1 23:21 24:12,20 25:6 27:7,16 27:21 28:10 29:16,19 29:22 35:14,18 38:6,6 47:6.13 48:10.14 50:20 80:1 111:7 driver 50:8 drivers 50:12 driving 12:12 141:4 dropped 119:14 132:22 drops 118:22 due 60:19 75:7 duh 24:3 dumb 72:22 duplicates 21:5 duration 78:4 Durham 66:19,20,21 dynamic 7:12

			15.
E	either 17:11 46:10	3:11 4:14 5:3 6:20	expand 87:21
E 14:22 15:9 19:12 30:5	48:16 64:20 85:17	8:15 9:1,14,18 10:1,7	expanding 60:12 80:16
30:9,17 32:6 53:8,13	91:22 122:17	10:10 11:16,20 14:3	expansive 67:7
53:16,19 57:15	elaborate 27:20	15:2 16:1 19:7 20:5	expect 77:2 84:15
109:20 111:5,15,18	elaboration 27:18	20:20 23:2,14 27:9	expected 83:11
111:22 112:3 114:1,8	element 112:11	35:6 37:22 45:4 46:19	expecting 83:12
114:13,21 115:10	elements 49:6	54:20 61:3 126:22	expenditures 16:12
117:20 119:4,17	elevate 95:10 108:21	entry 125:10 126:22	expensive 68:17
120:4,11 121:6,9,16	eligibility 77:21	127:11 129:15,16	experience 101:13
122:8,14 123:9	eligible 71:2,5 77:10	environment 37:3	130:22 131:2,5 134:7
128:14 129:18 137:5	82:10 83:17	103:4	135:21
137:15 138:2,5,9,13	elitist 56:3	envision 63:21	experimentation 84:15
138:16 140:12 141:20	email 139:13,17	equal 36:18	expertise 135:20
142:2 143:17 144:16	emerged 38:20	equalizer 36:17 37:15	explain 23:1 29:14
145:10,17	Emily 1:20 12:12 17:6	Equalizing 39:1	107:9
earlier 88:9 109:6	25:17	equation 91:11	explanation 25:17
112:20 115:11 143:7	Emily's 26:18 123:16	equipment 81:3	extension 83:7
earliest 143:4	emphasis 24:13,15	equitable 43:1	extent 54:6 79:9 84:10
early 7:19 72:22 73:1	emphasize 28:8 107:17	equity 39:2	86:13
99:4 107:14	employee's 131:5	Eric 2:14 7:6,19 32:3	externally 40:20
easier 10:12 46:12	employees 64:15	41:2 46:20 50:2 57:5	extra 81:12 108:12
75:11	employers 73:13	57:10,13 107:13	extraordinary 96:8
easily 54:16	134:20	108:13,17 109:18	extremely 97:10
easy 26:3,15 33:21	EMT 130:10 131:10	121:4 138:18,20	eyes 46:15
49:14 51:13 96:12	136:8	142:16 144:14 145:9	F
97:1 111:15	enable 7:2	erosion 60:1	
EB- 68:1	enabling 6:21 12:16	especially 58:18 100:14	faced 65:16
EB-5 69:2,5	enactment 65:7	essentially 109:21 estate 79:14 80:1,8	facilitate 126:22 127:2 127:5,21
EBO 63:13	encourage 47:22 48:3 encouraged 37:18 93:5	Esther 1:16 16:22 39:14	facilitates 33:6
EBOs 64:7	encouraging 9:22	estimate 88:18 89:3	facilitating 12:8
economic 2:12 56:22	93:12	estimated 89:17	facilitation/leadership
58:4 59:3,14,18 73:10	ended 9:16 10:2	et 76:13 82:5 125:5	8:3
78:18 84:6 100:10	energy 12:15 121:14	126:15	facing 47:19
117:2	124:18	ethics 145:20	fact 16:20 36:3,21 91:5
economically 71:10 economics 93:6	engage 88:20 103:9	evaluate 26:1 45:7	91:22 93:8 124:22
economies 60:1,7	116:13 123:12	evaluating 26:2	factors 99:21
economy 31:1 100:20	engaged 85:11	evaluation 90:4	failures 141:12
104:12 125:3 130:1	engagement 6:16 49:10	everybody 7:19 8:1	fair 13:13 36:11
132:5	112:17 115:15 143:12	37:18,19 44:13 48:20	faith-based 42:8
ecosystem 91:12	engaging 116:7,8	evidence 29:21 30:5,9	fall 72:9
EDA 2:10,14,15 82:6	142:17	exact 88:3	falls 29:1
86:4 91:11 92:14	Enhanced 87:5	exactly 27:22 72:14	familiar 84:17
93:16 94:22	enjoy 108:2	105:6 133:17 137:7	family 71:6 85:19
EDA's 86:2	ensure 62:21 111:8	example 49:13 65:22	far 39:14 43:7 48:2
editing 5:12 107:16	ensuring 120:13 121:18	82:6 85:10 97:14	65:18 146:12
edits 107:13	enter 74:22 75:11 127:3	133:20 136:2	fashion 48:19
effect 90:6	entities 63:21 64:7	examples 127:3	fast 21:22
effectively 20:18	entity 62:18	exchange 68:5 139:17	fates 100:13
effectiveness 44:10	entrepreneurial 23:12	excited 5:20 58:22	favor 114:15
efficiency 44:10	31:7 130:18	97:11 98:6 146:18	February 1:8 4:12
effort 37:10 88:16	entrepreneurialism	exciting 99:7 104:5	83:13 147:3
efforts 12:14 133:18	104:11	exclude 69:2 126:6	federal 2:11 4:10 6:15
134:1	entrepreneurs 6:21 11:6 12:17 13:1 60:6	excludes 69:5	9:19 11:19 14:2 16:2
		excuse 117:10	26:19 32:20 81:17
		avaraias 40.44.40	100.11 101.10 110 1
EIG's 98:17	79:4 84:1,8 96:13	exercise 40:11,12	
EIG 59:11 72:19 108:11 EIG's 98:17 eig.org 92:8 eight 18:22 83:19		exercise 40:11,12 exists 120:4 130:17 exit 97:2	120:14 121:18 143:10 146:15 federally 11:1

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

feedback 107:4 108:16 108:21 111:1 140:6 142:22 feel 4:19 5:22 27:18 30:1 40:22 51:1,12 111:8 135:10 141:9 feeling 40:11 feels 26:8 fell 73:11 felt 7:11 fifteen 59:20 fifth 41:5 figure 23:4 28:18 89:13 98:8 117:12 137:6 141:7 figuring 72:12 94:5 Fikri 2:12 56:22 57:20 58:8,20 61:22 62:5,12 64:16 65:3,12 66:8,12 66:21 67:3,15,18,20 69:4 70:12,18 71:18 72:21 74:12,18 75:19 76:1,10,20 77:2,15,19 80:2,4,9,12 83:5 84:4 84:18 85:8.17 86:7 87:10,13,20 88:5 89:5 89:10 90:9 92:3,5 95:4 96:17,20 97:4 98:13,19 99:13,16 100:2,5 103:11,15,19 104:1,4,15,18,21 105:11,15 106:14,18 144:8 file 16:18 fill 98:12 fill-in 5:19 final 3:14 5:12,21 6:10 41:1 57:9,10 145:12 finance 82:18 financial 62:17 76:13 145:14 financing 81:10 find 31:10 32:22 33:7 106:5 finding 10:21 73:1 fine 29:11 34:10 53:5 111:13 123:16 127:7 127:8 finish 36:12 54:1 firm 44:15 87:14 first 10:2,17 13:10 23:4 35:15 37:9 60:15 70:19 83:12,14 102:9 115:21 118:9,10,11 119:10 125:12 fit 18:19 26:2,15 111:13 116:10 120:20 121:15 128:11

fits 54:22 111:10 116:4 fitting 31:14 five 19:21 21:4 22:15 35:11 59:19 66:6 70:21 71:2 77:11,13 87:22 99:1 flesh 24:20 flexibilities 79:1 flexibility 71:10 flip 118:10 flipping 20:18 119:6 floor 41:5 flow 35:16 flows 39:2 flush 29:13 fly 40:20 focus 31:18,20 41:20 130:4 142:16,17 focused 9:21 10:9 45:8 76:18 94:18 focusing 118:7 fold 25:15 fold-under 33:21 folding 29:10 folks 4:3 61:3 75:11 79:12 81:5 82:15 99:2 103:9 106:4 108:7 145:3 follow 6:22 47:3 **follow-on** 11:13 follow-up 95:20 followed 55:19 following 117:11 follows 54:5 font 18:22 foot 101:2 force 102:4 forces 55:22 foreign 67:9,15 forget 88:3 91:3 145:21 forgone 68:13,22 forgot 78:9 form 57:8 formal 147:2 formation 43:14 formed 73:6 forth 48:12 143:13 forward 8:9 32:2 98:17 102:4,5 foster 35:4 fostering 35:5 found 72:19 fountain 4:22 four 11:9 17:19 21:19 22:14,21 23:16 31:14 35:10 46:4 54:12 109:21 136:3 fourth 53:14 54:2

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc.

Washington DC

frame 45:8 88:3 108:18 framework 18:15,16 20:21,22 25:19 26:3 27:10 39:4 46:12 50:1 54:13 55:11 framing 110:4,22 frankly 31:10 90:1 91:7 101:20 FREDERICK 1:17 14:15 15:20 18:12 20:17 23:18 24:14,19,22 25:5 26:10 27:20 28:1 28:4 29:12 32:12 33:8 33:19 34:10 42:13 43:13,22 44:3,9,21 46:9 47:15 49:2,9 50:5,13 54:7 61:20 62:3,10 64:13 66:17 67:1 71:16 74:10,13 75:16,20 76:2,9 79:22 80:3 87:4,11,14 88:4 96:2,8,12,18,22 103:10,12,16,20 104:2,13,16,19 134:22 135:19,22 136:7 138:17.22 141:9.16 free 4:19 61:7 freedom 19:13 Friday 1:8 57:21 friends 6:14 102:22 121:18 front 4:16 91:1,12,13 104:5 fuel 141:2.6 **fully** 136:4 fun 146:3,6 fund 60:21 61:6 62:13 62:15,15,19 63:7,12 63:19 64:2,3,16,17 68:13 70:13 72:9 77:21 80:3,4 84:1,3,5 86:20 87:7,22 93:21 97:12 funding 10:16 16:4 21:15,15 87:16 94:14 funds 60:10 62:8,10,14 62:22 63:22 64:8,12 64:18 68:3 71:19 72:1 72:3 74:13,22 75:11 75:17,17 76:5,7,17 77:5,6,7 80:12 82:16 82:18,19 83:17 84:11 86:16 96:4 99:3 fungible 134:4 136:11 further 107:10 fusing 145:11 future 12:15,16 29:6

95:8 G gain 74:15,17 gained 11:5 gains 60:9,17 61:5 62:3 62:5 63:1 96:9,15 gap 115:2 gaps 21:14 gas 44:16 gather 90:16 gazillion 46:15 geeky 141:19 general 34:22 127:8 generates 140:16 generation 73:13 generic 26:9 27:19 36:10 39:21 genesis 59:9 73:5,15 gentrified 71:12 geographic 44:12 76:17 geographically 105:3 geographies 64:5 86:12 geography 43:1,8 getting 8:9 10:6,16 13:20 49:4 61:19 68:6 96:21 130:21 134:13 142.2**give** 7:6 18:15 27:12 57:4,5 101:6 104:2 145:19 **given** 102:5 103:17 gives 60:14 glad 97:5 glaze 46:15 global 25:12 55:22 111:8 globally 10:14 goal 12:9,11 goalposts 75:10 goals 94:21 110:8 GOLDFINE 1:17 54:21 55:6 114:20,22 128:9 129:21 130:4 131:19 131:22 132:3,8 **aotten** 145:14 146:10 government 6:15 9:19 12:13 13:17 16:6 21:14 32:20 36:17 37:15 38:5 48:4 49:11 50:1 113:10,19 115:16 141:13 146:15 government-to- 113:9 qovernor 78:21 governor's 78:20 83:7 governors 65:6 69:22

70:4 71:15,21 78:2,12 79:1,2,6,20 82:14 99:5 grab 4:20 74:16 graduates 28:18 grammar 138:7 grammatical 117:3 granting 82:6 grants 82:7,7 92:20,22 98:2 granular 67:2,4,6 grew 37:17 ground 29:1 79:21 82:16,21 101:3 103:8 groundwork 108:18 group 2:12 9:3,16 11:13 12:8 24:7 31:14 42:1 45:13 56:15 57:1 58:4 78:16 81:16 85:10 87:5 93:6 grow 63:8 growth 37:1 43:15 59:18 100:14,16 105:3 117:2 guess 44:2 52:21 62:13 72:22 86:9 101:18 105:3 115:19 122:18 guessed 103:21 guesses 103:17 quest 5:14 56:22 57:19 quidance 78:2 83:9 guiding 19:9 50:14 н hack 57:11 hair 127:10 hall 4:21 hallmark 10:4 hammered 21:1 hands 81:19 happen 38:21 72:3 139:6 happened 37:2,21 54:8 happening 35:19 36:1 69:21 94:3 happens 47:13 happy 110:15 hard 6:1,5 18:21 88:21 145:15 Hassett 73:7 hats 92:16 headquarters 1:12 heads 102:1 health 121:14 healthcare 12:1 119:15 hear 8:15 12:7 25:9,13 30:19 35:21 43:10 57:5 58:9 103:10

132:21 133:2 heard 6:18 8:1 29:20 70:10 72:12 144:11 144:22 hearing 49:15 85:6 107:5 heights 100:20 held 64:19 help 6:7 9:6 11:20 14:3 14:8,9 16:14 17:10 32:1 45:6,13 55:20 58:17 104:13 113:16 helped 73:4 helpful 45:18 helping 31:20 58:13 helps 33:7 63:7 118:15 119:3 herd 91:6 hey 27:10 31:10 79:3 97:2,12 high 28:16,18 67:5 85:16 115:12 high-level 110:5 112:4 higher 71:13 highlight 107:2 111:11 hiahlv 85:10 Hill 92:11 hint 104:3 hire 87:18 hiring 86:18 hit 44:13 143:21 hitting 115:1 hold 60:20 103:13 142:6 145:1 146:22 holding 39:4 home 12:12 21:1 101:9 117:6 honest 75:3 99:14 honestly 54:3 hoops 136:8 Hoover 1:12 hope 10:11 82:12 95:2 95:3 hopefully 5:10 8:9 75:14 79:6 82:15 86:22 95:19 146:1 hoping 94:14 Hopkins 97:6,10 horizontal 17:18 hours 58:3 House 73:16 housekeeping 145:13 housing 81:8,10,11,13 huge 61:8,13 73:17 81:17 130:8 145:8 human 33:4,5 34:15 35:2,4,12 48:16 49:5 49:16 51:4,14

human-centric 32:13 humans 31:18 hundred 70:22 73:22 103:17 hurdle 87:2 hybrid 110:2 hygiene 48:5 L i6 82:7 93:15 idea 13:16 15:17 16:4 20:6 21:13 22:19 23:15 34:16 37:18 48:4 49:10,21 52:5 59:8 60:8 63:3 66:22 73:5,6 74:18 101:14 106:6 113:8 ideal 11:5 97:14 ideas 13:19 16:14 57:10 94:8,11 identify 9:3 45:14 48:8 142:9 ignite 98:11 141:20 imagine 7:22 143:7 146:4 immersed 106:4 immigration 99:18 103:21 impact 10:6 33:11 34:21 49:19.19 impacted 34:17 impacts 31:21,21 implement 90:18 implementation 28:11 58:14 98:21 123:7 implemented 110:8 implies 118:20 137:11 **imply** 117:10 **implying** 117:10 importance 27:4 32:15 47:17 110:4 145:18 important 5:6 14:1 38:1 38:11 44:1 45:5 49:6 59:3 71:14 85:11 109:7 112:4,11 142:9 142:22 143:20 importantly 58:11 improve 46:18,18 improvements 5:10 **in-between** 115:6 **in-house** 92:19 inaccurate 21:19 incentive 68:7 79:11 incentives 60:22 73:11 75:1 79:15 include 41:19 51:13 71:11 112:18,22 113:3 137:1

included 16:12 69:20 79:17 includes 32:10 51:2 69:17 including 120:15 inclusion 36:16 41:20 41:22 42:22 43:10 48:17 55:9 105:22 inclusive 32:5 48:17 52:22 inclusiveness 34:12,16 income 66:12,13 71:7 71:13 85:16,19,22 86:6 incoming 99:1 incorporate 142:22 incorporated 62:16 incorporates 80:16 increase 12:10 43:14 increases 68:8 87:2 increasing 44:9 Index 100:8 individual 64:1 individually 126:11 individuals 33:12 62:7 113:4.6 115:13 industries 115:13 118:6 124:15 industry 12:1 28:21 79:14,15 82:18 112:11.14.16.17.19 113:3,6 114:18 115:4 116:7,8 124:3 influence 9:19 influences 28:11 influencing 22:8 influx 5:17 inform 94:21 information 28:14,17 33:1 85:3 94:2 95:21 98:2 101:7 118:11 infrastructure 12:15 14:7 16:12 22:11 113:17 120:16 121:3 121:20 122:2,6 123:18,22 inherent 42:22 **initial** 99:4 initiative 24:4 initiatives 11:1 innovation 1:3 2:12 3:11 4:14 5:4 14:17 15:2,22 19:7,19 20:10 20:20 23:2,8 24:7 27:9 35:5,5 45:7,12 46:18 47:4,7 48:11 57:1 58:4 82:7 93:6 104:12 118:4,4,14

119:11 123:21 125:3 innovative 16:13 117:5 123:1,7,14 **input** 6:9 90:16 109:8 142:5 insert 10:15 inspire 10:11 inspiring 10:1 instantly 136:14 institution 76:13 instructions 83:9 integrated 21:12 intelligently 105:18 intended 25:19 intent 75:13 intentional 117:18 intentionally 44:20 **interagency** 116:17,19 interchangeable 54:6 interest 11:22 17:3 99:2 interested 40:17 63:22 90:20 107:4,10 interesting 12:7 interests 80:19 103:7 interface/user 49:9 intermediaries 115:6 intermediary 33:14 internal 88:18 international 44:15 77:6 internet 33:16 34:4,5 51:19 interpretation 12:7 intrigues 95:19 invest 60:10 64:6 77:6 79:12 80:12,14 122:4 122:10,11,15 investable 82:20 91:8 invested 61:6 investing 12:22 24:9 60:2 61:4 62:9 80:10 92:12 investment 5:16 29:16 57:2 60:21 63:3 68:8 68:14 70:3 72:5 79:18 90:7 investments 14:20 16:2 16:7 26:19 49:18 62:4 63:17,18 64:4 65:17 68:3,4 75:22 79:10 83:17 120:14,14 121:19 investor 62:6 63:4,15 67:16 investors 60:8 63:1 64:1 67:10 68:6 69:3 69:5 81:12 86:16 88:19 91:5

invests 61:12 **involved** 130:15 **Ireland** 131:4 Irish 130:20 **IRS** 70:11 72:11,11,12 isolated 66:2 issue 38:9 **issued** 80:14 issues 10:10 106:11 130:13 142:10 issuing 80:17 iteration 11:17 57:9 J Jack 132:1 January 83:12 Jared 73:7 **JCT** 89:5.8

Jennifer 2:13 8:20,21 Jersey 73:4 **job** 33:17 50:7 74:4 100:18 127:5.13.13 128:1 130:20 145:10 **jobs** 29:5,6 **Joe** 50:5 Johns 97:6.10 **JOHNSON** 1:18 13:22 16:10 17:6,16,20 18:2 18:5 25:8,12 27:14 37:8 38:4 53:6 54:15 55:4,13 56:1 65:1 66:6 130:7 131:7,13 131:16 132:1 136:12 137:2 ioin 106:17 joining 90:21 joint 89:10 106:6 JP 69:10 77:4

Κ keep 16:9 18:7 22:13 61:14 90:14 95:1 97:13 102:14 105:4 keeping 130:6 Kenan 2:12 56:22 57:5 57:19 58:3 88:11 95:10 98:14 106:15 112:19 113:14 144:7 Kenan's 108:11 **KENNEY** 1:18 8:4,6,11 13:14 15:11 16:15 18:22 19:3,20 20:16 21:2 23:20 24:18 25:16 27:17,22 30:12 31:16 33:13 34:1,6,13 38:12 40:8 41:18 42:2 43:4.20 50:19 76:16 76:22 116:22 117:22

118:15 119:8 125:8 125:15,21 127:12,16 128:4,20 129:1,7,10 137:9,16,19 138:4,6 138:10,14 139:19 Kentucky 136:15,18 kept 10:15,16 16:3 Kevin 73:7 key 142:21 143:12 kick 7:15 kids 6:22 kinds 83:16 94:16,18 130:13 136:12 **KISS** 18:7 knew 98:7 knowledge 63:18 95:22 L lab 115:8 Labor's 133:17 lack 24:8 lagging 37:10 39:15 land 44:16 landed 115:11 146:18 lands 88:12 language 55:7 106:11 110:11 131:8 141:19 large 58:5 59:17 79:13 82:11.12 91:7 100:17 lasts 78:4 late 7:13 late-night 7:7 latest 77:13 133:17 Laughter 30:16 57:17 69:14 84:19 131:21 135:12 139:2 140:1 launch 32:1 laundry 119:18 121:13 Laurie 33:8 lay 108:18 lead 9:3 50:19 73:4 74:4 leadership 69:6 118:19 leading 37:10 leads 58:3 learn 33:4 130:9 learned 61:17 98:15 146:13 learning 125:12 leave 58:12 90:21 107:5 136:14 leaves 103:3 left 43:5 55:2 59:7 109:9 142:11 legislation 60:20 64:18 65:5 70:20 72:3,15 76:4 83:19 90:4,10 97:19 99:22 102:2,8 102:10

legislative 83:20 legitimacy 62:21 lessons 98:15 let's 4:4 12:20 17:4 130:10 140:14 142:9 142:14 level 123:19 levels 122:16 129:3 leverage 20:9 21:10 94:1 141:6 leveraging 20:8 22:7 license 127:11,22 131:14 134:16 licenses 126:6 licensing 125:12 126:10,19 129:1,4 130:8,11 life 37:16 light 105:5 liked 141:10 **limiting** 122:20 Lincoln 69:20 line 8:18 86:5 108:1 110:22 112:5 123:16 124:9 144:2 lines 111:7 list 21:7 22:13 23:21 69:20 119:18 120:6 121:13 **listed** 142:8 listening 40:18 69:12 literally 58:2 little 7:17 12:6 20:11 25:7 29:15 32:10 43:16 45:9 46:16 51:13 57:3,4,6 58:10 58:15 75:10 81:12 105:6,18 106:20 107:14 108:12 110:2 110:6 124:8 138:7 140:9 live 41:15,17 63:9 139:12,16 living 141:1 loan 76:7 93:20 loans 76:6 local 60:1 63:13,13,16 63:17 64:7,7,10 78:17 82:15 84:6 86:18,19 91:20 locate 61:11 located 1:12 64:20,21 79:9 82:9 logic 135:15 **logistics** 109:11 long 59:4,6 88:12 141:1 146:5,17 long-time 88:2

longer 60:22 107:15 **look** 5:12 8:9 14:12 18:20 21:4 23:6 35:9 36:20 40:21,21 42:18 47:4 73:14 83:10,18 91:7 94:10 95:1 97:12 110:16 118:6 144:16 looked 9:17 looking 14:19 25:22 26:10,20 67:1 72:15 73:9 94:16,19 96:10 98:5 108:9 lookout 144:13 looks 75:4 79:22 99:3 100:9 lose 41:12 48:16 losses 100:18 lot 6:18 7:1 11:5 13:19 15:6,19 17:3 30:2 32:15,19 49:17 60:1,4 61:18 64:11 66:1 69:21 71:22 74:7 75:14 79:4,16 81:8 82:1 83:20 84:14 87:6 88:22 91:6 92:18.19 99:1.2 101:2 102:7 103:6 104:9 119:3 133:10 lots 57:15 105:13,15 130:14 love 7:20 58:9 63:6 106:16 107:3 low 66:12,13 67:7 102:14 low-income 65:8 69:18 71:1,5,8,20 78:13 85:13,15 lower 138:5 lowering 125:16,19,21 luck 72:22 101:10 lucky 56:21 М magic 108:14 main 12:9 22:17 23:16 101:18 mainstream 56:11 maintain 72:4,6 major 43:19 142:9 majority 50:7 making 12:13,21 30:10 34:15 37:11 51:3 52:6 74:5 78:20 82:7 125:14 130:16 143:11 146:8

manager 84:3,5 manifests 66:2 manual 102:7 manufacturing 81:1,2 map 65:18 74:15 131:2 March 83:6 market 11:22 28:14 60:10 70:3,16 75:15 81:19 96:15 112:22 115:8 124:19 141:12 market-base 112:20 markets 65:22 73:18 89:20 112:9,10,12 115:13 124:16 125:5 mass 6:16 massive 27:4 master 24:8 match 60:3 material 57:16 matrix 17:17 matter 48:22 108:4 147:5 MAX 1:17 MBA 1:20 135:7 mean 14:17,22 16:8 18:13 19:1.12 20:17 21:8 23:3 24:3.11 27:10,13 29:14 32:9 32:14 35:2,14 38:15 39:3,13 41:16,19 42:17,18,21 43:8,13 43:15 44:13 45:16 46:15 47:18 48:16 49:17 50:13,17 55:6 55:14,21 74:10 75:2 76:2,14 77:4 87:5 96:6 97:22 102:19 104:5 105:21 121:6 134:8 135:3,7,8,17 137:5 140:15,17 meaningful 71:11 134:12 means 28:22 48:12,13 55:13 92:18 135:8,13 meant 60:2 71:9,11 86:12 88:5 measure 47:10,11 90:6 meat 54:4 Med 49:12 median 71:6,13 85:18 85:22 medication 29:2 meet 39:14 66:4 80:20 129:22 130:5 132:4 meeting 1:6 4:13 6:19 133:21 142:6 144:1 147:3 meetings 44:13

mega- 79:3 Melissa 1:13,16 6:18 7:16 30:13 38:12 144:20 Melissa's 52:4 95:18 members 109:8 143:19 144:3,4,6 memo 120:2 121:7,12 Menlo 44:17 mentality 91:6 mention 45:11 mentioned 86:11 97:5 105:21 113:9 mentor 33:7 mentors 11:8 mentorship 91:13 mess 75:22 message 58:3 met 1:11 **metrics** 100:10 metro 85:20 metropolitan 85:20 microphone 44:18 45:2 67:8 middle 4:18 Mike 1:19 9:4.7 54:18 107:2 139:19 Mike's 107:5 **Military** 136:1,3,15 **million** 87:7 mind 24:14 36:5.11 109:17 117:17 123:18 mindful 38:17 minute 87:17 minutes 107:12 108:2 108:10 109:9 110:16 142:11 misconception 47:6 misinterpret 35:2,12 mismatch 37:2 missed 112:5 114:12 missing 21:19 115:22 116:3 mission 64:10 **Missouri** 63:6,12,14 mistakes 125:14 **mix** 72:5 122:16 mnemonic 9:13 139:20 140:3 mobile 134:9 mode 57:22 model 61:16 63:19 models 11:8 89:22 modest 60:22 momentum 141:14 money 44:7 60:13 62:7 62:22 63:7,14 75:5 81:12 86:20 125:14

month 83:6 Morgan 69:11 77:4 morning 4:4,5,6,7,19 7:20 36:4 39:17 106:22 109:7 mouse 89:11,12,12 mouth 33:4 move 87:12 90:2 moved 66:1 102:11 115:12 multi-agencies 114:7 multiple 13:6 102:1 mute 108:1 Ν NACIE 11:4 147:3 naive 66:17 name 41:16 135:16 narrative 20:1 101:12 narrowed 41:10,11 national 1:3 4:13 64:9 64:13 77:4.6 86:5.6 92:17 94:20 100:16 100:19 104:17 nature 105:3 near 61:10 nearly 146:10 neat 7:12 necessarily 19:15 30:19 78:15 need 4:20 12:19.20 21:5 24:22 25:2 26:21 48:20,22 50:15 62:16 64:14 74:19 76:18 79:20 91:16 96:22 98:2,3,20 100:12 111:19 117:3,4,7,19 117:20 123:5 129:17 131:11 136:9,22 142:4 143:3,6 145:15 145:15 needed 53:19 74:21 102:10 115:7 needing 34:18 needs 21:10 24:12 27:7 28:17 31:3 33:5,6 83:21 111:9,21 129:22 130:5,6 132:4 132:17 negative 31:21 Nemeth 1:19 9:4,9,12 11:15 13:3 18:9,18 23:3 31:5 50:9 52:2 52:11,14,21 53:22 107:3,7 139:21 140:2 140:17 141:3,8 **nerdy** 140:9 nervous 42:7

manage 47:11

manageable 81:6

managed 63:3,12

network 97:12 networks 6:13 11:6 23:14 95:12,16 neutral 103:8 never 33:18 67:14 140:4 142:14 new 12:19,20 13:18 14:7 16:13 26:21 49:18 59:5 60:11,17 60:20 61:5 63:5,9,10 65:21 70:15 73:3,18 79:12 80:15,17 89:20 100:20 104:9 107:3,5 110:13 119:10 124:16 144:16 145:3 146:9 newer 124:15 nexus 13:19 93:18 nicely 109:17 night 7:13 15:5 nightmare 87:9 nimble 129:22 130:5 132:1,2,4,14 134:9,22 135:2 nine-digit 97:2 **NMTC** 68:17 71:22 nominated 71:21 non-compete 104:6 non-competes 104:14 104:15 non-low-income 71:4,6 non-profit 76:7 non-profits 95:15 normal 107:15 North 66:19 Northwest 1:13 note 146:3 notes 7:21 109:1 **notice** 94:14 143:16 noticed 119:13 notion 11:19 32:4 46:3 notions 48:8 nowadays 100:13 NTA 94:13 98:6 nuance 20:22 27:9 28:6 29:13 32:15 42:15 46:17 nuances 20:15 number 50:7 66:9 79:8 88:17 116:5,11,20 118:18 119:8 137:10 numbers 36:20 75:2 77:17,19 nutty 136:21 0 **O-F** 3:4 **Obama** 56:17 objections 144:22

objectives 131:3 obstruct 104:7 **obtain** 134:15 **obvious** 21:9 obviously 10:11 11:18 23:11 119:12 occupation 127:17 occupational 126:2,3 occupations 126:5,15 127:1,3 128:6 odd 39:7 offense 135:8 offensive 106:7 offer 12:3 45:6 77:5,5 offerings 82:2 offers 75:1 office 64:14 78:20 118:1 127:19 office-to-office 101:3 Officer 4:10 offices 73:4 142:22 143:13 official 2:11 143:2 officially 4:11 **Ohio** 61:9 **Oll** 2:13 old 28:16 59:12 once 5:9 74:2 78:3 one's 7:2 ones 17:13 18:6,10 19:22 31:7 59:6 ongoing 139:5 op-ed 92:11 open 29:7 143:19 144:2 opening 117:1 138:7 operate 117:21 operation 113:1 opinion 54:4 84:20 opportunities 5:15 11:7 22:7 63:11 82:3.21 84:12 91:8 128:3 **opportunity** 5:5,13,16 6:13 12:5 25:15 31:11 35:8 53:3 55:7 57:2 58:1,18,21 59:1,9 60:2,21 61:6,10 64:3 64:19 65:1,9 66:15 68:13 72:7 78:14 80:17 82:10 88:13 92:12 94:1,15 105:10 106:2 107:8 126:9 140:19 142:12 opposed 33:9 39:22 52:7 114:15 137:12 optimistic 103:3 option 32:8 options 110:3 order 41:3 74:22 88:17

102:14 117:2 organization 27:12 59:12 105:17 106:3 organizational 20:18 51:5 organizations 78:18 84:7 95:14 organize 9:6 original 75:13 101:11 originally 73:6 74:19 80:14 outcome 94:18 outline 94:16 138:11 outreach 93:1,1 outs 97:8 outside 78:17 93:11 135:19 over-simplifying 26:13 overarching 15:14 46:4 48:8 54:12 overcome 38:10 overlap 15:19 overlay 24:11 overlooked 56:12 overly 50:22 oversimplifying 39:8 39:20 overused 29:15 overview 92:1 Ρ P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S 4:1 page 3:6 18:19,20 35:12 92:10 pages 83:19 painful 146:5 paper 57:7 73:6 paperwork 87:9 paradox 60:3 paragraph 20:11 54:5 117:1 parallel 142:20 Park 44:17 69:20 part 23:5 41:19 44:11 47:18 48:9 62:1 95:21 101:21 102:21 110:14 115:11 126:14 134:7 134:13 partially 68:2 **PARTICIPANT** 13:11,13 15:8 18:1 25:11 67:12 67:14,17 140:10 participate 12:17 51:3 126:7 142:18 participated 5:8 participating 147:4 participation 146:17

particular 39:22 42:1 64:5 68:4 86:11 particularly 30:22 parties 105:21 partisan 62:2 106:1 Partners 97:7 partnership 80:19 141:6 partnerships 64:20 parts 100:17 party 101:19,19 pass 135:17 passed 57:2 61:18,21 61:22 passionate 32:17 paste 46:22 Pat 73:17 patch 86:10 path 6:22 33:10 45:11 pathway 127:16 patient 61:14 pause 109:10,15 133:20 pay 48:18 60:16 penalize 88:6 people 10:6 13:6 22:6 28:14 31:2 32:1,1,4 34:12,14 40:20 41:4 42:6 47:6 48:21 51:11 54:11 55:21 69:8,12 72:12 83:4 84:17 87:18 89:8 90:17 93:8 96:6 99:1 101:6 102:19 103:2 104:7 106:20 112:12.14 121:14 126:6 127:2 131:9 134:9,10,13 139:10 141:1 145:20 people-centric 30:20 32:2 percent 28:22,22 29:4 36:22 44:14,15 60:20 64:18 65:8,11,13 66:6 66:10,14 70:1,5,21,21 71:2,7 72:6 78:13 79:7 85:18 86:6 perfect 49:13 59:7 perfectly 53:4 122:21 performing 115:13 periodically 77:17 permission 134:11 permitting 125:13 perpetual 142:13 person 33:5 36:15 39:17 personal 36:20 101:12 101:12 107:2 personally 38:2 45:12

157

perspective 33:9 48:20 81:16 92:14 121:7 143:6 146:12 PhD 1:19,20 philanthropist 64:1 phone 69:12 144:2,4 phonetic 49:12 phrase 30:4 56:4 112:7 125:16 137:10 phrases 29:20 physical 81:4 pick 26:18 32:16 70:5 144:17 picked 76:15 picking 69:22 picture 105:1 piece 10:20 11:2,12 18:10 31:9,19 48:16 52:18 83:19 90:4 91:3 99:9 102:8 116:2,17 116:19 137:10 pieces 11:9 52:16 81:3 91:20 99:10,22 102:10 140:18 **pike** 59:4,6 **pivot** 109:4.4 place 73:10 90:8 91:21 96:11 101:5 128:13 143:4 places 9:18 60:6,14 67:5,6 79:17 84:11 plan 5:2 24:8 plans 78:22 play 16:6 48:4 70:14 93:14.16 117:5 played 102:18 please 107:5 144:13 145:16 plenty 88:14 96:6 ploy 53:22 **Plus** 76:4 point 5:14 12:12 13:10 14:22 18:22 21:13 22:20 24:15 25:16 26:18 28:8 29:14 33:15,20 34:12 39:9 43:7 47:16 50:22 52:4 89:19 95:18 107:22 130:12 142:9 pointed 131:8 pointing 86:1 points 10:19 28:4 29:18 41:13 47:21 82:9 99:5 policies 34:18 **policy** 7:5 28:11 30:6 30:10 48:2 58:4 61:16 85:2 92:19 93:4 94:21 142:21 146:8

political 72:18 politicals 40:14 poll 144:17 popular 29:22 populate 81:5 population 66:7 67:6,7 69:7 portion 16:13 45:7 62:14 72:14 147:2 position 37:13 positive 146:3 positively 139:12 possibility 83:6 possible 11:11 42:11 107:19 143:10 posted 77:21 pot 82:11,12 potential 61:13 potentially 90:21 poverty 85:18 86:5 power 13:17 19:14 35:21 powerful 14:13 31:12 47:5 100:22 practices 143:21 precipitated 7:21 preparatory 110:13 present 1:15 2:9 57:1 143:1 presentation 108:12 president 35:21 74:5 presiding 1:13 pretty 4:17 7:12 26:15 44:17 61:17,17 73:21 132:6 136:21 145:20 prevents 67:21 previous 110:12 price 89:19,21 primary 113:12 principle 50:15 52:10 52:15 53:15 principles 3:14 15:5,14 17:12 18:21 19:7,9,15 19:19 22:14,21 23:16 85:12 110:5,7 prior 145:22 priorities 115:3 120:2,6 120:15 121:4,19 **priority** 120:9,11,18 121:11,21 122:6 125:22 126:4 private 10:19,20,21 20:8,9 21:9,15 22:7 39:2 62:6 112:10,16 112:17 113:3,3,6 114:4,9,18 115:15 116:8 124:3 129:17 132:16 141:17

private-private 114:16 probable 101:21 probably 19:14 27:1 34:20 40:9 41:22 55:9 66:22 82:4 84:6 87:2 90:17 105:11,18 140:10 143:7 problem 38:4 47:18 59:9 97:17 98:3 106:10 117:3 procedural 143:5 procedures 143:21 process 6:3 62:19,20 65:5 66:15 72:18 74:9 75:4 90:13 98:15 131:12,14 143:11 146:2,5 procure 12:21 procurement 13:17 14:21 22:11 procuring 123:12 produce 41:5 105:4 product 6:10 100:9 146:11 products 123:14,21 professionally 63:2,12 professor 39:7 profiles 101:4 program 32:1 50:12 59:1,10 60:14,17 66:1 68:3,15,18 70:9 78:5 78:7 90:3 92:13 programmable 135:15 programmaticals 94:6 programs 12:14 28:12 59:3 65:13,15 73:11 82:2,4 86:10,13 91:15 93:17 95:1 progress 85:4 projects 68:19 79:4 81:18 83:1 94:16,18 105:5 prominent 52:18 promised 82:5 promises 74:6 promoting 128:18 129:12 132:11,14 properties 64:21 property 80:22 81:14 proposals 25:22 **propose** 56:20 proposed 16:12 51:8 proposing 51:12 53:9 53:11 provide 25:19 37:4 71:9 Provided 71:5 provision 71:14 provocative 99:18

proxy 56:11 139:16 public 3:16 22:8 23:9 44:10 62:4 64:7 68:18 86:4 101:15 114:3,9 143:7,20 144:3,4,7 147:2 public-private 113:21 114:15,16 public-public 113:22 114:16 publicized 84:13 published 107:9 pull 8:13,22 52:9 109:14 pulled 15:12 purchasing 86:19 purpose 40:11,12 48:7 71:17 pursue 31:11 pursuing 10:3 push 6:6,14 48:2 143:9 pushed 10:17 pushing 5:21 put 14:8 22:16 31:6 32:20 33:16 35:21 39:21 41:12.16 63:6 79:5 105:5 111:3 132:12 146:11 putting 15:4 60:13 68:18 puzzle 91:4,20 99:9,11 Q qualifies 72:5 86:21 qualifying 96:4 quantum 27:2 question 19:5 55:5 66:18 70:4,7 78:9 88:9,11,22 90:9 98:20 100:5 139:4 140:3 questions 57:9,14 61:19 70:18 122:9 143:13 quick 4:16 108:2 146:1 quickly 11:11 61:17 73:21 75:14 quiet 44:19,22 54:8 quite 54:6 109:17 112:7 R **R** 65:11 66:10,13 67:5 86:1 92:6,8 93:22 96:10 97:21 **R&D** 115:3 120:2,16 121:3,6,10,11,20,22 122:2.4.6.7.10.11.15 122:19 123:2,3,17,19

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC 123:20 124:1

radar 82:11 raise 74:13 85:9 raised 85:12 raising 82:22 rally 107:20 ramp 87:20 random 139:1 rapid 130:1 rapidly 71:12 132:5 rate 85:18 rationale 65:12 raw 57:15 re-reading 30:3 reach 19:11 49:1 reaching 100:20 read 7:19 17:21 30:3 36:4 39:17 125:17 139:10 reading 17:22 21:18 114:14,15 reads 46:14 ready 9:5 70:3 109:2 139:6 real 40:12 41:2 79:13 80:1.8 real- 71:9 reality 36:16 realize 117:2 realized 68:19 110:8 **REAMER** 1:19 21:17 22:1,3,19 28:7 29:9 29:18 30:7,13 32:3,7 32:18 34:11 40:1,6,10 41:14 46:2 48:6 49:8 50:21 51:8.11.20 53:2 53:17 54:11,18 55:17 56:6,14,17 69:7 72:17 77:9,16 80:5 90:3 95:2 98:14 99:12,15 99:20 100:3 111:14 112:6,18 113:7 114:6 114:11 118:8 119:2,6 119:9 120:10,13,21 121:2,8,17 122:1,13 122:18 123:6,15 125:22 126:13,20 127:7,15,18 128:12 128:16 129:3,8,14 134:12 137:17 143:15 145:5 reason 6:8 14:1 119:15 reasoning 142:19 reasons 109:3 rebuild 60:7 recall 12:18 89:17 receive 11:21 received 106:12 recognition 48:1,3

recognized 136:13 137:4 recognizing 115:2,5 139:4 recommendation 14:4 recommendations 95:8 recommending 117:12 reconvene 108:3 record 83:21 108:5 119:16 143:7 146:21 147:6 recouch 39:12 recovery 59:13,15 red 6:8 124:21 reduce 124:5,11 126:8 126:21 134:3,8 137:21 reduced 125:18 reduces 87:2 reducing 125:10 127:22 128:7 129:14 133:13 reduction 60:18 68:12 124:21,22 126:1 refer 119:10 **reference** 69:16 referencina 121:12 refers 78:2 reflected 30:2 reflective 133:22 reform 62:1 68:16 74:3 101:21 102:11 128:8 refraining 56:2 refreshed 102:20 refreshingly 103:8 regarding 46:3 regardless 79:19 region 63:8 64:15 76:22 77:3 87:19 regions 73:14 register 143:16 registering 133:11 registry 133:11,12 regulating 126:14,15 137:13 regulation 126:2,3,8,14 137:10,12 regulations 64:11 124:4,10 125:1,2 126:12,21 137:11,14 137:21 regulator 128:8 regulatory 10:9 124:15 124:21 rehash 120:5 **REICHERT** 1:20 15:16 19:5 22:16 24:5 25:2 30:1 33:15 34:5,8 51:6,17,22 52:8 53:11

53:14 54:9 56:8 80:7 80:10 83:22 111:2,6 111:19 112:1,15 114:17 115:14 117:19 118:3,20 119:13 120:3,19,22 121:10 121:21 122:3 123:10 124:2 125:7,19 127:5 128:2,15,18 rein 104:10 related 10:10 93:15 124:1 128:8 relation 124:13 relationship 40:13,14 relationships 31:18 43:9 113:19 relatively 39:21 59:11 62:20 65:16 68:17 83:18 86:15 88:2 99:11 103:3 104:8 **release** 95:3 relevant 11:12 13:15 14:19 17:3 23:2,5 36:7 95:7 125:2 **Remarks** 3:8.18 remember 30:4 88:17 131:19 146:12 reminder 4:16 reminds 39:14 **rename** 111:6 **renew** 78:6 rent 81:2 rental 81:7,10,11,13 reopen 139:7 reorganize 46:22 **repeat** 119:4 replacing 53:9 Report-Outs 3:10 represent 95:14 representative 6:11 Republican 73:2,19 81:20 101:19 Republicans 106:8 reauire 126:6 requirement 87:1 requirements 86:18,19 research 58:3 92:16,19 92:21 94:9,19 95:9,14 98:1 100:7 104:9 115:4 reset 77:17 resident 119:21 resonate 42:17 141:17 resonated 136:1 140:5 resoundingly 65:15 **resource** 95:17 resources 13:8 23:10 60:7 114:4,9

respect 36:2 100:20 122:15 130:7 respected 106:5 respectful 38:15 response 57:12 responsibility 84:2,6 113:13 rest 17:22 38:20 42:16 56:2,4,5,7 64:2 106:22 108:20 restate 131:17 restriction 76:16 Restrooms 4:21 result 111:17 resulting 125:4 resumed 108:5 reuse 110:10 revenue 68:13.22 review 79:19 126:4 revised 70:6 revolving 93:20 rhetorical 40:4 **RICHARD** 1:18 **Rick** 12:12 rid 119:19 riahts 83:21 **RIS** 93:15 rise 38:19 42:16 56:2,4 56:6 64:2 rises 52:17 **risk** 11:22 **RLF** 93:17 road 45:5 68:9,21 roads 12:19,20,22 robust 7:22 28:5 41:4 ROI 38:7 **role** 16:5 33:14 34:15 48:5 58:5,18 70:14 75:17 78:15 81:17 113:11,18 115:6 118:5 122:22 141:13 roles 71:20 roll 11:8 31:8 45:10,14 60:9.17 62:7 roll-up-your-sleeves 6:3 rolled 74:3 102:9 rolling 4:4 75:11 Ron 73:19 room 1:11 4:5 54:8 142:10 144:7 rooms 7:11 rule 18:19 90:13,18 rules 64:11 72:14 75:9 83:16 91:18 run 4:16 5:2 rural 37:3 63:6 85:19 Ryan 2:15 113:15

S sadden 103:10 safe 103:6.22 salesperson 16:11 sap 104:11 saw 15:4 37:17 saving 12:19.20 24:16 47:1 118:18 121:22 126:11,19 says 35:1 90:14 121:14 **SBA** 2:13 8:20 scale 125:5 scaled 87:12 scarce 60:5 79:8 schedule 7:18 57:4 107:12 school 28:17,19 schools 133:5 science 29:21 47:9 122:12 scope 64:9,14 76:17 77:6 score 89:6 102:14 Scott 1:17 73:2 74:3 95:18 101:11 search 96:13 second 11:17 28:13 37:14 54:22 57:8 60:18 70:8 80:19 83:13 108:17 111:14 118:4,9,10 119:10 123:15 124:3 146:3 secondary 17:13 18:10 secondhand 70:10 Secretary's 118:1 127:19 142:21 section 9:11 23:12 31:8 119:14 140:15.16 sections 110:13 sector 20:8,10 21:9,15 23:9 64:7 114:4.10 115:15 129:17 132:16 141:17 sectoral 120:6 sectors 11:21 120:9,12 121:11,22 122:7 123:17 seed 93:15 seeing 100:18 128:5 seek 84:12 seeking 19:11 seen 37:1,16 **segue** 59:7 select 23:22 78:21 **sell** 14:4 selling 14:4,5 **Senator** 73:3 sense 19:18 39:10

40:22 52:6 sent 92:2 sentence 132:13 sentences 55:19 110:4 separate 19:22 114:2 serve 76:7 service 101:15 136:14 services 123:14,22 session 9:4 sessions 5:6 set 14:10 19:19 41:2 63:13,14 74:14 83:4 set's 50:9 sets 64:18 setup 79:14,15 82:16 82:18,18 86:16 99:4 seven 60:21 100:10 **shape** 79:21 105:7 share 105:8 shared 19:21 81:2 shares 80:17 sharpful 31:13 sheet 92:1 Shieh 2:13 8:19,20 shift 75:10 **Ship** 146:14 shook 102:3 **shop** 142:21 short 20:1 22:13 73:11 142:11 short-ish 21:7 **shortly** 94:15 **show** 4:16 23:18 46:17 side 49:16 51:4,5 73:16 73:19 102:22 **sidebars** 106:20 sides 102:20 signatures 145:15 significant 16:13 significantly 89:20 similar 4:17 14:16 52:22 134:17 simple 18:4,5,7 27:10 27:13 38:17 86:15 simplicity 37:6 48:7 simplify 18:13 simplistic 36:10 38:7 **simply** 11:6 Simultaneous 16:16 18:11 19:2 23:17 24:21 25:4 28:3 42:4 42:20 43:3,12,17,21 44:5,8 46:8 50:4,18 51:10 52:13 53:21 56:9 90:7 138:21 Simultaneously 67:11 67:19 84:21 142:3 single 6:19

sit 107:20 139:17 sixth 13:5 skill 50:9 130:9,11 135:16 skills 91:14 128:19 129:17 132:11,19 skim 17:22 skipped 45:9 slight 68:12 slightly 27:8 56:11 slow 59:22 smack-dab 38:22 small 9:16 12:16 104:22 smart 125:1 smartly 10:8 **SMITH** 1:20 2:14,15 14:22 15:9 19:12 28:20 30:5,9,17 32:6 45:17,22 46:6 48:15 53:8,13,16,19 57:15 65:11 66:10,13 67:5 69:10,15 70:13 86:1 88:10,15 92:6,8 93:22 96:10 97:21 109:20 111:5,15,18,22 112:3 114:1.8.13.21 115:10 117:20 118:22 119:4 119:17 120:4,8,11 121:6,9,16 122:8,14 123:9 127:21 128:14 129:5,18 132:10,16 133:9 134:19 135:5 135:13 136:22 137:3 137:5,15 138:2,5,9,13 138:16 139:8 140:12 141:20 142:2 143:17 144:16 145:10,17 socialize 133:21 socializing 142:20 socioeconomic 48:19 49:17,20 sold 54:17 **solicit** 5:10 6:13 solicitation 25:22 26:1 **solution** 106:9 solutions 93:10 solve 97:20,22 98:3 solves 91:3 **solving** 59:8 130:21 **somebody** 25:8 29:2 46:12,14 89:2 117:8 117:12 135:3 136:1 somebody's 29:1 127:10 soon 95:4 101:20 108:3 sorry 8:19 12:19 16:22 53:8 62:18 69:11 75:6 80:7 89:10 95:5

100:17 114:12 119:5 121:16 sort 9:17,21 10:4 15:13 20:2 21:9,11 34:6 83:8 86:3 90:12 92:22 93:16 94:4,5 97:17,18 101:14 103:2 114:14 117:17 120:5 125:17 125:17 137:11 sorts 52:15 63:21 93:14 99:2 sounded 140:21 sounds 15:17 18:2 46:2 51:18 112:15 sources 81:10 South 73:2 southwest 63:11 **space** 61:4 81:2 85:5 93:4 98:1 103:6 **spaces** 81:4 speak 30:13 105:18 107:8 speaker 5:14 speaking 16:16 18:11 19:2 23:17 24:21 25:4 28:3 32:4 42:4.20 43:3,12,17,21 44:5,8 46:8 50:4,18 51:10 52:13 53:21 56:9 67:11,19 84:21 90:7 138:21 142:3 speaks 92:13 **Special** 145:9 **specific** 8:14 15:15 40:17 76:18,22 85:14 110:6 124:8 specifically 41:20 59:14 83:16 90:18 specifics 106:21 speed 5:7 142:18 spend 125:11 spending 44:6 spin- 97:7 spin-outs 104:7 spirit 70:1 **split** 10:18 spoke 106:11 sponsored 73:17 sponsors 72:19 **spots** 91:6 **spread** 65:17 stack 90:22 stages 9:17 stakeholders 90:16 93:1 103:7 stand 64:8 70:6 stand-up 96:4 standalone 102:8

standing 63:22 90:12 standpoint 52:17 stark 115:19 start 3:8 9:1 22:20 40:15,16 70:19 87:12 107:14,19 108:8 136:18 started 9:14 10:22 11:10 13:7 37:16 45:3 59:13 starting 83:1 104:7 starts 100:6 startups 61:11 starved 60:6 state 71:1,17,19 85:14 85:15,19 131:15 136:15 state- 85:21 statement 10:9 35:7 states 1:1,12 36:6 50:7 83:9 99:5 100:11 126:8 stay 15:1 106:22 steady 59:22 steering 146:14 step 118:11 steps 90:11 96:3 109:12 144:12 Steve 1:17 56:7,15 64:2 stick 78:10 106:16 **stimulus** 74:20 stock 60:10 80:15 stop 17:22 straight 66:8 straightforward 62:21 strategic 15:21 16:8 17:2 21:20 22:3 23:21 23:22 24:1,3,4,8,12 24:13,15,19 25:3,5,18 26:4,8,14,17 27:4,11 27:18 28:15 29:11,15 41:18,19 42:13 46:10 47:12 48:9,10,13 53:17 54:13 78:22 110:1 117:16 118:18 strategically 117:21 strategies 5:11 strategize 58:13 strategy 9:11 35:15 111:3,7,17,20 117:1,3 117:4,7,8,11,13,15,19 118:2,21,21 streamline 124:4 126:20 137:11,14,17 137:20 strengths 7:1,2,4 stress 93:9 stretch 4:20

strict 15:1 145:20 strips 81:9 strong 39:10 68:7 102:1 140:8 strongest 102:4 strongly 141:10 struck 36:9 structural 111:3 structure 89:1 struggling 23:4 stuff 16:18 32:13 47:17 92:9 96:1 105:1 112:10 136:13 141:5 submissions 83:10 submitted 83:8 subparts 66:20,21 subsequent 87:5,16 subsets 123:19 subsidy 68:10 substitute 127:13 substitution 90:6 subtly 47:22 succeed 61:13 success 9:20 88:6 112:16 126:22 successful 48:22 65:15 65:22 82:13 91:20 successfully 91:19 Sue 132:20 133:1 Sue's 50:22 suggest 48:7 50:21 56:1 111:2 suggested 47:4 suggesting 117:8 118:1 122:5 123:12 suggestion 118:16 119:3 support 9:20 10:22 11:19 23:15 52:16 117:4 supported 11:1 supporting 91:15 102:2 115:4 supportive 103:1 supposed 70:2 108:10 surprise 56:21 57:18 surprised 139:13 Survey 77:11 survive 74:9 swap 32:9 swapped 13:15 sweet 96:15 synthesize 57:6 т

T-A-B-L-E 3:4 tack 87:1 tackle 13:9 99:10,17

103:18 106:10 tag 89:19,21 tailored 124:4 taken 90:8 takes 91:10 127:9 136:15,21 talk 9:8 17:2 26:17 27:6 29:16 36:15 37:14,22 39:19 41:7 44:3 45:1 48:12,13 55:1,7 56:12 102:15 109:16 110:21 115:3 117:9 talked 6:19 11:3 109:21 110:3 113:14,15 137:22 talking 23:8,12 25:12 37:11 38:5 40:16 54:9 66:19 74:7 93:3,8,11 97:6 103:22 112:20 114:20,22 115:10 116:15 124:3,14 129:9 Tang 1:17 tangible 64:21 80:21 tank 44:16 tape 6:8 124:21 131:22 tapered 59:21 targeted 86:11 tasked 72:12 tax 60:15,19 61:7,16 62:1 65:22 68:11.12 68:16 70:11,16 73:18 74:3 81:9 83:19 87:8 89:10.21 101:21 102:11.12 taxes 60:16 83:20 **TBD** 72:10 teaching 6:21 team 58:16 144:5 teams 35:20 tease 54:20 Teasing 20:14 technical 92:17,22 94:20 95:9 140:22 technological 33:11 34:21 technologies 13:18 26:21 49:18 117:5 122:22 123:1,8 technology 14:11 16:14 31:1 122:14 tee 6:17 9:8 tee-up 100:12 teleconference 143:4 telephone 1:20 2:13 tell 24:15 89:8 98:8 109:18 134:20 ten 17:14,14 22:14

59:20 61:4,15 70:6 78:4,10 Ten's 17:16 tens 89:18 term 105:9 112:20 125:4 terminology 106:6 terms 22:10 33:17 36:22 39:9 85:1 94:8 94:11 98:16 test 135:17 text 47:1 110:13 thank 7:18 8:1,3,10,11 58:6 106:13 127:18 138:16 144:8,10,21 145:4 146:20 thanks 4:6 7:13 8:22 57:19 58:19,21 145:9 146:16,19 147:3 theme 99:17 113:10 they'd 87:12 things 5:22 7:15 8:2 9:8 10:22 12:2 13:19 15:4 18:14 23:10 24:1 27:2 27:3 29:21 30:11 32:19 33:4.6.14 34:14 35:16 36:4 37:11.21 38:10,21 40:17 43:16 49:16 80:13 89:15 90:11,15 92:10,15,19 92:21 93:2,11,14,15 94:9,21 102:3 103:5 104:6,22 115:7 123:2 123:3 125:13 138:8 third 5:7 10:18 80:21 119:14 128:9,16 thought 10:5 26:7 45:6 51:6 133:5 140:20 thoughtful 9:5 99:6 117:18 thoughts 7:16 32:19 38:13 46:13 57:1,9 89:4 95:6 112:4 133:2 139:1 145:13 three 15:15,19 16:21 17:11,18,19,20 18:6 18:15,17,20 19:4,16 19:21 21:1 22:14 23:19 26:16 30:19 35:10 46:3,4,16 48:8 59:12 60:14 80:13 99:21 119:20 143:8 threes 20:19 threw 25:8 throw 21:17 31:4 140:5 throwaway 124:9 throwing 45:20 141:5 thrown 54:2

Tiberi 73:17 tie 64:4 69:5 ties 61:2 63:5,10 Tim 73:2 74:3 101:11 times 67:13 **Titanic** 146:14 title 111:21 114:19 118:19 today 6:6 7:8 8:9 60:10 108:20 130:17 137:6 139:5,15 142:7,10 143:20 146:19 today's 4:12 125:2 told 95:10 tomorrow 13:1 tons 91:12 top 110:22 111:4 112:5 topic 13:6 124:12 topics 19:9 total 42:22 tough 16:5 75:16 track 104:3,4 tracks 65:9 tract 66:3,18 67:14 69:8 71:5,6,8 85:21 tracts 59:17 66:11.12 66:14 69:17,19 70:5 70:22 71:4,21 77:9,10 78:13 91:7 traditional 86:4 93:16 trainability 128:20 129:6 training 128:10,19,21 129:13 132:11,18 transcript 30:14 transfer 130:12 transferable 135:2,6 transformative 65:21 translatable 135:6 translate 95:6 134:10 Transportation 22:10 trapped 87:16 traps 89:12,12 Treasury 58:11 64:11 65:9 67:22 68:20 70:10 75:9 78:2 83:7 83:8,21 91:18 113:14 treated 71:16,18 trends 100:15 tricky 12:4 tried 11:10 73:12 trigger 14:20 tripping 16:4 trouble 114:19 truck 50:8,11 136:2,4 true 135:14 truly 6:10 65:20 Trump's 16:11

trust 40:15 88:12 136:4 **try** 17:4 19:22 54:19 98:8 99:10 104:10 106:10 trying 5:18 10:15 14:3 14:12,16 18:15 26:1 32:14,16 39:1 43:9 46:11 67:17 89:13 93:13,22 94:22 97:19 97:22 98:1 102:16 105:4 112:21 116:14 117:11 119:19 120:5 124:10,20 128:6 134:3,3,6,8,9 135:1 141:7 tune 133:17 turn 57:22 91:17 108:17 138:22 tweak 142:12 tweaked 102:13 Twenty 66:6 twice 72:4 **two** 4:12 5:6 10:19 15:18 16:20 20:19 28:8.9 36:4.9 37:8 39:5 41:13 49:15 58:3 60:22 73:4 92:10 95:6 99:21 106:4 110:19 114:5 115:17,21 122:9 133:4 140:20 145:12 tying 19:14 type 79:10 92:19,21 124:18 typically 76:7,12 U **UI/UX** 49:5,8 ultimately 84:4 under-strategic 27:6 underneath 22:17 111:12 underserved 56:13 understand 17:21 32:14 43:20 70:9 understood 54:17 uneven 105:3 unfortunately 5:18 6:1 106:1 unique 36:5 52:5 95:17 uniqueness 36:12 **united** 1:1,12 17:12 36:6 100:11 universities 95:15 97:5 university 23:9 39:21 61:10,11 79:5 97:8 unleashing 140:18 unnecessary 134:16

unrelated 140:3 **unusual** 73:9 **update** 107:2 updated 75:3 77:18,20 updates 5:15 78:7 upside 61:8,13 upstairs 43:9 uptake 73:22 82:1 urban 37:2 urgency 39:11 **URL** 49:11 use 12:6 13:17 14:2 28:16.21 33:2 44:2 52:4 55:20 56:3,10 81:3,13 86:5 96:11 97:15 98:1 100:11 123:1 141:18 145:22 useful 19:10 123:2 **User** 49:9 uses 123:21 usually 55:12 **UX** 33:9 V vaque 29:15 Vallev 96:5 97:9 valuable 92:9 value 48:1,3 68:8,14 **valued** 88:16 varv 64:10 varying 23:16 VC 36:20 vehicles 50:11 venture 62:15 76:5 87:14 97:7 104:17 Ventures 97:10 verb 137:16,18 140:16 verbal 143:3 version 110:12 144:17 versus 20:5 vested 103:7 **vet** 136:14 veterans 130:9 view 130:12 virtual 6:7 109:15 142:5 virtually 6:2 109:11 142:13 visa 68:5 vision 98:17 voice 104:10 vote 5:21 6:6 109:3,15 139:4,7,13,15 143:3 145:18 **voting** 137:6 vowel 53:20 142:1,2 W wait 54:3 86:8 87:17

129:19 136:19 walked 54:7 wanted 12:10 30:18 31:4 64:4 81:15 101:14 107:2 119:17 133:19 wants 11:17 14:7 44:14 77:5 111:11 warrants 40:4 Washington 1:13 wasn't 12:9 32:12 36:3 38:15 45:12 49:3 56:14 133:16,17 water 4:22 way 13:16 14:18 18:13 18:16 21:2 24:4 26:6 31:10 33:3 45:14 53:3 55:1,10 56:11 65:20 68:15 70:15 76:15 87:17 100:4 113:2 116:1 127:1 135:11 135:19 138:17 146:18 ways 10:21 17:9 22:5 38:7 58:16 86:7,9 93:9 wavside 103:14 wealthier 69:19 wealthy 64:1 wear 92:16 website 79:22 80:2 92:7 92:8 weeds 27:2 74:11 91:18 week 83:11,13 92:12 weeks 6:7 109:14 143:8 weird 136:13 welcome 4:12 94:11 106:21 108:8 110:20 Welcoming 3:8 well-being 100:10 went 11:16 26:13 108:5 147:6 weren't 75:3 110:19 wet 145:15 wheels 89:12 White 73:6 Whitney 1:20 4:6 8:17 69:10 88:7 107:22 132:20,22 139:14 who've 96:14 wide 85:22 willing 102:9 win 26:22 windy 45:4 Wisconsin 73:20 women 36:19 101:7 wonder 19:20 137:13 wonderful 26:12 wondering 24:2 26:6

32:7 33:10 89:3 128:4 word 13:15 25:9,9,13	Y 98 yea
32:8 33:4 34:3 36:10 41:1,22 51:1 52:3,16	77 13
54:5,22 55:17,18	14
83:22 89:1 105:22	yea
106:2 114:4 117:16 119:19 138:11 140:2	yea 60
140:8,15	78
words 9:5 16:9 29:20 39:5 46:4,20 53:3	yes 24
54:5 55:9 57:14	1
103:14 105:13,15	14 Vor
112:5 140:21 wordsmith 142:14,15	Yor you
145:6	
wordsmith-y 133:7 work 5:9 7:14 12:6 31:2	Z 98
41:7 45:20 55:14	zer
57:16 58:10 60:13 62:8 72:13 78:17 79:7	zip zon
83:20 85:3 88:20	201
95:10 98:16 99:21	zon
101:3 102:7 108:13 108:14 109:10,17	64 7
113:16 115:7 134:7	7
135:21 139:5 146:16 work-based 130:21	82 99
131:2,5	
workers 112:14 workforce 3:11 5:4 15:3	0.0
19:8 20:5,10,21 35:6	0.0
45:13 46:19 48:19,21 112:19 113:17 130:12	
130:16,18	10: 10:
workgroup 3:10 5:3	10:4
working 84:7 96:1 112:10 123:16 139:21	109 11:
146:6	11:
works 18:16 22:9 33:18 66:16 86:4 114:11	11:
world 71:10 141:18	11:: 11::
worms 139:7	125
worry 39:7 worth 40:8 50:14 86:1	140 144
wouldn't 103:20 129:5	147
write 64:12 67:22 72:13 75:9 83:7,15	15 3 16 2
writing 90:13 91:18	10 2
written 47:16 90:19 121:9 140:22	
wrong 24:16 29:2	2 1: 1
wrote 46:21 50:3 107:9	20 8
X	200 201
X 98:7,8,9	201
v	201 201
Ŷ	

8:7,8,9 2018 1:8 75:5 ar 28:16 59:12 77:11 2019 75:6 **2026** 60:19 75:8 7:13 98:16 125:12 36:16,19 145:22 22nd 83:6 46:2,4 **25** 65:8,11,13 66:10,14 rly 72:4 69:22 70:5,21 71:1,2 rs 38:7 59:19,20 78:13 79:7 0:21 61:4,15 70:6 2nd 4:12 147:3 8:4,11 87:22 136:3 3 terday 5:3 6:19 7:11 4:6 36:3 37:9 42:6 **3** 118:18 119:8 15:1 118:4 122:20 **30** 29:4 43:20 4 **k** 63:5,9,10 ing 6:21 59:11 87:15 **4** 3:8 4,000 69:8 Ζ **45** 109:9 8:7,8,9 5 o 37:17 100:11 106:7 **5**68:2 e 61:10 71:12 78:3 0:18.22 6 **es** 59:1,10 64:19,20 6:00 39:17 4:21,22 65:2,9 66:15 **60** 29:4 1:11 72:7 78:10,14 600 87:7 8:21,22 79:7 82:3,5 7 2:10,14 83:4 94:1 9:6 103:22 **70** 44:14,15 72015 1:11 0 **5** 36:21 8 **8** 3:11 1 80 85:18 86:6 **15** 5:13 **30** 5:13 9 **46** 108:5 9:00 1:13 3:14 **9:09** 4:2 **05** 107:20 **90** 64:18 65:6 72:6 **15** 107:14,18 **99** 28:21 **17** 108:6 20 108:11 **55** 147:6 71:7 **1** 1:12 3:16,18 3:20 38:7 60:20 28:16 2 8 116:5,11,20,20 19:7 147:2 85:17 **0s** 59:20 177:22 **2**77:14 78:1 577:22 677:1478:1

163

CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript

In the matter of: National Advisory Council on Innovation and Entrepreneurship

Before: US DOC

Date: 02-02-18

Place: Washington, DC

was duly recorded and accurately transcribed under my direction; further, that said transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

near Rans &

Court Reporter

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

www.nealrgross.com

(202) 234-4433